Page 1

BEFORE THE ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD

IN THE MATTER OF:	\	CLERK'S OFFICE
IN THE PATIENCY.	,	NOV 1 3 2012
)	STATE OF ILLINOIS Pollution Control Board
CONCENTRATED ANIMAL FEEDING)	Polition Control Boar
OPERATIONS (CAFOS): PROPOSED)	No. R2012-023
AMENDMENTS TO 35 ILL ADM. CODES)	
501, 502 AND 504.)	

TRANSCRIPT FROM THE PROCEEDINGS

taken before the HEARING OFFICER TIMOTHY J. FOX

by LORI ANN ASAUSKAS, CSR, RPR, a notary public

within and for the County of Cook and State of

Illinois, at the DeKalb Municipal Building,

200 South 4th Street, DeKalb, Illinois, on the

30th day of October, 2012, A.D., at 10:00 o'clock

a.m.

```
APPEARANCES:
     ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD,
     100 West Randolph Street
 3
     Suite 11-500
     Chicago, Illinois
                        60601
     (312) 814-6983
     BY: MR. TIMOTHY J. FOX, Hearing Officer
 5
 6
     ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT:
 7
    Mr. Thomas Holbrook, Lead Board Member
     Ms. Jennifer Burke, Board Member
     Dr. Deanna Glosser, Board Member
     Ms. Carrie Zalewski, Board Member
    Mr. Anand Rao, Technical Unit
     Ms. Alisa Liu, Technical Unit
10
11
     ILLINOIS ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY,
12
     1021 North Grand Avenue East
     P.O. Box 19276
13
     Springfield, Illinois 62794-9276
     (217) 782-5544
    BY: MS. JOANNE M. OLSON and
14
         MS. DEBORAH J. WILLIAMS,
15
              Appeared on behalf of the Petitioner;
16
17
    BROWN, HAY & STEPHENS, LLP,
    700 First Mercantile Bank Building
18
    205 South Fifth Street
    P.O. Box 2459
19
    Springfield, Illinois 62705-2459
     (217) 544-8491
20
    BY: MS. CLAIRE A. MANNING,
21
              Appeared on behalf of the Agricultural
              Coalition.
22
23
24
```

```
Page 3
 1
     A P P E A R A N C E S: (Continued)
 2
 3
     ENVIRONMENTAL LAW AND POLICY CENTER,
     35 East Wacker Drive
     Suite 1600
     Chicago, Illinois
                         60601
 5
     (773) 818--4825
     BY: JESSICA DEXTER,
 6
         and
 7
     DIAMOND & LeSUER, P.C.,
 8
     3431 West Elm Street
     McHenry, Illinois 60050
     (815) 385-6840
      BY: MS. DANIELLE DIAMOND,
10
              Appeared on behalf of Environmental Groups;
11
     ALSO PRESENT:
12
     KIM KNOWLES
     1902 FOX DRIVE, SUITE G
13
     CHAMPAIGN, Illinois 61820
14
     (217) 344-2371
15
              Appeared on behalf of Prairie Rivers
              Network.
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
```

				Page 5
1				
2				
3				
4			EXHIBITS	
5			Marked	Admitted
6				
7	Agency	Exhibit No.	22 10	10
8	Agency	Exhibit No.	23 11	11
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				

- 1 HEARING OFFICER FOX: Good morning,
- everyone, and welcome to this Illinois Pollution
- 3 Control Board hearing. My name is Tim Fox and
- 4 I'm the hearing officer for this rulemaking
- 5 proceeding entitled, In The Matter Of: Concentrated
- 6 Animal Feeding Operations (CAFOS): Proposed
- Amendments to 35 ILL. ADM. Codes 501, 502 and 504.
- 8 The Board docket number for this proceeding is
- 9 R12-23.
- I want to take a moment to
- introduce those folks who are present here this
- 12 morning from the Board. At my immediate left
- is the Board's chairman, Tom Holbrook, who is
- the lead Board member in this proceeding. At his
- 15 left is Board member Jennifer Burke. Starting
- 16 at the far -- my far right end of this head table
- is Board member Carrie Zalewski. And moving closer
- to the center is Board member Dr. Deanna Glosser.
- 19 From the Board's technical unit present this
- 20 morning at my immediate right, Anand Rao and at
- 21 my far left, Alisa Liu.
- The Illinois -- by way of
- 23 background, the Illinois Environmental Protection
- 24 Agency filed this rulemaking proposal on March 1st

- of 2012 and in an order dated March 15th of
- 2 2012, the Board accepted the proposal for
- 3 hearing.
- 4 The first hearing in the
- 5 docket took place on August 21, 2012, in
- 6 Springfield. The second hearing took place
- in Belleville on October 16, 2012. And the
- 8 third hearing took place last week in Urbana
- ⁹ on October 23, 2012.
- 10 A Hearing Officer Order set
- October 16th is the deadline to pre-file testimony
- 12 for this fourth hearing. The Board has received
- 13 pre-filed testimony from this -- for this hearing
- 14 from Mr. Samuel Panno, who did submit amended
- testimony and also on behalf of the environmental
- groups from Mr. Arnold Leder, Dr. Stacy James,
- and Dr. Kendall Thu, all four of whom are present
- and will be sworn in to testify and respond to
- 19 questions in due time.
- What we will do is begin this
- hearing with comments. Nine persons have signed
- in indicating that they wish to offer a brief
- 23 public comment and our first order of business
- will be to go through those nine persons so that

- their comments can be submitted into the record.
- We will then, at the conclusion
- 3 at the final comments, begin with the pre-filed
- 4 testimony of Mr. Panno.
- Based on the supplements,
- the amendments he has filed, we will ask him to
- 7 read that into the record in its entirety as it
- was amended in his second filing. And at the
- 9 conclusion of that, we will make him available
- 10 for questions from the other participants here
- 11 today; first, the questions from the Agricultural
- 12 Coalition and then from the Environmental Protection
- 13 Agency, with follow-ups on the part of anyone else
- who wishes to pose one.
- 15 At the conclusion of that
- 16 testimony and the questions that are based upon
- it, we will turn to the three witnesses, the
- 18 pre-filed testimony on behalf of the environmental
- 19 groups. It would make the most sense to swear
- them in together to take questions as a panel so
- that they are all prepared to respond from the
- 22 start.
- We will go after a summary or
- a brief statement on the part of the environmental

- 1 groups. We will turn to follow-up questions
- from the Agricultural Coalition with follow-ups
- also from the other participants and then turn
- 4 to any questions that the Environmental Protection
- 5 Agency may have.
- I do want to note for the
- 7 record I had left open at the entrance to the
- 8 room a sheet on which anyone could indicate
- 9 that they wished to testify. The only four
- 10 persons who had signed that were the four persons
- who had pre-filed their testimony so that we do
- not apparently have anyone wishing to testify
- who did not pre-file that testimony.
- I will in a moment put that
- back on the table to the entrance of the room
- so anyone could so indicate if they wish.
- I do want to note generally
- before we move on that this proceeding is
- 19 governed by the Board's procedural rules under
- Section 104.426 of those rules. All information
- that is relevant and that is not repetitious or
- 22 privileged will be admitted into the record of
- 23 this proceeding.
- I will ask you to note please

- that any questions posed today by the Board
- members or by the Board staff are intended
- 3 solely to clarify and complete the record and
- 4 reflect no predetermination on the merits of
- 5 the proposal or any motion to amend or supplement
- 6 it.
- I do ask for the benefit of
- our court reporter that you speak clearly. The
- 9 microphones throughout this room are live and
- you can speak into them. I ask that you bear
- in mind that they are not particularly sensitive
- 12 and that you should pull them close to your face
- so that we get the benefit of that amplification and
- you can be heard throughout
- 15 the room.
- I would also ask you not to
- speak at the same time as any other person so
- that her task in compiling the record is as
- simple as possible.
- Before we begin the comments,
- 21 are there any questions about our order of hearing
- or any other procedural issues?
- Very good. I have a microphone
- here, which I will take out into the audience.

- 1 I think in the interest of time, we can have
- the commenters pass it one to the other as long
- as, I said, you do hold it close to your face you
- 4 will be heard, I think, very clearly throughout
- 5 the room.
- The comments, I would ask you
- 7 to limit to a duration of three minutes so that
- 8 we can be sure to accommodate everyone who wishes
- 9 to offer one.
- With that, Mr. Howard Hudson
- is present, I know, and I will turn the microphone
- over to him to begin a three-minute commentary.
- MR. HUDSON: My name is Howard Hudson.
- 14 I'm a fourth generation farmer from Elmwood,
- 15 Illinois. I was previously a Board president of
- the Ag Co-Ops for approximately 25 years and I
- would -- and I also raised hogs and cattle until
- 18 1989. Now, I'm currently involved in crop
- 19 production only.
- I'm going to ask the Board to
- 21 please adopt the additional regulations. The
- 22 abomination that's been going on in the countryside
- of my rural neighbors, farmers included, with these
- large livestock operations needs to be squelched.

- 1 The sizes have grown. If they are going to stay
- in the large size capacity production, we need
- 3 to have stronger laws to protect the air and the
- 4 drinking water of our neighbors. That's all I
- 5 would like to comment on. Thank you.
- 6 HEARING OFFICER FOX: Mr. Hudson,
- 7 thank you very much.
- Ms. Susan Turner is the next
- 9 commenter. I believe she is right to your left --
- 10 to your right, rather.
- MS. TURNER: My name is Susan Turner
- and I'm a wife, mother of five, and a homeowner
- 13 from northwest Illinois where there are beautiful
- 14 hills and rocky terrain known as karst topography.
- Because of the drought during
- 16 the last summer, cracks, fissures and pronounced
- 17 crevices are wider now than ever. The ground is
- eager to accept the rain and the liquid wastes
- 19 that run off concentrated animal feeding operations.
- The pollution can travel from a facility as well
- 21 as the farmland that liquid manure gets applied
- to. Manure in solid form and in its proper ratio
- is not that much of a concern.
- It is when manure is in liquid

- form and in an excess due to head size that I am
- 2 concerned about pollution discharges to the aquifer
- 3 that is so shallow and accessible in my area.
- Because pollution knows no
- boundaries, pollution can change everything.
- 6 Realistically, discharges, spills and accidents
- 7 with manure and water are a form of trespass.
- 8 An overabundance of these nutrients do not stop
- 9 at the edge of a neighbor's property. Pollution
- does not stop at a township, county or state
- 11 line.
- We should not be expected to
- compromise our property values, rural way of life,
- 14 hold our breath or drink bad water to accommodate
- business decisions over community well being.
- Discharging with or without
- 17 permit does not make it okay. To pretend that
- 18 an industrial size facility is a zero discharge
- 19 facility is not okay. It is the scale of large
- scale industrial animal feeding operations, the
- 21 concentration of mass quantities of manure, silage
- leachate and other wastes produced in one location
- that makes this a crime against nature. It's
- not a question of if a CAFO will discharge, but when

- it will discharge.
- To date, regulators have failed
- 3 to prevent their pollution. Many are capable of
- 4 generating more waste than some cities. How can
- 5 the Illinois EPA regulate these facilities when
- it doesn't even know where they are located.
- 7 Pollution on a large scale has occurred because
- 8 the Agency doesn't have accurate information on
- 9 CAFOs.
- It's a catch me if you can system
- that allows water pollution to go unchecked.
- 12 Because I live in a rural community, I have become
- 13 aware of pollution and some abusive or irresponsible
- 14 practices that have allowed me to form my beliefs
- 15 and understandings.
- Also, just recently I found a flow
- 17 chart designed by PEW that depicts the dysfunction
- of the regulation system that the average citizen
- 19 might think they are protected by.
- 2008 was a big year for CAFO
- 21 related fish kills in Illinois. There were eight.
- Would you consider a ten-mile fish kill a crime?
- 23 The blame? Legal process and unpaid fines that
- go on for years. A ten-mile fish kill in the

- 1 Sangamon River in McLean County from 2010 is
- 2 still in dispute.
- As I understand it, regulators
- 4 have not been able to identify, charge, convict,
- or fine the operation that caused so much damage
- 6 although a CAFO upstream was suspect.
- 7 The facility near my home was
- 8 claimed to be zero discharge and went through
- 9 the required Illinois Department of Agriculture
- 10 approvals for construction. It took a stream
- turning bright purple by that CAFO to finally
- get the attention of the IEPA. This happened
- even though previous discharges were witnessed
- 14 and documented by area residents to no avail.
- 15 I consider it an agronomic
- irresponsibility to spread 320,000 gallons of
- silage leachate on just five acres. I watched
- as applications had been made, even on the snowy
- 19 frozen ground leading up to the purple discharge
- that occurred the following October after three
- weeks of no rain. The discharge occurred because
- of a worker dumping leachate on the same five
- 23 acres from a hold pond that mysteriously kept
- overflowing.

- 1 This discharge happened likely
- because of the karst aquifer underlying the field
- feeding into the headwaters of a tributary of the
- 4 Apple River, which leads to the beautiful pristine
- 5 Apple Canyon State Park. The Apple River is prized
- 6 as one of the state's most biologically significant
- 7 streams and its renowned small mouth bass fishery
- 8 that draws anglers from all over the region.
- 9 This place is a jewel that
- 10 hundreds visit monthly as a destination that
- embraces ECO tourism recognized by Governor Pat
- 12 Quinn. Still no charges or fines paid yet for
- the violation and that just stinks.
- 14 The Pollution Control Board needs
- 15 to enact regulation requiring basic information to
- be submitted by all CAFOs to the IEPA. This would
- 17 provide valuable information to narrow the focus
- of investigations and would help ensure laws are
- 19 upheld.
- Without this, violations could
- continue to go undetected and unpunished. It
- can't be assumed that a facility that meets LMFA
- 23 requirements will be operated so that it won't
- discharge. All large CAFOs should be required to

- follow more stringent standards, permit or not.
- As I said, it's not if a CAFO
- will discharge, it's when it will discharge.
- 4 Requiring NPDES permits and imposing stricter
- 5 nutrient management standards after CAFOs
- 6 discharge will do nothing to improve CAFO
- 7 regulations in Illinois.
- 8 HEARING OFFICER FOX: Ms. Turner,
- 9 that ends your comment, I assume?
- MS. TURNER: Yes.
- 11 HEARING OFFICER FOX: If I may ask
- you, the next commenter is Mr. Nelson, and if you
- would turn the microphone over to him, I'm sure
- he will meet you to pick it up.
- Mr. Nelson, please go ahead.
- MR. NELSON: My name is Philip Nelson
- and I'm a farmer from Seneca, Illinois. Along with
- my wife, Carmen, and our kids, Kendall and Rachael,
- we operate a fourth generation family farm in
- 20 LaSalle County.
- We raise corn, soybeans and
- 22 alfalfa. We have a cattle operation and operated
- our hog operation until just about a year ago.
- I also served as president at

- the Illinois Farm Bureau. The Illinois Farm
- Bureau is the largest ag general farm organization
- 3 representing two-thirds of all the farmers in
- 4 our state.
- 5 Livestock is very important to
- 6 Illinois Farm Bureau and all of agricultural,
- 7 which is why I appreciate the opportunity to
- 8 comment on the proposed rule.
- 9 I want to thank you, the
- 10 members of the Pollution Control Board and the
- 11 Hearing Officer, for taking these comments.
- 12 As I mentioned, I operate a
- small cattle operation until recently, a hog
- operation on our farm. As a livestock farmer,
- 15 I worked hard advancing our farm in a responsible
- manner.
- Manure has been an important
- source of fertilizer for our crops on our farm,
- which is why I work to manage it responsibly.
- We test our soils prior to applying nutrients
- so we know the proper amounts to apply. Liquid
- 22 manure was injected into the soil so that
- nutrients were readily available for our crops.
- I also utilized conservation

- 1 practices on our farm to protect our natural
- 2 resources. For example, I established grass
- 3 waterways that we established to help reduce
- 4 erosions and protect water quality.
- 5 The practices that we utilize
- 6 in our farming operation are not unique. Livestock
- 7 farmers around this state use responsible management
- 8 practices on their farms as well. Farmers want
- 9 to responsibly manage their farms, protect natural
- 10 resources, and raise food for our families and
- 11 for yours.
- 12 In order for livestock farms to
- continue to be viable in Illinois, the proposed
- 14 rule you are considering must be consistent with
- other regulations. Establishing regulations for
- 16 farmers that unnecessarily duplicate existing
- 17 regulations or are inconsistent will create
- 18 confusion.
- 19 The Livestock Management
- 20 Facilities Act is the law established by the
- 21 General Assembly to regulate the citing and
- 22 pollution prevention standards for livestock
- 23 farms. The proposed rule you are considering
- needs to align itself with the LMFA.

- 1 For example, if citing regulations
- or manure application regulations differ between
- 3 the LMFA and the proposed rule, it will create
- 4 confusion for farmers who already operate under
- 5 the LMFA. Duplicating existing regulations
- 6 also creates confusion and adds to the cost
- of compliance, but does not improve or protect
- 8 our resources.
- 9 Waste management plans that
- 10 farmers already have in place should be recognized
- 11 as a way to demonstrate proper nutrient management
- 12 allowing the farmer to claim that the ag storm water
- exemption offered by the Federal Clean Water Act.
- 14 Establishing a rule consistent with other
- 15 regulations farmers face will not only help us
- protect our resources, but allow our livestock
- sector to continue to operate.
- 18 Livestock farmers are very
- important as a part of our economy in Illinois.
- They are the largest consumers of grain. The
- 21 inputs and services required by livestock farms
- represents \$27 billion of economic activity for
- the Illinois economy.
- It's very important to all

- 1 farmers in Illinois that the rule that you
- establish is consistent with other regulations,
- 3 that it is reasonable, and that it is economically
- 4 feasible. This will not only provide protection
- for our natural resources, but also allow livestock
- farms to continue to be an important economic
- 7 engine for our economy.
- Thank you for the opportunity to
- 9 comment.
- 10 HEARING OFFICER FOX: Mr. Nelson, thank
- 11 you.
- Mr. Block is our next commenter
- and I recall that he is right there near you.
- Mr. Block, please go ahead with
- your comment.
- MR. BLOCK: Good morning. My name is
- Doug Block. I would like to thank the Pollution
- 18 Control Board for holding these hearings and for
- 19 the opportunity to comment.
- I'm a fifth generation dairy
- 21 farmer from Pearl City, Illinois. I have lived
- in that area for my entire life. My brother,
- Tom, and I own Hunter Haven Farms and we are dairy
- farmers today. We're milking 835 cows. I came

- from the farm this morning. We're milking three
- 2 times a day.
- Our farm has had livestock on
- 4 it for more than 100 years. During that time,
- 5 Lake Carroll had been developed, which is a
- 6 community of homes surrounding a manmade lake.
- 7 To my brother and I, it's important to be
- 8 regarded by the people who live close to us
- 9 as good neighbors.
- We take our role as producers
- of milk very seriously and have invested in
- 12 technology, management and dedicated employees
- to ensure our operation has minimal impact to
- our neighbors. In fact, many have been to
- our farm and visited as we maintain an open
- door policy and we encourage community groups,
- school children and other dairymen to take
- 18 tours of our facilities.
- Tom and I work with professionals
- in developing a comprehensive nutrient management
- 21 plan for our farm. We take soil samples, analyze
- nutrients and perform multiple calculations to
- ensure we are applying manure at the proper rate
- 24 and in the appropriate manner. This plan,

- which is continually updated, costs our farm
- over several thousand dollars to develop every
- 3 year.
- This past year, over 80 percent
- of our fertilizer for the 1,800 acres that we
- 6 farm our crops actually came from the manure
- from our dairy cows and we think that recycling
- is tremendously important and to us, it's not
- 9 waste management, it's an asset in developing
- and utilizing that manure correctly.
- On our farm, we have also
- invested in two electricity generation sets,
- which run on methane gas generated from cows'
- manure. This technology, though quite expensive,
- has been very beneficial in minimizing odor
- and making our manure application more efficient.
- Dairy farming is an important
- business in Illinois and as I'm preparing my
- books for the year-end taxes this past year,
- I noticed that 80 percent of the checks that
- I wrote out from our dairy farm, and even though
- 22 it is a larger scale for northern Illinois, 80
- percent of those checks went within a 40-mile
- 24 radius of our farm in Pearl City.

- 1 Agriculture is the number
- one economic driver in Illinois and we need to
- make certain that the regulations in agricultural
- 4 must meet and stay with it in common sense and
- 5 do not add an undue burden to a cost of our
- 6 livelihood. Thank you.
- HEARING OFFICER FOX: Mr. Block,
- 8 thank you for your comment. Mr. Deutsch is the
- 9 next one who is right there at your right, of
- 10 course.
- Mr. Deutsch, please go ahead
- with your comments.
- MR. DEUTSCH: Good morning. I
- would like to thank the Pollution Control Board
- for holding these hearings and the opportunity
- 16 for me to comment.
- My name is William Deutsch
- and I'm a lifelong dairy farmer in Sycamore,
- 19 right here in DeKalb County. My brother, Pat,
- 20 and I own a dairy farm where we milk 140 cows
- twice a day. Our farm has had livestock on it
- for more 80 years.
- As a dairy farm, I live in
- the same -- I live on the same farm as my

- 1 cattle. I drink from the same well.
- 2 Environmental responsibility
- 3 is a very high priority on my list. That's
- because I do not want to harm myself, my family
- or my neighbors. This farm has been in
- 6 my family for more than four generations. God
- 7 willing, it will go onto the next generation
- 8 and my son will be the fifth.
- We in agricultural hold a
- zero discharge standard. We work to ensure
- no manure leaves the farm unless it is applied
- in a controlled, deliberate manner. We must
- completely contain our manure in a structure,
- whether it be concrete or urban, and then
- apply it to the field according to crop's needs.
- In my lifetime, I have seen
- manure go from a nuisance or a waste to a very
- valuable commodity. It has become a valuable
- asset for my farm as well as the farm neighbors
- around me. We improve the soil by adding organic
- 21 matter and essential nutrients replacing those
- nutrients we removing from chopping silage and
- 23 harvesting our corn. It is all part of a cycle
- of nature. One practice compliments another.

- 1 As the Pollution Control Board
- 2 considers these proposal changes to regulations,
- 3 please keep in mind that over regulation and heavy
- 4 handedness on the part of government could add to
- 5 the cost of my family's dairy operation. A cost
- 6 that may place a financial burden on our farm
- and that could cause me to make some very tough
- 8 choices.
- 9 Thank you once again for
- the opportunity to visit with you this morning.
- HEARING OFFICER FOX: Mr. Deutsch,
- thank you for your comments. The next commenter
- is Ms. Hudson, who is over here in the front row,
- if I may ask your help in handing the microphone
- off to her, please.
- MR. DEUTSCH: Sure.
- MS. HUDSON: Can I put my papers up
- 18 here?
- 19 HEARING OFFICER FOX: Absolutely.
- MS. HUDSON: Thank you.
- HEARING OFFICER FOX: Ms. Hudson,
- Mr. Deutsch has the microphone for you. He's
- 23 bringing it to you.
- MS. HUDSON: My name is Karen

- 1 Hudson. I'm a farmer in Peoria County, Illinois.
- I'm a cofounder of Illinois Citizens for Clean
- 3 Air and Water and we represent about 30 counties
- 4 all over the state and I work nationally for
- 5 Socially Responsible Agricultural Project.
- I support livestock in Illinois.
- 7 I'm a meat eater and I believe that we need to
- 8 do more in livestock states. However, I think
- 9 we need to have rules that match our growth and
- 10 currently, we do not.
- 11 About five years ago on a
- 12 November night, I received a phone call -- a very
- surprising phone call from Region 5 EPA and they
- 14 said is this Families Against Rule Messes? And
- 15 I said, yes. And they said, do you have any idea
- where the CAFOs are in Illinois? I said, I thought
- you knew that. And they said, no, we don't. We're
- 18 trying to get this all together and we thought the
- 19 citizens groups would be able to help us.
- That's just a short snippet
- of how much trouble we're in as far as inventory
- of farms in the area large and small.
- On Veteran's Day Eve, I received
- 24 an anonymous phone call saying that we needed

- to go down and look at a creek in Peoria County.
- We had the largest dairy at that time, which is
- 3 the Inwood Dairy. We saw foam up to two feet deep
- and took water samples of our own and found 81,000
- 5 units of fecal coliform per hundred millimeters.
- 6 Two hundred is a safe amount. Anything over that is
- 7 dangerous to the touch.
- Now, we called EPA. It was
- 9 Veteran's Day. We got no one to come out and
- 10 help us, but I do have photos and documentation
- 11 for that.
- On 911, I documented
- over-application and spill on the property after
- another anonymous phone call from another business
- 15 in
- the area at that time.
- The largest is deliberate
- 18 release of manure in the state of Illinois was
- 19 from Inwood Dairy. We used photographs. We got
- 20 a complaint. We got photographs of this and Lisa
- 21 Madigan asked to use our photographs to convict
- this facility. There was a \$50,000 fine and the
- Department of Justice also filed suit, and my
- neighbor is now a convicted felon. It should

- not have had to go that far. I believe that he
- was also a victim because our rules are not being
- followed and are not taken seriously enough.
- We saw numerous over-application
- of manure in Peoria County so badly, near the well
- 6 head of a property that family was so sick, they
- visited the hospital for tests, their pets were
- 8 sick. When Fulton County tested their well, they
- 9 found such high levels of fecal coliform in their
- water, they were told not to even bathe in their
- 11 water. That's again Inwood Dairy.
- 12 Stone Ridge Dairy, which is now
- 13 the poster child for U of I and the largest dairy
- in the state, we drove past it after a presentation
- in Indiana and found leachates in the surface
- waters leading directly from the dairy. I have
- documentation of that. I called that into EPA
- 18 and I will provide that as well.
- 19 They were not covering their
- silage pile and had no berm around their silage
- pile. This is supposed to be an operation that
- U of I students go to and see how farming should
- 23 be done.
- The list that I have -- you're

- 1 probably going to hear by some of the folks that
- are planning on testifying, we need a register on
- 3 CAFOs, period. Right now, the EPA is trying to
- 4 get a handle on this. It's going to save time
- 5 and money and also help the ag industry if they
- 6 voluntarily register their facilities.
- 7 They need to file waste
- 8 management plans. I have been to county board
- 9 hearings where county boards have no idea what
- the waste management plan is and yet they are
- suppose to do a non-binding vote of how this
- will fit into their community.
- Waste management plans will
- show where this is going to be applied within
- the community. Withheld information does not
- 16 allow the county boards to make a decision
- with confidence.
- We need increased setbacks.
- 19 As I said, we had an issue here in Elmwood where
- they applied less than 200 feet of a well head
- 21 resulting in a contamination. We also had about
- a 50 to 150,000 abandoned wells. That's just
- an estimate. That's another reason why we need
- to file a waste management plan because those

- abandoned wells are on properties and people
- don't even realize it.
- I also agree that stringent
- 4 rules should be enforced regarding manure
- 5 application during windy periods. The hog
- 6 operation in Williamsville, Illinois is a large
- operation. I have seen them distributing their
- 8 waste in 30 to 40-mile-an-hour winds and I have
- 9 videos of a neighbor of this facility driving
- down the road with his windshield wipers on
- smearing hog urine and effluent on his windows.
- We need to have something to
- 13 protect our surface waters as far as application
- and also this facility has caused a fish kill
- in over-applying their waste.
- That's about it for now, but
- 17 I'd like to close and say that Mr. James
- 18 Kammueller of the Peoria region of EPA once
- wrote a letter to Woodford County saying that
- 20 although they would follow the LMFA, there would
- still be problems because of the way it is written
- 22 and it was sort of a warning that we have to be
- 23 really careful. You can follow all of the rules
- 24 and you can still have problems with the neighbors.

- When the Ryan administration
- found out about this, his superior forced
- Mr. Kammueller, a longtime EPA official, to
- 4 apologize to these producers and he
- was just stating what was true. And if you read
- 6 behind the words, we means that we need to change
- 7 a lot of things.
- I have more testimony here.
- 9 I would also like to enter what I'm showing you
- into the record. My photographs are in this
- 11 book. I have several of them. It's Peoria
- 12 County, Illinois photographs.
- I also have some information
- on antibiotic resistance, which has become a
- huge problem in waterways, especially for this
- water. I have all the research and footnotes
- at the end to back that up.
- I think that's about it. Thank
- you very much.
- HEARING OFFICER FOX: Very good. The
- next commenter is Mr. Sheehan, who is sitting in
- the middle aisle. Ms. Hudson, if you would hand
- the microphone to him, I would greatly appreciate
- 24 it and we can move on to the next comment.

- 1 Mr. Sheehan, please go ahead
- whenever you're ready. You're here on behalf
- of Representative Pritchard, I understand.
- 4 MR. SHEEHAN: Thank you. My name is
- 5 Jeff Sheehan. I appreciate the opportunity to be
- 6 able to read Representative Pritchard's comments
- ⁷ into the record.
- Dear Members of the Board: Let
- 9 me begin by expressing appreciation to the Board
- for holding one of its hearings on Concentrated
- 11 Animal Feeding Operation Rule Amendments in DeKalb.
- 12 I regret that I could not be present to welcome
- you to the heart of my legislative district, but
- 14 appreciate this format to offer comments on the
- proposed amendments for your consideration.
- As you can tell from traveling
- here, the area is a mix of rural and urban with
- agriculture and specifically livestock production,
- 19 play an important role in the local economy. DeKalb
- 20 County is a leading producer of hogs and cattle in
- the state and farmers have been innovators in animal
- husbandry as well as responsible stewards of the
- land for well over 100 years.
- The county Farm Bureau was formed

- in 1912 to assist farmers with the adoption of the
- 2 latest technology and soil improvement techniques.
- 3 The farms are operated by families who for
- 4 generations have made their living from the land
- 5 and have a vested economic interest in good
- 6 stewardship, conservation and the best farming
- 7 practices.
- 8 The DeKalb County Board has
- 9 made persistent and conscientious decisions to
- 10 protect farmland from urban sprawl and to
- 11 perpetuate favorable coexistence among non-farmers
- and farming operations. It is a stated public
- policy to protect open space, responsible farming
- 14 practices and conservation of land and water
- 15 resources.
- As a native of this area and
- the fifth generation of farmers in DeKalb County,
- 18 I, too, have a vested interest in good farming
- 19 practices, preserving the land and protecting
- the water quality, which sustains life for humans,
- 21 plants and animals.
- It is with this perspective
- that I share comments on behalf of the residents
- of the region regarding regulations on animal

Page 35

- production and responsible farming practices.
- I compliment those involved
- 3 in developing these proposed rules for their
- 4 concerns for maintaining clean water and air.
- 5 Their responsiveness to suggestions from the
- 6 agricultural industry and their efforts to create
- 7 fair regulations. This process has been one
- of give and take with all parties, respect for
- 9 the viewpoints of diverse groups and the writing
- of proposed rules based upon sound science.
- These proposed rules should
- 12 mirror federal CAFO rules and avoid duplicative
- or conflicting regulation. It is critical that
- 14 regulations be reasonable, fair and clear so
- 15 that livestock producers can comply with the
- 16 intent of the law while continuing to use
- best practices and make an economic living.
- 18 As Illinois suffers from the
- 19 national economic downturn and its businesses
- struggle to remain profitable, employ workers
- 21 and compete in an international marketplace,
- our regulations must be fair, affordable and
- not unnecessarily add to the cost of doing
- business in our state. The proposed rules

- 1 are a step in that direction.
- 2 Again, thank you for your
- efforts to protect our environment, consider the
- 4 various interests in this issue and develop rules
- 5 that are reasonable and affordable for livestock
- 6 producers. Sincerely, Robert W. Pritchard.
- HEARING OFFICER FOX: Mr. Sheehan,
- 8 thank you for the comment on behalf of
- 9 Representative Pritchard. Mr. Alschuler is the
- 10 commenter. I believe he is the next right there
- 11 to your left.
- Mr. Alschuler, please go ahead
- when you're ready with your comment.
- MR. ALSCHULER: My name is Matthew
- 15 Alschuler. I'm president of HOMES. We're a
- 16 nonprofit group located in Jo Daviess County.
- We were formed by the residential farmers to
- educate the public as to the dangers of industrial
- 19 scale agricultural.
- One of our members was unable
- to make it today and I'd like to read her statement
- 22 into the record.
- My name is Beverly McPhillips
- 24 and in 2008, while I was the mayor of the village

- of Winslow, Illinois, I learned about a proposed
- 2 large-scale industrial mega-dairy in northwestern
- 3 Illinois.
- Winslow has two natural features
- 5 that enrich our residents and attract tourists.
- 6 First is the Pecatonica River, which flows through
- 7 town on its way up into Wisconsin.
- 8 Second is our natural artesian
- 9 spring that for decades has provided all of the
- village's domestic water while attracting visitors
- 11 from miles away who come to town just to fill up
- their containers with pure drinking water.
- I was warned by knowledgeable
- 14 scientists that our domestic water supply provided
- by the artesian spring and even the Pecatonica
- 16 River were all at risk should this facility be
- allowed to become operational and land apply the
- hundreds of millions of manure that would be
- 19 generated by facilities of this size.
- I was informed that the geology
- of our area would allow this land applied waste to
- get into our groundwater and easily wash into the
- 23 Pecatonica River.
- I was dismayed that the Illinois

- 1 Department of Agriculture interpreted the LMFA to
- 2 allow a facility of this magnitude to be built in an
- 3 area that experts said should be off limits due to
- 4 our easily contaminated water supply.
- 5 As the mayor of Winslow, I felt
- it was my civic duty to protect my village's
- 7 valuable natural resources and oppose this facility.
- 8 If a village the size of Winslow lost its domestic
- 9 water supply, the economic fallout would have been
- devastating, far exceeding any minimal financial
- advantages that this facility alleged to provide
- 12 to our county.
- What was even more disconcerting
- was that the Illinois EPA interpreted its
- 15 regulations such that they would not step in until
- 16 after the facility polluted. What I learned about
- 17 Illinois regulatory system was that even in cases
- where a CAFO is poorly sited and is a disaster
- waiting to happen, nothing will be done to prevent
- 20 a disaster until after the fact.
- We sent the Illinois EPA
- 22 information and reports written by regional and
- state experts, but the agency told us they would
- only get involved after the facility was completed

- and only after it discharged. This reply from the
- state agency charged with protecting our water was
- 3 baffling to me.
- I urge the Board to strengthen
- 5 current regulations and to follow guidelines put
- forth by experts that properly define karst areas,
- yes such as those found in the driftless region of
- 8 northwest Illinois and require greater siting and
- 9 land application area setbacks from drinking water
- supply wells and surface waters, rivers, streams
- 11 and sensitive geologic features such as karst
- 12 areas.
- 13 All large-scale confinement
- operations should be required to follow the same
- 15 Clean Water Act protection standards and the
- 16 Illinois EPA should be compelled to ensure that
- they are all following those standards before
- they pollute, not after the fact.
- The IPCB needs to give the IEPA
- the authority and the motivation to protect our
- 21 environment so that other communities and
- 22 individuals don't have to endure the long and
- expensive battle we were forced to take on just
- to protect a resource as vital and primary as

- our water. Our families, our communities, and
- our traditional family farmers deserve that
- 3 protection and are counting on you.
- Thank you, Beverly McPhillips,
- 5 Mayor of Winslow from 2005 to 2008 and village board
- 6 members for over 25 years.
- 7 HEARING OFFICER FOX: Mr. Alschuler,
- 8 thank you for that comment. The final comment is
- 9 Mr. Sterling, who I believe is right there in your
- vicinity. If you would hand the microphone over
- 11 to him.
- Mr. Sterling, please begin your
- 13 comment when you are ready.
- MR. STERLING: Okay. Thank you
- for allowing me to speak today. I actually had
- something prepared a little longer than three
- minutes so if it seems a little choppy,
- 18 I apologize for that.
- 19 For the record, I would like
- 20 to state my name, Eric Anthony Sterling. I'm
- currently a senior anthropology student at
- Northern Illinois University. I worked as
- 23 a union carpenter for 17 years before I
- came back to school to study anthropology.

- I had a vision of how I would
- be traveling to faraway lands to learn from --
- 3 to help improve the lives of impoverished citizens
- 4 throughout the world. I never dreamed I would
- learn how broken our system is right here in
- 6 Illinois and how the public is not protected
- 7 by these policies.
- 8 I have learned that right
- here in Illinois, people are being impacted by
- 10 the state of Illinois through environmental
- 11 pollution from industrial livestock facilities.
- 12 Rural residents of Illinois are telling stories
- that reflect those experiences from my
- anthropological studies of underprivileged,
- 15 faraway people cultures.
- They come from counties spread
- out all over the state telling gut-wrenching,
- emotional stories and the ICCAW is their shoulder
- 19 to cry on. Stories of Goliath versus David,
- horrendous environmental conditions and the
- 21 disruption of their communities are the theme.
- 22 Sometimes I have to fight back the tears myself
- and then I become inspired by the courage and
- want to do all I can do to represent them in

- their plight.
- I have learned that more often
- 3 than not, politics and corporate profits trump
- 4 common decency and respect. We have the laws
- 5 and representations such as the Clean Water Act
- 6 that are only minimally imposed on polluters.
- 7 The public's interest and clean air and water
- is not being protected by the regulatory system.
- 9 I found out that gathering
- 10 information about CAFOs can be extremely difficult.
- 11 What is their address? Who is the opener or the
- 12 agent, integrator, supplier, operator, et cetera?
- What are their land application plans? Limited
- 14 liability companies doing business as Highland,
- 15 Timberland, Great Rivers, et cetera, using wholesome
- names to quote the CAFOs business.
- In fact, it's nearly impossible
- to figure out who really owns and operates many
- of these facilities unless they end up in court
- 20 because LLCs don't have to report to the secretary
- of state who owns the company.
- During my time as a carpenter, I
- learned many lessons and some little tricks of
- the trade and many other things about common

- 1 sense.
- Doing research on location of
- 3 CAFOs in Illinois in close proximity near waters,
- 4 it doesn't take much to connect the dots. The
- 5 rivers and streams that are speckled with CAFOs
- 6 are highly impaired with the very same pollutants
- 7 CAFOs are known for.
- 8 Another discerning thing I
- 9 found in my research was a significant loophole
- in the law that allows large operations to escape
- 11 regulation by entirely establishing several adjacent
- 12 facilities, frame them out on back roads, out of
- sight, out of mind, of any regulatory requirements.
- Provision making is possible
- under Livestock Management Facilities Act state
- that a factory that farm's multiple sites can be
- 17 considered separate facilities so long as they are
- one-quarter mile apart or use separate waste
- 19 storage facilities at each site. Most CAFOs don't
- 20 ever have to submit their waste management plans to
- the Department of Agriculture or the Illinois EPA
- 22 review for approval.
- How would anyone know they are all
- 24 combining the waste surrounding land since each one

- is treated separately. I would suspect no one
- 2 cross-referencing their nutrients management plans.
- If you look at the map here that
- 4 Kim is holding of the Tanner Corporation facility,
- 5 it has multiple buildings and lagoons probably just
- 6 about one quarter of a mile apart. Apple Creek is
- 7 highly impaired with fecal coliform.
- This is actually probably about
- 9 35,000 to 40,000 pigs living within about a mile
- from each other, two miles from each other.
- Actually, you can see from this one, I'm just going
- to point to it really fast, you can see that one
- 13 CAFO is draining right into the water.
- 14 I'd like to conclude by saying
- 15 that my partner and I --
- MS. MANNING: Do you have a copy for
- everybody?
- MR. STERLING: I would like to conclude
- by saying that my partner and I have a 42-pound
- 20 border collie. If we miss cleaning up the backyard
- only after a day or two and it was like a shit mine
- 22 field out there. Imagine the stockpiles of waste
- created by these large CAFOs. It is an incredible
- task of managing them. My grandmother taught me

- you don't mix money and bleach and you don't crap
- with water either. CAFOs are a significant cause
- of water pollution in Illinois and stronger
- 4 regulations are crucial.
- I hope that the Illinois
- 6 Pollution Control Board will heed the word of
- 7 all those advocating for rural residents in
- 8 Illinois who have already suffered so much.
- 9 HEARING OFFICER FOX: Mr. Sterling,
- thank you very much for your comment. And that
- 11 concludes the comments on the part of the
- 12 nine persons who signed in.
- 13 Is there anyone else who had
- 14 not signed in who would like to offer a comment
- before we turn to the substantive testimony?
- Neither seeing nor hearing any,
- Mr. Panno, we have reached the point where we're
- prepared for you. I think a more advantageous
- 19 place for you to deliver your testimony in response
- for questions, if Mr. Bodine would be accommodating,
- 21 is at a desk and chair right here near the front
- where you've got a microphone and eye-to-eye contact
- with our court reporter.
- Mr. Panno, thanks for your

- flexibility in moving up in front and roughly
- centered. We have reached the point at which
- 3 we can have our court reporter swear you in.
- 4 (Witness sworn.)
- 5 HEARING OFFICER FOX: Mr. Panno,
- 6 in discussing procedural issues off the record
- before we began, we took note of the fact that
- your original pre-filed testimony had been
- 9 supplemented, I believe, on the 24th of October.
- There was an indication that
- 11 that supplement had not been received by all of
- the participants in this proceeding. And
- consequently, it was my judgment that it make
- sense for you to read your supplemental testimony
- filed on the 24th in its entirety so that the
- 16 participants were fully aware of its substance.
- 17 If we could ask you to do that
- now at this point, we'll -- at the conclusion of
- that, we'll turn to questions based upon it.
- MR. PANNO: Can I ask a quick question?
- 21 HEARING OFFICER FOX: Surely, sir.
- MR. PANNO: There's about 30 references
- in here. Do I need to read each of those?
- 24 HEARING OFFICER FOX: I would

- 1 suspect that none of the participants would like
- for you to recite a long list of references
- 3 and I am not --
- MS. MANNING: That's correct.
- 5 HEARING OFFICER FOX: I'm hearing at
- 6 least one affirmation on that from Ms. Manning and
- 7 I assume the Agency and the environmental group is
- indicating that they, too, would not benefit from
- 9 that. So thank you for clarifying that, Mr. Panno.
- 10 If you would please pass over
- those, we would appreciate it.
- MR. PANNO: Okay. All right. Updated
- testimony of Samuel V. Panno updated October 24,
- 14 2012. Introduction: My name is Samuel V. Panno.
- 15 I am a senior geochemist with the Illinois State
- 16 Geological Survey, Prairie Research Institute,
- University of Illinois. I have extensive expertise
- in karst geology, karst hydrology and groundwater
- chemistry and I've published more than 100 peer
- 20 review original research papers in those fields.
- I am providing testimony as an
- expert witness on karst regarding proposed changes
- to regulations 35 Illinois Administrative Code,
- Parts 501, 502 and 504, made by Illinois by the

- 1 Illinois Environmental Protection Agency, IEPA,
- currently under consideration by the Illinois
- 3 Pollution Control Board.
- I am an expert in geology,
- 5 hydrogeology and groundwater chemistry of karst
- 6 and other aquifers. My current professional
- 7 position is senior geochemist with the Illinois
- 8 State Geological Survey, Prairie Research Institute
- 9 and University of Illinois.
- I am a certified groundwater
- 11 professional with the Association of Groundwater
- 12 Scientists and Engineers, a division of the
- 13 National Groundwater Association. I have
- authored and co-authored over 100 peer reviewed
- original research articles in a variety of areas
- of geology, hydrogeology and groundwater chemistry.
- 17 Some representative publications, most of which
- 18 I am a senior author, include -- and I assume I
- 19 can skip those?
- HEARING OFFICER FOX: Mr. Panno, I
- 21 appreciate your willingness to do that. In the
- 22 interest of speed, as you get into -- and forgive
- my interruption, please.
- MR. PANNO: No problem.

- 1 HEARING OFFICER FOX: As you get
- into the substance of your testimony, if you
- would draw particular attention to in additions
- 4 or other changes from your original testimony,
- 5 I know the Board would appreciate that.
- MR. PANNO: Okay. The first change
- 7 was the first sentence under qualifications. I
- 8 state I'm expert in geology, hydrogeology.
- 9 Let's see. Okay. Just above
- 10 that, I state I am providing testimony as an
- 11 expert witness and in the previous one, I stated
- 12 that I was testifying as a representative of the
- 13 Illinois State Geological Survey.
- Okay. The published research
- above describes the location and extent of
- 16 karstified carbonate rock throughout Illinois
- and it's potential for groundwater contamination.
- 18 Also relevant is my current research using a
- 19 liDAR imagery, aerial photography and fieldwork
- 20 to map karst features in Illinois including
- 21 cover-collapse sinkholes, solution-enlarged
- crevices visible in road cuts, quarries and
- outcrops, and associated lineaments.
- 24 Additional current research

- includes sampling of groundwater from karst
- 2 regions of the midwestern U.S. for bacterial
- analysis, including karst regions of Wisconsin
- 4 that are having groundwater quality problems
- 5 as a direct result of manure application by
- 6 nearby confined animal feeding operations,
- 7 CAFOs.
- I have added the following
- 9 sentences for clarification. I have witnessed
- firsthand the runoff of brown, liquid manure
- 11 applied to frozen ground in a karst region of
- 12 Wisconsin. The manure and melt water raced
- across fields and residences and entered private
- wells. In one instance, the manure and melt
- water flowed unchanged from a resident's faucet.
- 16 Karst Areas of Illinois,
- 17 Introduction: Carbonate rock comprises
- approximately 25 percent of the bedrock surface
- of Illinois. Carbonate bedrock ranges from
- Ordovician to Mississippi in age and is located
- 21 along the margins of the Illinois Basin and
- 22 along major geological structures.
- Sediments overlying carbonate
- 24 bedrock in Illinois range from zero to more than

- 1 100 meters of glacial till and loess. Carbonate
- 2 rock is a major source of groundwater in Illinois
- and throughout the world with the most productive
- 4 aquifers having secondary porosity, which is
- fractures and bedding plane partings, that permits
- 6 the transport of water into and through the rock.
- Porosity is further enhanced by
- 8 dissolution of carbonate rock and the formation
- 9 of conduit systems along solution-enlarged fractures
- and along bedding planes. The movement of surface
- waters, that is rainwater and snow melt, through
- 12 the soil and into fractures in soluble carbonate
- 13 rock is responsible for the development of karst
- 14 terrains.
- Because of the generation of
- 16 carbon dioxide by root respiration and microbial
- 17 activities in soils overlying carbonate rock,
- infiltrating water becomes acidic prior to
- entering fractures, joints and bedding planes
- in carbonate rock. Small amounts of calcite
- 21 and/or dolomite, the dominant minerals of carbonate
- rock, dissolve releasing calcium, magnesium and
- 23 bicarbonate ions into the water approaching
- saturation with calcite and/or dolomite.

- Slow dissolution over thousands
- 2 to hundreds of thousands of years gradually
- enlarges joints, fractures, and pathways along
- bedding planes through which groundwater moves.
- 5 Some solution-enlarged pathways become large
- 6 conduits or caverns through which groundwater
- flows to points of discharge. For example,
- 8 springs.
- 9 The term "karst" is defined
- by Ford and Williams as "terrain with distinctive
- 11 hydrology and landforms arising from a combination
- of high rock solubility and well developed solution
- on secondary porosity." Quinlan, et al, 1991,
- defined a karst aquifer in terms of hydraulics as
- 15 "an aquifer in which flow of water is or can be
- appreciable through one or more of the following:
- Joints, faults, bedding planes and cavities, any
- or all of which have been enlarged by dissolution
- of bedrock."
- Soluble bedrock in Illinois
- 21 includes limestone and dolomite. Quinlan and
- others, 1991, further state that, "as
- generalization, if carbonate rocks such as
- limestone, marble or dolomite are present, assume

- 1 that the water moving through these rocks is a
- 2 karst until or unless convincingly proven
- otherwise. This statement is correct probably
- 4 95 percent of the time. Assume also an Orwellian
- 5 nature to the definition of a karst aguifer: All
- 6 carbonate terranes are more karstic and underlain
- by one or more karst aquifers, but some are more
- 8 karstic than others."
- 9 Of those areas within Illinois
- that are underlain by carbonate rock, about 35
- 11 percent of that area or nine percent of the state,
- Figure 1, are close enough to the surface to
- show exposures and be part of freshwater aquifers
- 14 currently being used by residents and
- 15 municipalities.
- These areas include five regions
- 17 that contain karst features at or near the surface
- and then there are some references by Weibel and
- 19 Panno, Panno and Weibel, and so on.
- Berg, 2001, in discussing
- sensitivity of groundwater to contamination,
- 22 stated that, "karst areas are the most sensitive
- of any geological setting because contaminants
- can be transported very rapidly."

- 1 Features that are typical
- of karst terrain include closed depressions,
- 3 sinkholes, caves, large springs, fluted rock
- 4 outcrops, including cutters and grikes along
- 5 road cuts and in quarries, blind valleys, swallow
- 6 holes, lineaments, and recently discovered crop
- 7 lines.
- 8 However, the apparent absence
- 9 of karst features in the underground surface,
- 10 for example, sinkholes, does in no way preclude
- 11 the presence of an underlining aquifer -- karst
- 12 aquifer. This is because sinkholes are part of
- a continuum that extends from large-scale sinkholes,
- drains, down to nano-scale macropores, Calvin
- 15 Alexander, University of Minnesota, personal
- 16 communications, 2009.
- There is a map of Illinois
- showing the karst areas of Illinois in dark
- 19 gray. This is Figure 1. The non-karst area
- 20 is in light gray. The karst areas represent
- 21 about 95 percent of the land's surface.
- The figure caption reads, map
- of the karst areas of Illinois. Specifically,
- the map only shows those areas underlined by

- karstified carbonate rocks and overlain by less
- than 50 feet of unconsolidated materials as
- 3 represented in dark gray. This is from Weibel
- 4 and Panno, 1997.
- Resent work by Lindsey, et al,
- 6 2010, has shown that sinkhole density is an
- important parameter from an aquifer vulnerability
- 8 assessment point of view. Lindsey and others,
- 9 2010, developed these categories for sinkhole
- density using karst areas from four states in
- 11 the eastern United States, which include the
- 12 following: Low (less than one sinkhole per 100
- square kilometers), medium (one to 25 sinkholes
- 14 per 100 square kilometers), and high (greater
- than 20 sinkholes per 100 square kilometers).
- 16 Lindsey, and others, also
- determined that nitrate concentrations, indicative
- of anthropogenic (typically agricultural lands)
- 19 contamination were significantly greater in high
- and medium sinkhole density areas than low sinkhole
- density areas. The relatively large pathways
- 22 present in fissured or karstified carbonate rock
- 23 allow rapid movement of water into and through
- the rock bodies. These rock bodies often

- constitute locally important aquifers in Illinois.
- 2 However, when near land surface, fissured and
- karst aquifers are highly susceptible to
- 4 surface-derived contamination.
- 5 Recharge to the karst aquifers
- often is rapid, can be analogous to water movement
- 7 to and through agricultural drainage tiles and
- 8 carries with it materials, often macroscopic,
- 9 from the land surface that can include human and
- animal wastes, agricultural chemicals, urban
- 11 runoff and other waste products associated with
- 12 human culture of a region.
- In the contrast, recharge to
- non-karst aquifers typically undergoes a slow
- migration through fine granular materials, for
- example, thick, clay rich glacial diamicton,
- that generally provide sufficient time and an
- environment for chemical, biological and physical
- 19 degradation and retardation of pollutants.
- Unfortunately, residents who
- 21 draw groundwater from karst aquifers for domestic
- use often risk ingesting surface-borne contaminants.
- It is my professional opinion
- that changes proposed in Section 502.620(h)(j)

- are not sufficiently protective of groundwater
- and nearby surface water quality. According to
- the proposed changes, less than one foot to two
- feet of soil cover over a karst aquifer would
- 5 be acceptable for land applied liquid manure.
- In a karst terrain, two feet
- of unconsolidated sediment provides little
- 9 protection for the underlying karst aquifer
- 9 from surface-borne contaminants like nitrate
- 10 and enteric bacteria. Macropores or fractures
- in soils, can extend to six or more feet in the
- soil and provide fast track recharge water that
- 13 can bypass any beneficial effects of the soil
- 14 zone.
- Fifty feet of unconsolidated
- 16 material overlying a karst aquifer is the thickness
- necessary for protection. That's per Dr. Calvin
- 18 Alexander, University of Minnesota, personal
- 19 communications, 2012.
- 20 Karst in Illinois: Cover-collapse
- sinkholes long been the indicator of choice of
- 22 regulators for karst terrain and underlying karst
- 23 aquifers. While it is true that sinkholes are
- commonly present and are indicators of karst

- terrain, sinkholes are not always present or
- obvious in areas underlain by extremely sensitive
- 3 karst aquifers.
- Further, not all sinkholes
- 5 are static entities; that is, sinkholes can be
- filled in by human activities such as plowing.
- Unfortunately, the pathway to the bedrock aguifer
- 8 can still be present, but not obvious. Therefore,
- 9 if one characterizes a terrain solely on the
- presence-absence of sinkholes, they risk
- 11 misidentifying an area such as one with thin soils
- overlying a karst aquifer.
- Further, sinkholes are not
- 14 the only vector for infiltration into the karst
- aquifer. Macropores, (for example, desiccation
- 16 cracks, worm holes, root channels), within the
- unconsolidated sediment extend several meters
- 18 into the soil zone and can allow contaminated
- surface water to quickly bypass the soil zone
- and rapidly enter the underlying aquifer with
- 21 little or no change.
- The next sentence is added from
- the previous version. It is possible to see
- the depth that surface-borne contamination has

- reached using profiles of geochemical data (for
- example, nitrate and chloride) from municipal
- 3 and private well samples and comparing their
- 4 concentrations background values determined
- by Panno, et al, in 2006.
- 6 Karstified carbonate rock
- 7 in Illinois contains a secondary porosity and
- 9 permeability thanks to abundant fractures in
- 9 soluble rock in the formation of solution-enlarged
- 10 crevices. Many assume that if there are no
- sinkholes in that area, the area is by definition,
- not karst. This assumption is incorrect because
- depending on the thickness of the soil zone,
- 14 sinkholes may be noticeable or be obscured by land
- use (especially row-crop agriculture).
- 16 Further, in areas with very thin
- soils (less than 25 feet) or thick clay-rich soils,
- sinkholes may not be obvious or present. However,
- 19 the underlying carbonate bedrock can be (and usually
- is) replete with solution-enlarged crevices that
- 21 constitute a karst aquifer.
- 22 Surface-borne contaminants can
- enter the aquifer via macropores in the soil,
- via streams that flow from areas with thick,

- 1 clay-rich sediments and no sinkholes to areas
- with numerous use sinkholes and losing streams,
- 3 or from excavations.
- 4 Consequently, any portion of
- 5 Illinois underlain by carbonate rock and with
- 6 less than 50 feet of overburden may qualify as
- 7 karst terrain. This was added, this last sentence.
- 8 An example of the problems associated with less
- 9 than 50 feet of unconsolidated material overlying
- 10 karstified bedrock is the development of sinkholes
- in a school yard resulting from pumping of a nearby
- well in Dongola, Illinois.
- Because many of the residents
- in rural areas have private wells within the
- 15 Karst aquifers, and because municipalities adjacent
- to rural areas have wells within karst aquifers,
- effluent of any kind of discharge on the surface
- 18 could enter wells that tap into the creviced network
- and groundwater flow rates within the karst aquifers
- are often at miles per hour.
- 21 Recommendations: I strongly
- 22 recommend that very large to large CAFOs not be
- 23 permitted in karst areas of the state as defined
- 24 by carbonate bedrock where the thickness of

- unconsolidated materials is less than 50 feet,
- particularly in those areas lacking in clay-rich
- glacial till. That is, the driftless areas of
- 4 Illinois.
- 5 The following sentence has been
- 6 added. Karst areas should be identified
- 7 from a combination of previous publications and
- 8 regional and site-specific investigations involving
- 9 fieldwork using appropriate techniques for karst
- studies (for example, trenching and dye tracing)
- 11 as outlined by Quinlan and others in the
- 12 Environmental Protection Agency, 1989.
- A major problem with proposed
- changes in 35 Illinois Administrative Code, Parts
- 15 501, 502, 504 is the use of "sinkholes" as the
- 16 indicator of karst. Karst should be defined as
- any carbonate bedrock showing fractures, joints,
- partings, and/or dissolution features (for example,
- 19 solution-enlarged crevices) capable of transmitting
- water.
- It is important to understand
- that sinkholes are only one of the many indicators
- of karst terrain and underlying karst aquifers.
- Quinlan and others, 1991, stated that "some people

- 1 confuse a sinkhole with the karst itself. This is
- 2 like confusing Cyrano de Bergerac's nose with the
- man himself. All will agree that there was a lot
- 4 more to Cyrano than just his nose. So also, there
- 5 is far more to a karst than just a sinkhole or a
- 6 cave."
- Further, sinkholes (especially
- 8 cover-collapse sinkholes that are common in
- 9 Illinois) are not permanent features, but can be
- 10 filled in as the result of human activities (for
- example, plowing in fields) particularly where
- soils are thin (less than 25 feet). Regardless of
- sinkhole expression, the pathways to bedrock are
- still present and the sinkholes can and typically
- will reappear.
- 16 Other indicators of karst
- 17 terrain include creviced exposures (natural
- outcrops, road cuts, and quarries) caves, trellised
- 19 stream patterns, lineaments and recently discovered
- 20 crop lines. Consequently, incipient sinkholes and
- 21 macropore pathways to the underlying karst aquifer
- 22 are present in the karst areas of Illinois and
- 23 can remain undetected. Unconsolidated materials
- of less than 25 feet provide insufficient protection

- to groundwater from land application of liquid
- manure produced at these facilities.
- Optimally, areas potentially
- 4 suitable for siting of large and very large CAFOs
- 5 should be identified based on the absence of
- 6 indicators of karst terrain and a minimum of 50
- 7 feet of unconsolidated materials overlying karst
- 8 bedrock.
- 9 Thank you for the opportunity
- 10 to provide testimony regarding proposed changes
- to Title 35 Illinois Administrative Code, Parts
- 501, 502 and 504. Respectfully submitted,
- 13 Samuel V. Panno, M.S., Certified Groundwater
- 14 Professional, Illinois State Geological Survey,
- Prairie Research Institute, University of
- 16 Illinois.
- HEARING OFFICER FOX: Mr. Panno,
- 18 thank you for your patience in reading through
- that in its entirety particularly as it reflects
- 20 the updated version that you filed with the
- 21 Board on the 24th.
- At this point, we had indicated
- that we would turn to the Agricultural Coalition
- for follow-up questions based on the substance

- of your testimony, and Ms. Manning, we can do
- 2 that before turning to the Agency for it's
- follow-up questions and the environmental coalition
- 4 as well.
- MS. MANNING: Thank you,
- 6 Mr. Hearing Officer. Can everyone hear me?
- 7 WHEREUPON:
- 8 SAMUEL V. PANNO
- 9 called as a witness herein, having been first duly
- sworn, deposeth and saith as follows:
- 11 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- By Ms. Manning
- Q. Good morning, Mr. Panno.
- A. Good morning.
- Q. I'm Claire Manning and I'm
- 16 representing the Agricultural Coalition today.
- I have a couple of questions, first, in terms
- of the changes from the -- and I apologize.
- 19 I didn't realize that you had substituted the
- 20 testimony and amended the testimony and I had
- 21 not had a chance to look at it.
- I appreciate as well you going
- through it today, but there appear to be some
- changes as well that you may not have earmarked.

- 1 I just want to make sure that the first one that
- I noticed is that in your testimony that you filed
- with the Board originally you indicated
- 4 that you were testifying on behalf of the State
- 5 Geological Survey and in this particular testimony,
- 6 you testified you are -- you do not indicate that
- you are testifying on behalf of the State Geological
- 8 Survey. So my question is --
- 9 A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. -- are you testifying -- on whose
- behalf are you testifying on behalf of today?
- A. I'm testifying as an expert witness
- 13 right now.
- Q. But your testimony is the testimony
- 15 of you --
- A. That's right.
- Q. -- Sam Panno, and not the testimony
- of the Illinois Geological Survey?
- A. That's correct.
- Q. Thank you.
- 21 A. And --
- Q. Thank you. The second change I
- 23 noticed is the first sentence where you have a
- qualification. And you say you're an expert in

- geology, hydrogeology and groundwater chemistry
- of karst aquifers.
- And in your revised testimony,
- 4 the you more specifically say chemistry of karst
- 5 and other aquifers.
- A. Yes.
- 7 Q. Could you explain why you made that
- 8 change?
- 9 A. Most of the changes beyond this
- 10 point are just clarification. So what I wanted
- 11 to express is that I do research in other areas
- other than karst. So we look at sand gravel
- aquifers, bedrock aquifers, everything.
- Q. Right. And karst is not exactly an
- aquifer as well, would you agree with that?
- 16 A. It is an aquifer, yes.
- Q. Okay. The -- you said several times
- in your testimony -- well, let me ask you first,
- 19 it looks like the map has changed as well. Your
- original testimony had a map from 1997 and you
- revised that to a more recent map from 2007, I
- 22 believe?
- A. No, this is --
- Q. 2009?

- 1 A. This is the same map.
- Q. Is there a newer map, to your
- 3 knowledge?
- A. No, there is not. We are currently
- 5 working on one.
- Q. You indicated in your testimony
- 7 several times and I didn't see it in the written
- 8 testimony, but I thought I heard you say when you
- 9 were orally speaking that karst areas represent
- 95 percent of Illinois' surface?
- 11 A. No.
- 12 Q. Okay.
- A. I'm sorry.
- Q. Nine percent?
- A. Nine percent. That's right.
- Q. As indicated in the map?
- 17 A. That's right.
- 18 Q. So karst-like features?
- A. That's right.
- 20 Q. Okay.
- A. Well, in this particular map, what
- we did was we looked at the thickness. We looked
- 23 at where carbonate rock occurred, whether it's
- had solution features or karst features in the

- bedrock, and whether it was less than 50 -- has
- less than 50 feet of unconsolidated matter. So
- 3 that's what the dark areas are.
- 4 HEARING OFFICER FOX: And just
- for the record, if I clarify, when you're
- f referring to the map, Mr. Panno, you're
- 7 referring to Figure 1 --
- 8 THE WITNESS: That's correct.
- 9 HEARING OFFICER FOX: -- in both
- your original and your supplemental testimony?
- 11 THE WITNESS: That's right.
- 12 HEARING OFFICER FOX: Thank you
- very much and pardon the interruption,
- Ms. Manning.
- 15 BY MS. MANNING:
- Q. So looking at this map, it appears
- 17 that you have labeled -- it appears to be all of
- Jo Daviess County as a karst area; is that correct?
- A. That's correct.
- Q. So is your recommendation then that
- 21 no livestock application of waste ought to be done
- in Jo Daviess County nor should any new facilities
- be built in Jo Daviess county of a livestock
- 24 nature?

- A. I believe my testimony was that no
- 2 large to very large CAFOs be sited within the karst
- 3 areas of the -- of -- indicated in the dark gray
- 4 area. So that includes Jo Daviess County and then
- 5 application by those -- by those CAFOs would not
- 6 be a wise thing to do.
- Q. Are you aware, Mr. Panno, that in
- 8 the state of Illinois, it's the Illinois Department
- 9 of Agriculture that is responsible for the siting
- of new facilities pursuant to the Livestock
- 11 Management Facilities Act?
- A. No, I do not.
- Q. Are you aware that there is a
- definition of karst area in the state of Illinois --
- A. Yes, I am.
- Q. -- under the Livestock Management
- 17 Facilities Act and that is found at 510 ILCS
- 18 77/10.24?
- 19 A. (No response.)
- Q. And under Illinois law, do you know
- what the definition of karst area is under Illinois
- 22 law?
- A. I haven't memorized it. I think
- Don Keefer and I at the Geological Survey helped

- 1 write that.
- Q. Okay. So if I would say it means
- 3 an area with a land surface containing sinkholes,
- 4 large springs, disrupted land drainage, and
- 5 underground drainage systems associated with
- 6 karstified carbonate bedrock and caves or a land
- 7 surface without these features, but containing a
- 8 karstified carbonate bedrock unit generally overlain
- by less than 60 feet of unconsolidated materials,
- you would agree that that's the definition of a
- 11 karst area in the state of Illinois?
- 12 A. Yes.
- Q. And would you an agree that pursuant
- 14 to this particular definition of karst area in
- 15 Illinois, you indicated you didn't realize that
- the Illinois Department of Agriculture is
- 17 responsible for the siting of facilities based on
- 18 site specific characterizations in a karst
- 19 area?
- A. Did I? No. I had probably forgotten
- 21 that. At some point, I'm sure I was aware of that.
- Q. And I believe your testimony also
- 23 indicated the testimony that was originally
- filed did not indicate that it's important that

- site-specific investigations be done to determine
- whether the area is actually karst and problematic,
- 3 but instead your new testimony says that a
- 4 site-specific investigation is important?
- A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. You would agree that site-specific
- 7 investigation is important?
- A. Yes, ma'am.
- 9 Q. And, in fact, you testified you are
- 10 familiar with the A.J. Boss case that recently took
- 11 place in Jo Daviess County?
- 12 A. Yes.
- Q. And you testified on behalf of the
- 14 Helping Others Maintain Environmental Standards?
- 15 A. No.
- Q. HOMES?
- A. No. I testified as an expert witness.
- Q. On behalf of?
- 19 A. On behalf of the Illinois State
- 20 Geological Survey.
- Q. And your testimony -- you weren't paid
- for that testimony by anyone?
- A. I believe they paid travel costs
- 24 and we turned that into the state.

- Q. And the -- if the issue there was
- an attempt to enjoin a large CAFO, specifically
- 3 a dairy from being built in Jo Daviess County;
- 4 is that correct?
- 5 A. Could you repeat that, please?
- Q. The issue was the attempt to enjoin
- or to stop, if you will, a large dairy from being
- 8 sited in Jo Daviess County?
- 9 A. My position was I was not there to
- 10 stop the facility, but to bring up points and
- 11 problems associated with its sighting in a karst
- 12 area.
- Q. And are you aware that the court
- ultimately allowed the facility to be built on
- 15 the basis of -- that no site-specific -- that
- 16 your testimony was more general in nature and
- did not include any site-specific testing or
- any site-specific testimony whatsoever?
- A. That's right.
- Q. Okay. Thank you. So again you
- 21 believe it's important that rather than generally
- stating that a large CAFOs can't be built in any
- 23 karst area that represents nine percent of the
- state of Illinois, that site-specific

- characterizations are important?
- A. The site characterization, as I
- describe it here within this testimony, refers
- 4 to determining whether you have -- whether a
- 5 facility has if -- meets the definition of karst
- 6 as I have described it in this testimony.
- For example, drilling to see
- if you have, say, 50 feet of overlying materials
- 9 when consolidating materials over karstified
- 10 carbonate bedrock, and so the investigation --
- 11 you know, the site characterization, if you will,
- would be looking at the thickness of the material
- overlying the bedrock and looking at the bedrock
- 14 to see, you know, in the region whether it was
- 15 karstified or not.
- Q. All right. So things like core
- samples might be important to make those
- 18 determinations?
- A. We found that core samples don't
- really tell us much other than -- when you're
- in that area where you have road cuts, quarries,
- where the bedrock is within a few feet of the
- soil -- of the surface, excavations are a better
- way to do it. Dye tracing is a better way to go.

- 1 Q. But would you agree that even in
- 2 some karst areas where there may be an aquitard
- underlying the original -- the upward soil or clay
- or shale, that the permeability is much different
- 5 than it would be otherwise?
- A. The -- if you're referring to the
- 7 shale that overlies the galena and dolomite in
- 8 eastern Jo Daviess County, that shale was no longer
- 9 a shale. It was more like a clay material, which
- in itself is very good. You want something like
- 11 that.
- However, in a karst area where
- crevices, particularly in Jo Daviess County can
- be up to three feet wide, that material can collapse
- 15 into this crevice where there were some previous
- sinkholes.
- So the reason for the 50 feet
- of overburden or material -- unconsolidated material
- 19 above the karstified bedrock is so that the chances
- or the likelihood of getting sinkholes forming in
- these areas, for example, beneath a waste pond or
- waste containment facility, would be the reason you
- would want to characterize the site and find out
- what the thickness of that material is overlying

- 1 the bedrock.
- 2 Q. In your testimony, you mentioned that
- 3 macropores can extent through several feet of soil,
- 4 yet you suggest prohibitions for areas where the
- 5 soils thickness is not up to 50 feet. Could you
- 6 explain that?
- 7 A. Yes. The 50 feet refers primarily
- 8 to the potential for sinkhole formation. The
- 9 macropores refers to very thin soils. So, for
- example, if you have an area with, say, 15 feet
- of soil, there is -- there is a likelihood that
- 12 macropores will allow surface runoff to enter
- bedrock crevices very, very quickly.
- 14 Research done by Don Keefer at
- the Illinois State Geological Survey in the mid-'90s
- show that they were looking at tile drains in
- 17 central Illinois and they used tracers -- dye
- 18 tracers, chemical tracers, and found that there
- were essentially two modes of movement of water
- through the soils.
- One was through the matrix and
- one was through the macropores, which were
- desiccation cracks, the contacts between soil
- 24 peds and so the tracers after a half an inch of

- 1 rain when they applied them to the surface and
- after a half an inch of rain, these tracers were
- in the tile drains within a few hours. So it's
- ⁴ a very rapid process and the reason -- and the
- 5 focus of that is very thin soil.
- So, for example, in -- in
- 7 the proposed amendments, there was mention of
- 8 application in less than ten inches of -- I'm
- 9 sorry -- ten inches of soil or more and 20 inches
- of soil or more than of the application of liquid
- animal waste and the presence of macropores, which
- seem to be ubiquitous in clay soils in the state
- would allow these materials to flow directly into
- 14 the aquifers.
- 15 Q. You're aware, of course, though of
- 16 practices such as tillage and incorporation and
- wouldn't those practices destroy these macropores?
- 18 A. No.
- Q. And why not?
- A. The tillage breaks up the topsoil,
- but the macropores are still there.
- Q. Certainly, they would reduce any
- 23 risks?
- A. I don't know that for a fact. I

- would think that by breaking up the surface, you
- would actually enhance and recharge into those
- 3 areas very quickly.
- Q. So are you suggesting these practices
- 5 are not good practices for the agricultural
- 6 community to do tillage and --
- 7 A. No. I'm suggesting that in areas
- 8 with very thin soil underlain by carbonate rock
- 9 that is karstified, these are potential pathways
- 10 for groundwater contamination, and that's it.
- 11 Q. And you are suggesting then that
- 12 all of Jo Daviess County, in effect, is a potential
- 13 pathway and the Board should -- the Board's rule
- should prohibit application of any manure throughout
- Jo Daviess County because, in your opinion, is its
- 16 all a karst area?
- 17 A. No.
- 18 Q. That's what I understand your
- 19 testimony to be.
- A. No. My testimony refers to CAFOs
- only. As I stated, a large and very large CAFOs
- that apply manure around on adjacent fields and
- 23 in areas of Wisconsin where we have observed this
- type of thing, we see groundwater contamination

- 1 from the livestock.
- Q. Whether a facility is large or small,
- 3 their land application -- let's just look at the
- 4 land application practices as opposed to the siting
- of the facility one way or the other and let's take
- 6 that aside in that the Department of Agriculture
- 7 regulates the siting pursuant to the Livestock
- 8 Management Facilities Act, so in terms of the
- 9 Board's role in determining appropriate land
- application practices, why does your testimony as
- 11 to a large CAFOs -- a prohibition on a large CAFO
- have any difference when the land application
- 13 practices are the same whether they would be large
- or medium or small in terms of the spreading of the
- 15 manure?
- A. I focused my testimony on CAFOs
- only and with regard to the spreading of manure
- onto very thin soils in Jo Daviess County, we
- 19 see -- in springs, we see evidence of animal waste
- 20 contamination. However, if you're looking at small
- 21 areas of application, the chances -- you know, the
- 22 likelihood of getting massive ground contamination
- are less, but let me state that in all cases,
- 24 any land use is going to result in some degradation

- of water quality.
- Q. I have just one final question and
- 3 then I'm going to turn it over to the EPA. Could
- 4 you explain the differences in -- the 1997 map
- 5 that you had in your original testimony that you
- 6 filed on 10/16 and the new map that you have
- 7 included now, is that the same map?
- A. It's the same map. It has not
- 9 changed.
- Q. Okay. And is there a newer map?
- 11 A. There is not.
- MS. MANNING: Okay. I'm through with
- my questions for now, but
- 14 I might have some follow-ups.
- 15 HEARING OFFICER FOX: Very well. At
- this point, Ms. Manning has indicated we can turn
- it over to the Agency for questions, if you have
- 18 them, of Mr. Panno.
- 19 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 20 By Ms. Olson
- Q. Good morning. My name is Joanne Olson
- 22 and I have a few questions for you Mr. Panno.
- My questions are really going
- to go to basic geology questions to help us all

- understand what you are saying in your testimony.
- So the first question I have
- for you, you mentioned the Illinois basin in
- 4 the first paragraph of your testimony. Can you
- 5 explain for us what you mean by the Illinois basin
- 6 is.
- 7 A. The Illinois basin is a spoon shaped
- geological structure that occupies most of Illinois
- 9 and parts of Indiana, Kentucky. It's essentially a
- stack of -- is the pile of rocks from -- going from
- 11 Cambrian to present. At its thickest -- the rocks
- 12 are thickest in the center, which is somewhere down
- in southern Illinois and thin as you go to the
- 14 margins. As you go to the western Illinois, the
- 15 basin margin is essentially along the Illinois --
- the Mississippi River. As you go across northern
- 17 Illinois, the basin margin is just south of Jo
- Daviess County. It's a -- does that cover what you
- were asking?
- Q. I think so. When you described it as
- a spoon, are you saying the edges?
- A. Well, it's depressed so that the
- edges have the thinnest layers of rock and the
- 24 actual depth in the center is probably something

- on the order of 15,000 feet or more.
- 2 Q. And offering the covering the rock
- in the center is mostly -- is there more cover over
- 4 the center of the Illinois basin than on the edges
- 5 of overburden?
- 6 A. Oh, are you talking about
- 7 unconsolidated material?
- 8 Q. Yes.
- 9 A. Oh, yeah. It's about the same. So
- it's the basin is the geologic structure and the
- thickness of the materials, all overburden, you
- 12 know, consolidated materials in Illinois varies,
- but it's not controlled by the Illinois basin.
- Q. You testified that carbonate bedrock
- comprises 25 percent of the bedrock surface.
- A. I think it was 35 percent.
- Q. Well, the first paragraph of your
- 18 testimony says, and I will read it here to you,
- 19 the carbonate rock comprises approximately
- 20 25 percent of the bedrock surfaces in Illinois.
- 21 A. Okay.
- Q. Is that a correct statement?
- A. I think so.
- Q. Okay. So actually I was somewhat

- 1 confused because later on, you say that other
- 2 areas in Illinois that are underlain by carbonate
- 3 rock, 35 percent of that area is at the surface.
- ⁴ A. Okay.
- Q. Are those two numbers the same?
- A. They are the same. They should be
- 7 35 percent.
- Q. Okay. Can you explain bedrock
- 9 surface to us? What do you mean by bedrock surface?
- 10 A. It's merely the surface of the bedrock
- under -- it's hard to describe this without using
- the same term, but it's the consolidated rock
- underlying the unconsolidated materials that include
- 14 glacial drifts, sand and gravel soils, those sort of
- 15 things.
- 16 Q. So in your map, on Figure 1, is the
- dark gray area the 35 percent, is that 35 percent
- 18 of --
- 19 A. That's right.
- Q. -- carbonate?
- A. Oh, I'm sorry. That's 90 percent,
- the dark gray areas.
- Q. So where is the 35 percent of the
- 24 carbonate bedrock --

- 1 A. That's not shown in this map.
- Q. Thank you.
- I'm going to move on to secondary
- 4 porosity. You talk a lot in your testimony about
- 5 porosity. I was wondering if you could explain to
- 6 us what do you mean by that?
- 7 A. When the rocks form, particularly in
- 8 this case, we're talking about carbonate rocks, they
- 9 form from bugs and shells in marine environments.
- 10 The rocks -- the sediment were compressed, heated
- and much of the water was driven out of them
- and they became intact rock. Subsequent to that,
- tectonic forces, extension, compression, within
- the mid continent region created fractures because
- the carbonated rocks are siteable in soiled water,
- 16 rainwater, snow melt, infiltrating waters into these
- 17 fractures dissolve these rocks along the fracture
- 18 margins and created larger openings and large
- 19 crevices. In some areas of the state, these
- 20 crevices are up to three feet wide. Some of these
- 21 are hairline fractures, all in the areas, and
- groundwater is flowing through these.
- Q. Well, I think I heard you saying
- 24 is that secondary porosity are pores that are formed

Page 84

- in the rocks after the rocks have already, like,
- 2 formed into a rock.
- A. That's correct.
- Q. Is that a simpler way of understanding
- 5 it?
- A. That's very good.
- 7 Q. And so can you tell me whether or not
- 8 all carbonate rocks have secondary porosity?
- 9 A. All of the ones I have seen have it.
- 10 Q. But it is possible for secondary rock
- not to -- for carbonate rock not to have secondary
- 12 porosity?
- A. It's possible.
- Q. And can you tell me how much of
- 15 Illinois' carbonate bedrock does not have secondary
- 16 porosity?
- A. I haven't looked at all of the
- bedrock in Illinois, but where it's exposed
- in southern Illinois, southwestern Illinois and
- western Illinois, northeastern Illinois, it has
- 21 fractures and secondary porosity.
- Q. I have a map here that you referred
- to in your testimony. You give us a citation
- in your recommendation to -- I think it's a map

- found at the Illinois State Geological Survey for
- the driftless map. Do you recall that in your
- 3 testimony?
- A. The driftless map? I'm not sure what
- 5 you mean. Okay. Yes. This is the drift thickness
- 6 map, yes.
- Q. Drift thickness map. Thank you. And
- you refer to this in your testimony, correct?
- 9 A. That's correct.
- Q. So I just want you to take a few
- 11 minutes to explain this.
- MS. OLSON: I'm going to mark
- this as Exhibit 22 at this time and I
- would ask that it by moved into the record.
- 15 (Document marked as
- 16 Agency Exhibit No. 22
- for identification,
- 10-30-2012.)
- 19 HEARING OFFICER FOX: And that is the
- correct number, Ms. Olson. Thank you for tracking
- 21 that.
- You've heard Ms. Olson's motion
- on behalf of the Agency to admit this map into the
- record as Exhibit No. 22. Is there any objection

- 1 to the motion?
- Neither seeing nor hearing any,
- Ms. Olson, it will be so marked and admitted into
- 4 the record. Thank you for providing copies.
- 5 (Agency Exhibit
- No. 22 was admitted
- into evidence.)
- 8 BY MS. OLSON:
- 9 Q. Can you describe for me what that map
- 10 tells us?
- 11 A. It describes the glacial drifts
- 12 throughout the state of Illinois.
- Q. And in the areas that are white, what
- 14 does that mean?
- 15 A. In the Jo Daviess County, that is
- part of the driftless area of northwestern Illinois
- and it extends up into Wisconsin and the driftless
- 18 area is an area where glaciers didn't deposit
- 19 clay-rich glacial till. Because it's an upwind,
- the glaciers essentially went around it.
- In southern Illinois, the bedrock
- can be exposed in these areas. The soils in these
- 23 areas are very thin and in southern Illinois we see
- 24 the same thing where the glacial margin is -- it

- extends down to the -- maybe the bottom two and a
- 2 half counties from the bottom of Illinois.
- On the western part, southwestern
- 4 Illinois and a portion just north of there, that's
- 5 part of the Mississippi flood plain.
- 6 Q. So when you say -- when the map here
- 7 indicates on the legend on the side, it says, the
- 8 white areas have no drift, can you tell us -- can
- 9 you estimate for us whether or not there is any
- overburden on these areas?
- 11 A. There is overburden. We have done
- work in southern Illinois and northwestern Illinois
- and there is soils that have built up from the
- windblown silt from glacial times and so you get
- soils, but in the white areas, where it says no
- drift, the soils are -- or the overburden is
- essentially less than 25 feet in places where the
- 18 rock may be exposed.
- Q. Okay. So the areas that are light
- green, would you say that there's about 100 feet
- of overburden?
- A. According to the legend, yes.
- Q. Can you take a look at exhibit --
- Figure 1 of your testimony and explain to us how

- the dark shaded areas compares to this drift
- thickness in this map in regards to the amount of
- 3 overburden?
- A. Do you mean as far as there being
- 5 thicker than 50 feet of glacial drift material?
- Q. Yes. It you point those areas out
- 7 to us.
- A. Let's see. There appears to be some
- 9 in western Illinois, southern Illinois and a little
- bit in southwestern Illinois. I think that's it.
- 11 Q. So in those areas that are dark gray
- on this map, according to Exhibit 22, you would
- agree that there is over 50 feet of overburden?
- 14 A. In places, there are, yes. That's
- why I stress this characterization of the area,
- site characterization, regional characterization be
- 17 conducted if you are trying to put in -- site a
- 18 CAFOs. Then you can look at it more closely. This
- map was produced from the thousands of -- thousands
- of drill logs throughout the state and there are
- gaps in it. It's not precise, but it's very
- usable as a guide -- as an indicator, but not --
- you cannot blow it up and go to a specific area
- 24 and say okay, this should be exactly 100 feet or

- 1 200 feet. So for that reason, we generalize these
- 2 areas in the Figure 1.
- Q. Thank you. I want to move on to a
- 4 different line of questioning. Previously, I
- asked you about secondary porosity and I think
- 6 your testimony explains that it takes thousands of
- years for these joints to form; is that correct?
- A. It takes -- actually, the joints or
- 9 the fractures occur early on after liquefaction
- 10 after the rock is formed. With the moving crust,
- 11 it factures. So the fractures form and for some of
- these areas, the joints could be forming or could
- have formed million of years ago.
- Q. Can you explain how long it takes for
- the joints to enlarge in terms of how much bigger
- the joints get in five years, ten years?
- A. Oh, in my lifetime, you would probably
- see a couple millimeters of a fracture enlarge. Not
- 19 from now, but from beginning to end.
- Q. The next area I want to ask you
- 21 questions about is the definition of karst and I
- 22 know Ms. Manning asked you some definitions, but
- 23 I'm a little confused about what you mean when
- 24 you say karst.

- I'm going to start with your
- original testimony. In the testimony that you
- filed on October 16th, you quote Dr. Quinlan for
- 4 the proposition that if bedrock of an area is
- 5 composed of carbonate rock in areas considered
- 6 karst underlain by a karst aquifer unless proven
- otherwise.
- My question to you is does
- 9 Dr. Quinlan's definition of karst apply to carbonate
- 10 bedrock at the bedrock surface or any carbonate
- 11 bedrock in the Illinois?
- 12 A. It applies to any carbonate bedrock
- in the world.
- Q. So if it's not driftless, it would
- still be considered karst?
- A. Yes.
- Q. Does Dr. Quinlan's definition of karst
- include carbonate bedrock, which does not have
- 19 secondary porosity?
- A. Say that again. I'm sorry.
- Q. Does Dr. Quinlan's definition of karst
- include carbonate bedrock, which does not have
- 23 secondary porosity?
- A. No, it wouldn't. Well, no.

- 1 Dr. Quinlan said that somewhere in this -- I don't
- see exactly where I have it, but this is something
- 3 that occurs 95 percent of the time. So there are
- bodies of carbonate bedrock without secondary
- 5 porosity.
- Q. So would he consider those karst?
- 7 A. No.
- 8 Q. Then also in your testimony you site
- Ford and Williams for the definition of karst as
- 10 terrain with distinctive hydrology and landforms
- 11 arising from a combination of high rock solubility
- and well developed secondary porosity.
- So here this definition, we have
- 14 a requirement of well developed secondary porosity?
- 15 A. Yes.
- Q. Do you believe that Dr. Quinlan's
- definition differs from Ford and Williams'
- 18 definition of karst?
- A. Well, I think that Quinlan was talking
- about carbonate rock in general and the likelihood
- you would have karst features or karst aquifer
- within a carbonate rock body whereas Ford and
- Williams focus more on what a karst aquifer is.
- Q. Do you think an adequate definition

- of karst would be any carbonate bedrock not overlain
- by 50 feet or more of unconsolidated materials?
- A. No. It would be if the carbonate
- bedrock showing karst features solution-enlarged
- 5 crevices --
- 6 Q. So in your opinion, a karst terrain
- or a karst area would have to have karst features
- 8 such as a sinkhole or enlarged crevices or joint
- 9 caves?
- 10 A. That's correct. And the 50 feet of
- overburden is more related to protection against
- 12 sinkholes forming -- sinkholes forming within -- at
- 13 the surface.
- Q. So under your -- let me see if I
- understand this correctly. If there was carbonate
- bedrock without secondary porosity or these other
- 17 karst features --
- 18 A. Yes.
- Q. -- and it was not covered by over 50
- inches of overburden, you would not consider that
- 21 karst?
- A. That's correct.
- Q. So it could be ten inches of
- overburden and you still would not consider that

- 1 karst?
- A. That's correct.
- Q. Do you believe that your definition
- 4 that you just articulated is consistent with the
- 5 LMFA?
- A. I haven't seen it in a while. I
- 7 think it was just read a few minutes ago.
- Would you like to see it? I can pass
- 9 it down to you?
- 10 A. I -- I recall. I think it's -- I
- think it's consistent with it.
- 12 Q. I have another question about your
- map and your testimony. You indicate that there
- was three different levels of sinkholes: Low level,
- medium level and high level; is that correct?
- 16 A. That was -- that was a paper by a
- 17 gentleman named Lindsey and he referred to karst
- 18 areas with sinkholes and their susceptibility to
- 19 groundwater contamination. So he looked at low,
- 20 medium and high with respect to the number of
- 21 sinkholes per 100 square kilometers.
- Q. Can you tell me whether or not the
- 23 areas on this map are low, medium or high density
- 24 sinkhole areas?

- A. I think most of them are between
- medium and high. Some are extremely high. Some
- 3 areas in southwestern Illinois have sinkhole
- 4 densities of 100 sinkholes per square mile
- or square kilometer. In Jo Daviess County, we
- 6 see sinkholes all over the place, so yes.
- 7 Q. You describe a type of sinkhole as
- 8 cover collapse?
- 9 A. That's correct.
- Q. Can you explain what that means?
- 11 A. That's essentially overburden sediment
- 12 collapsing into a crevice, a solution-enlarged
- 13 crevice, and so as opposed to, you know, it's a
- 14 feature of the sediment. So if you have no
- sediments, you wouldn't see the sinkholes. What
- you would see is probably crevices. If you have
- a little soil, you might get gravel in the crevices
- and you wouldn't see any sinkholes at all.
- 19 Q. So --
- A. So the cover collapse is essentially
- the way they form. So you will see areas where
- there may be 30 feet of glacial drift and loss
- 23 and then water -- groundwater will flow through
- the crevices and recharge events, rainwater, will

- tend to cause collapse of the overlying sediment
- into these crevices and it works its way up very
- 3 similar to the shape of a silo.
- It works its way up from the
- bottom and then the surface collapses and you
- 6 get a tube or a conduit straight down and then
- 7 this erodes and you get kind of a bowl-shaped
- 8 sinkhole. That's what we refer to as cover
- 9 collapse sinkholes.
- Q. Can you explain what you mean by the
- statement that regulators only regulate for cover
- 12 collapse sinkholes?
- A. Where do I say that?
- Q. I think -- I believe you said it in
- 15 response to Claire Manning's question or here is
- a quote from your testimony; cover-collapse
- 17 sinkholes have long been the indicators of choice
- of regulators for karst terrain and underlying karst
- 19 aquifers.
- A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. Can you explain that statement for
- 22 us?
- A. Well, it's -- part of the problem
- with karst is people look at -- focus on sinkholes

- as the indicator of karst terrain and if an area
- 2 doesn't have any sinkholes or no recognizable
- 3 sinkholes, then they don't want to -- they don't
- ⁴ refer to it as karst.
- Does the lack of recognizable
- 6 sinkholes indicate that the carbonate bedrock
- 7 has less secondary porosity than if there was
- 8 observable sinkholes?
- 9 A. It depends on the thickness of the
- 10 sediment. For example, in areas where the sediment
- is three to four feet thick, you may not get
- sinkholes, per se, but you will have pathways going
- down into the bedrock through the soil zone. So
- 14 sinkholes in areas with shallow overburden tend not
- to form identifiable sinkholes or sinkholes that you
- would look at and identify as clearly as a sinkhole.
- I mean, you would have to really
- look and if an area is being cultivated and plowed,
- 19 you would never see them even know they form
- 20 periodically and disappear. That's what I meant.
- Q. So is it your opinion that the
- 22 Illinois EPA proposal regulates only covered
- 23 collapsed sinkholes?
- A. That was my opinion when I read

- 1 the amendments, yes, because nowhere was karst
- mentioned, only sinkholes.
- 3 Q. So would a regulator have to regulate
- 4 karst to regulate sinkholes that are not cover
- 5 collapsed?
- 6 A. Sinkholes that are not cover
- 7 collapsed, are you referring to areas where
- it's thin soil and you can't see them or what?
- 9 Q. I'm referring to what you mean
- by sinkholes are not cover collapsed. I'm trying
- 11 to understand how we would regulate them if we don't
- 12 regulate them by using the word sinkholes.
- 13 A. I understand what you mean. The
- 14 focus of my testimony was the fact that only
- sinkholes were being considered if and thin soils
- were easy access for surface-borne contaminates
- 17 could get into the shallow karst aquifer, which
- were not considered.
- 19 Q. By that, are you referring to the
- 20 macropores?
- A. In part, yes.
- Q. You testified that recharged karst
- 23 aquifers often is rapid. How much overlay would
- 24 be required so that the recharge would not be

- 1 rapid?
- A. I don't have a good number. I can
- 3 give you examples. We see recharge in areas with
- 4 less than 25 feet of overburden with rapid recharge,
- 5 some going through macropores, and some going
- 6 through sinkholes or unidentified sinkholes.
- O. So if I'm hearing you correctly, are
- you saying that overlay that is less than 25 feet
- 9 could still have rapid recharge?
- 10 A. Within the absence of large sinkholes
- or something, you could go out and say there is a
- sinkhole and water is pouring into it, the answer
- 13 is yes.
- Q. Have you reviewed the Agency's
- proposal regarding land application of livestock
- 16 waste?
- 17 A. Probably. I think so, yes.
- Q. Are you aware that the Illinois EPA's
- 19 rules and its application rates of livestock waste
- depending on site-specific criteria of the field?
- A. Is that referring to the less than
- ten inches, less than 20 inches?
- Q. That's a portion of it, yes, but
- there is also site-specific field assessment

- that's done to determine what type of rates you
- 2 can apply the livestock waste on.
- A. Okay. I don't recall that.
- Q. So if I suggested to you that
- 5 livestock waste was applied at an agronomic rate,
- 6 does that affect your statement that rapid recharge
- 7 would occur with less than 25 feet of overburden?
- A. Yes. It's my understanding that
- 9 agronomic rates are related to nutrients only;
- 10 is that correct?
- 11 Q. I believe.
- 12 A. Yes.
- 0. I think so.
- 14 A. Yes. And with animal waste,
- there is also a component of bacteria and so
- the fact that the soils can uptake a certain amount
- of nutrients does not consider the fact
- that bacteria in the soil zone and the bedrock areas
- 19 within soil.
- Q. Can you tell me the amount of
- 21 bacteria that would be removed by it ten inches of
- 22 overburden?
- A. Do you want me to guess? I have no
- idea. I wouldn't think much at all.

Page 100

- 1 Q. If you don't know, that's a perfectly
- 2 fine answer.
- A. Yeah. No. I could give you examples
- of, you know, areas in Wisconsin, Kentucky,
- 5 Missouri.
- 6 Q. But can you tell us a figure, a
- 7 number?
- 8 A. I do not have a number.
- 9 Q. Can you tell us the amount of nutrient
- 10 removal that's established when waste passes through
- ten inches of unconsolidated material?
- 12 A. If it contains macropores, the waste
- would go directly into the soils -- into the bedrock
- and you would -- macropores are present most of the
- 15 time. You tend to see them.
- Q. Would this waste travel at the same
- 17 rate if there's no precipitation that's applied to
- the field, so if it's dry?
- A. It depends on how much is applied,
- you know. For example, if you apply, say, a half
- 21 an inch of liquid waste to a surface, it would be
- comparable to what we saw with a half an inch
- of rainfall in the tile drain studies. It goes
- in very quickly. So, you know, using that as

```
1
     an analogue, yes.
 2
                  HEARING OFFICER FOX:
                                        Ms. Olson,
 3
         I'd like to interrupt, if I could, to make
         a suggestion. We have been at it for just
 5
         about exactly about two hours and it would
 6
         appear that you've got at least a few more
 7
         questions along this line.
                       Why don't we take a break?
 9
         I suspect, without surveying, that the
10
         people would appreciate a chance to grab
11
         something to eat or drink. Let's resume
12
         here at 1:15. We can take up the questions
13
         that you had been preparing to ask next
14
         and, of course, check with Ms. Dexter on
15
        behalf of the Environmental Groups.
                                               Ι
16
        believe Ms. Manning had indicated she may
17
        have some follow-ups. We can resume then
18
         at 1:15, of course, right here.
19
                                 (Whereupon, after a
20
                                  short break was had, the
21
                                  following proceedings
22
                                 were held accordingly.)
23
                   HEARING OFFICER FOX:
                                          Thank you
24
    everyone for returning promptly from our lunch
```

- 1 break.
- When we did break in order to
- have some time for lunch, Ms. Olson, on behalf of
- 4 the Agency, was asking questions of Mr. Panno and
- ⁵ we appreciate your willingness to respond to those.
- I think, Ms. Olson, we
- need to do nothing more than turn it back over to
- you to resume the questions that you were asking
- 9 before we took our break.
- 10 BY MS. OLSON:
- 11 Q. Thank you. I believe when we left
- off, we are testifying that -- you were giving
- us examples of land applying, I believe, at a
- 14 rate of a half inch. Can you explain that again?
- A. Your question, I believe, was at what
- 16 rate would it be acceptable for ten inches of soil
- or something like that or at what rate would you
- 18 expect bacteria to go through ten inches of soil or
- 19 something along those lines.
- And my response is we have no
- data to come up with an application based on how
- 22 much bacteria is going to get into the underlying
- 23 aquifers. I'm only to say that the presence of
- macropores, gravel, soils, once the liquid is going

- in, the liquid manure going through those would not
- be affected by any biological or chemical
- degradation. You would expect from going through
- 4 soil that was basically a matrix. It would be going
- 5 through -- it would be more of a flow through than a
- 6 matrix flow into the underlying aquifer.
- 7 Q. Let me back up. I believe the
- question I had asked previously was asking whether
- 9 or not it would matter if it didn't rain regarding
- 10 rapid recharge.
- A. Oh, okay. I'm sorry. I forgot that.
- Q. And you were giving me an example
- 13 if you applied a half inch of livestock waste to
- ¹⁴ a field.
- A. Right.
- Q. And then I cannot remember what you
- were going to say about rapid recharge and applying
- 18 a half inch.
- A. Well, if you look at applying liquid
- waste as an analog to experiments Don Keefer did
- with tracers, it's -- I know they applied the
- equivalent of a half an inch of rain on a trace
- where they applied tracers within 15 feet or so of
- the tile drain where they saw the tracers going into

- the tile drain very quickly, a matter of -- less
- than an hour, I believe, but there was no bacterial
- 3 component to that. So in that respect, we have no
- 4 data on that.
- Do you know whether applying a half
- 6 inch of livestock waste to the field would be
- 7 applying at the agronomic rate?
- 8 A. Offhand, I don't know.
- 9 Q. In your recommendation, you state
- that 50 feet of unconsolidated materials of karst
- aquifers is necessary for protection. When you
- say necessary for protection, are you saying
- 13 necessary for protecting against the siting of a
- lagoon?
- A. Well, the -- by protection, I mean
- the likelihood of a sinkhole formation in overburden
- or unconsolidated materials greater than 50 feet is
- 18 low. If you have at least 50 feet of unconsolidated
- material overlying a karst aquifer, it's unlikely
- you're going to get a sinkhole formation.
- 21 And in that respect if you
- have a waste lagoon sitting on less than 50 feet
- of material overlying a karst aquifer, there is
- 24 a likelihood of getting a sinkhole forming under

- 1 that lagoon.
- Q. Does that have to do with the weight
- 3 of that lagoon?
- A. It has to do with land use changes.
- 5 Land use changes generally aggravate sinkhole
- 6 formation. So, for example, if there were the
- 7 seepage through the lagoon that might create
- 9 pathways, that eventually would get down and
- 9 enlarge -- or a crevice will then form a sinkhole.
- Q. Would you agree that using land
- 11 for a lagoon that looked like stock waste is a
- different use than using land to apply livestock
- waste for nutrients?
- A. It is a different use, yeah.
- Q. And can you comment on whether
- 16 placing a lagoon over karst aquifers is more
- 17 likely to create sinkholes versus land applying?
- 18 A. The land applying would result
- in the -- you know, everything I have said
- focuses on the likelihood of sinkhole formation
- or pathways to the underlying aquifer. So what
- I think you're trying to say is would it be
- possible to put a lagoon in an area with less
- than 50 feet of underlying material? Is that

- what you're asking?
- Q. I'm asking whether or not the same
- 3 level of protection is needed for a lagoon versus
- 4 land applying.
- 5 A. It just depends on the lagoon. As
- 6 I'm aware, for karst areas, it's required that the
- 7 lagoons are constructed with reinforced concrete, if
- 8 I'm not mistaken. And for non-karst areas, it only
- 9 requires something like two feet of compacted
- 10 clay-rich material, clay-rich liner, if you will.
- And so if you have -- basically,
- 12 if you have a bathtub of concrete holding your
- waste, you know, it's not going to leak into the
- 14 aquifer if it maintains its integrity.
- Q. Does the lagoon itself place any
- 16 pressure on the underlying aquifer or bedrock,
- which would increase the formation of karst
- 18 features?
- A. It might.
- Q. And would that same risk be there
- 21 for land applying?
- A. Probably not.
- Q. In your testimony, you state that
- proposed Section 502.620(j) is not adequately

- 1 protective. Can you tell me what application rate
- would be sufficiently protective, whether it's
- 3 less than 20 inches of unconsolidated material
- 4 of the bedrock?
- 5 A. My testimony focused on -- the
- intent was to focus on the thickness of the
- 7 material, not the application. So, you know,
- 8 as far as application rate, I really can't address
- 9 that.
- 10 Q. Is it your testimony that over all
- 11 bedrock, 20 inches of unconsolidated material,
- would not be not protective?
- A. When you say "over all bedrock," are
- 14 you saying over all karst?
- Q. No. Section 502.620 does not make a
- distinction between karst or non-karst bedrock or
- 17 fractured or non-fractured bedrock?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. So as it currently states, it's
- 20 talking about all bedrock?
- A. Some bedrock would be better than
- others.
- Q. It is your testimony that land
- 24 application on areas with less than 20 inches

- of unconsolidated material over bedrock when the
- land application rate is greater than 50 percent
- 3 is not protective?
- A. It's not as protective for karst
- 5 aquifers or karst bedrock. That was the intent
- of my testimony.
- 7 Q. Can you put a percentage on how often
- a karst terrain is not obvious on the surface?
- 9 A. I'd have to think about that. I
- 10 could give you examples. In southwestern Illinois,
- some areas, as I mentioned earlier, have over 100
- sinkholes and these are really large sinkholes,
- 13 hundreds of feet in diameter per square kilometer,
- and in other parts of southwestern Illinois, even
- though the bedrock is karstified, there are a lot
- 16 fewer sinkholes, maybe a handful in a very large
- 17 area. And the reason being is some of these areas
- have thicker soil, thicker overburden over the
- 19 bedrock or they are along a groundwater divide
- where the water table is up into the sediment.
- Q. From your experience, can you tell
- me the percentage of karst terrain that is not
- obvious from the surface that's in Jo Daviess
- 24 County?

- 1 A. So when you say "percentage," are
- you looking aerially for the entire county?
- Q. Of the karst terrain in Jo Daviess
- 4 County, can you tell me what percent is visibly
- 5 karst from the surface?
- A. From the surface?
- 7 Q. Yes.
- A. I'd say a very small percentage.
- 9 Q. And how would one know that they
- are land applying on the karst terrain?
- A. Well, that's a good question. You
- 12 would have to look at outcrops, road cuts, quarries
- and if you did, you would notice crevices that were
- 14 feet wide and you would look at the thin soils.
- We looked at -- to do it, you would have to look
- at the thickness of the soils in the areas where
- you are going to land apply. You would have to do
- aerial photography, liDAR imagery to see those
- 19 sinkholes that are there.
- Q. And what is your estimate of the cost
- to determine whether or not the area that you are
- land applying on is karst terrain when it's not
- visible from the surface?
- A. To do that, you would have to hire

- a consultant, and I don't know what the cost of a
- 2 consultant would be to do that sort of thing.
- Would a prohibition on land
- 4 application of livestock waste within 100 feet
- of a sinkhole be adequately protective of
- 6 groundwater?
- 7 A. It's doubtful. And the reason being
- 8 a sinkhole is not -- while it's a vector -- while
- 9 it's a focal point for a recharge into the aquifer,
- it's not the only means by which the recharge can
- get into the aquifer. As I mentioned, sinkholes
- 12 come and go. So if you go out and say, okay, we
- have one sinkhole, don't apply there, another
- 14 sinkhole can appear or many sinkholes can appear
- in the area, but you may or may not see them.
- Q. So if I understand what you are
- saying, if I hired you and told you to study
- land apply on my field, I could not rely on
- 19 those results because sinkholes can form from
- year-to-year. So I would have to go out and
- get multiple studies done every year to see
- 22 if I can land apply; is that correct?
- 23 A. No.
- Q. And why not?

- A. Because sinkholes are not the end all
- indicator of karst aquifers and karst terrain.
- 3 So, you know, if you say, okay, let's focus on
- 4 sinkholes as the bellwether to -- you know, for
- our area to say, you know, we can apply or not
- 6 apply, it's not a good indicator. It's one of
- 7 many indicators, and you need to look at all of
- 8 them.
- 9 Q. Would you agree that a hundred plus
- setback would reduce the amount of pollutants
- 11 reaching the karst aquifer?
- 12 A. It depends. It depends on the
- thickness, the type of the soil, the size of
- 14 the sinkhole. I mean, you know, if you had --
- if you developed a conceptual model and said,
- okay, sinkhole A is the only vector into the
- underground and then putting a border around
- that and saying, okay, don't apply anywhere near
- 19 that would keep materials from washing into that
- sinkhole from probably into the underground;
- 21 however, you're ignoring other features like
- 22 macropores, the possibility of sinkholes opening
- 23 up that you're not aware of or somebody -- sinkholes
- opening up and getting covered over and they still,

- because of the nature of the cover, are the more
- 2 permeable than the adjacent ground because it's more
- of a collapse feature and you have soil peds up
- 4 against each other. So you have pathways.
- 5 Q. Would a sinkhole be caused by land
- 6 application?
- 7 A. Would it be caused by land
- 8 application?
- 9 Q. Yes.
- 10 A. Probably not.
- 11 Q. Would a sinkhole be caused by a
- 12 lagoon?
- A. Possibly. I have seen lagoons
- 14 collapse.
- 15 Q. In your testimony, you provide
- a link to an internet page that talks about
- crop lines; is that correct?
- A. That's correct.
- 19 Q. I'm going to pass what I'm marking
- 20 as Exhibit 23.
- 21 (Document marked as
- 22 Agency Exhibit No. 23
- for identification,
- 24 10-30-2012.)

- 1 BY MS. OLSON:
- Q. If you could, Mr. Panno, lets take a
- look at what has been marked as Exhibit 23.
- 4 (Document tendered
- 5 to the witness.)
- 6 BY THE WITNESS:
- 7 A. Okay.
- 8 BY MS. OLSON:
- 9 Q. Mr. Panno, is this the printout
- of the internet page that you reference in your
- 11 testimony?
- 12 A. Yes, it is.
- MS. OLSON: I'd like to move this
- 14 into the record at this time.
- 15 HEARING OFFICER FOX: Hearing Ms.
- 16 Olson's motion on behalf of the Agency to admit the
- document entitled "Drought Induced Crop Lines in
- the Driftless Area of Northwestern Illinois," into
- the record as Exhibit 23. Is there any objection?
- Neither seeing nor hearing any,
- Ms. Olson, it will be marked and entered into the
- record as Exhibit No. 23 in this proceeding. Thank
- you.
- 24 (Agency's Exhibit

Page 114

- No. 23 was admitted
- into evidence.)
- 3 BY MS. OLSON:
- Q. Mr. Panno, can you please explain
- 5 how these aerial photographs show that karst is
- 6 present?
- A. Sure. If you will look at Figure 2,
- on the second page, it's an oblique aerial
- 9 photograph of an alfalfa field and the soil
- thickness in that area is five or so feet thick
- 11 roughly where you can see the lines. And the
- 12 lines are rows of alfalfa that have sent their
- tap root down into the crevices and the crevices
- 14 are the pathways for groundwater in the karst
- 15 aquifer.
- 16 Essentially, the picture that
- you see is a reflection of what the bedrock looks
- 18 like. We also have fields -- a field that is
- adjacent to a road cut where you can -- you can
- trace these lines from the field directly to
- the crevices in Figure 3 and see what the crops
- are actually tapping moisture from.
- Incidentally, as you can tell
- from the title, this is only a phenomenon that

- 1 you see in an extreme draught. So the land is
- very dry and so as we walked the fields, the
- 3 alfalfa that make up these lines were several
- 4 feet wide up, and in some cases, several feet
- 5 high, and then the ground adjacent was almost
- 6 barren.
- 7 So this gives us this, plus
- 8 road cuts, and looking at soil thickness, gives
- 9 us a three-dimensional view of what the -- what
- the creviced aquifer looks like.
- 11 Q. So, okay, if I understand what
- you're saying, the brown areas don't have crevices;
- 13 is that right?
- 14 A. That's correct.
- Q. So is it safe to assume that
- those brown areas don't have what you're calling
- secondary porosity?
- A. Well, they have fractures. It's
- 19 not showing up in the photographs as very tiny
- fractures, but there is secondary porosity there
- 21 probably, yeah. Like, to say absolutely there's
- 22 no secondary porosity, I can't do that. But
- given my experience with this rock, yeah.
- Q. But the green areas are the areas

- where there's large crevices?
- A. That's correct.
- Q. And from your experience, do most
- 4 areas that you consider karst have large crevices
- 5 as displayed in Figure 2?
- A. It varies. The crevices in Figure 2
- 7 are characteristic of karst in Galena, Illinois.
- 8 In southwestern Illinois and the St. Louis limestone
- 9 we see similar crevices and bedding planes that have
- 10 been dissolved.
- So it's -- it's a much -- you
- 12 know, there is more dissolution because you're
- 13 looking at the difference between limestone and
- dolomite. So we see bigger crevices, bigger
- 15 conduits, caves, and that sort of thing.
- Figure 3 is characteristic of
- the karst that we see up in the north, northwest
- 18 and in Galena, Illinois.
- 19 Q. And from looking at these pictures,
- 20 do you need additional information to determine
- 21 karst is present?
- A. I'm not sure what you mean. Do you
- mean if you were setting up a CAFOs or something
- and you wanted to say yes or no, there is karst

- present or not present?
- 2 Q. Yes. If I walked up to you today
- and you've never seen this picture before and I hand
- 4 it to you and I say, can you tell me whether or
- not there's karst present, would you be able to
- 6 answer that just by looking at the picture?
- A. Let me think. Which one, both of
- 8 them? Because, yeah, if you looked at both of
- 9 them, yes.
- Q. What about Figure 2?
- 11 A. Just Figure 2?
- 12 Q. Correct.
- A. Are you talking about me or just
- 14 anybody?
- 15 Q. You.
- A. Well, having had a lot of experience
- up there, I can say, yeah, that's karst. That's
- what it looks like. We see the same type of feature
- up in Wisconsin where you see the crops line up with
- the fractures, et cetera.
- Q. Did you do a geological survey for
- these pictures?
- A. We're in the process of analyzing
- 24 aerial photography from 16 different locations

- in Jo Daviess County that I flew and photographed
- with a private pilot. The Illinois Department of
- 3 Transportation flew the same areas that we outlined
- 4 with a belly-mounted camera that we are currently
- 5 taking those photographs and georeferencing onto
- 6 maps.
- 7 Q. Did you do any soil samples in regards
- 8 to photographs similar to this one?
- 9 A. No, we haven't. No. We still have
- to go up and do additional work.
- 11 Q. Do you know whether the soil in the
- ground area is the same as the soil in the green
- 13 areas?
- A. I would think it would be the same,
- but I don't know that for a fact.
- Q. And that's because you haven't
- surveyed these fields?
- A. I'm not a soil scientist. So
- 19 actually we worked with some people with -- I'm
- 20 trying to remember who they were with. It was a
- 21 state agency that went up and looked at this area
- 22 and looked at the soil, took some cords, and
- they're looking at the soil. Judging -- you know,
- I mean, given the way soils form, I can't imagine

- that -- are you talking about the soil between the
- green areas and the brown areas?
- Q. Yes, sir.
- A. Yeah. I can't imagine it's a process
- 5 that would give you this type of distribution of
- 6 different soils.
- 7 Q. But you don't know for sure?
- 8 A. No, I don't. I'm not a soil
- 9 scientist.
- 10 Q. Let me move on to the last area that
- 11 I have, which is macropores. You have mentioned
- 12 macropores repeatedly throughout your testimony.
- A. Yes, ma'am.
- 14 Q. I just kind of want to understand what
- they are. What size is too small to be considered a
- 16 macropore?
- 17 A. In centimeters, millimeters, is that
- the kind of thing you are looking for?
- 19 Q. Preferably. A tangible example
- would be someone like me that doesn't have any
- science background.
- A. I understand. It would probably be
- 23 based on our observations in the field. It would
- be a couple millimeters and that would constitute

- 1 a macropore.
- Q. In diameter or length?
- A. In width. In length, some of the
- 4 macropores we've seen extend for tens of feet.
- 5 You can almost -- I mean, several inches wide.
- 6 So they can be really large or the smaller ones
- 7 tend to be part of a matrix of fractures.
- Q. I'm going to back up for a second.
- 9 You said that they can be fairly large?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Are you speaking in terms of
- millimeters or large in terms of feet?
- 13 A. Large as in terms of an inch or two
- wide and tens of feet long.
- Q. So they can go from a couple
- millimeters wide to a few inches wide?
- A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. And would you say that there is
- a length that is too short to be considered a
- 20 macropore?
- A. I mean, it's usually longer than
- 22 it is wide obviously. You know, as to -- you
- have to have hard evidence. What's too short
- for a macropore, I don't have a number for that.

- Q. Are you familiar with the proposal
- 2 that was submitted by the Environmental Groups'
- defining macropore?
- A. No, I'm not.
- ⁵ Q. What is your professional opinion
- of a definition of a macropore as follows: Any
- 7 pore that allows free drainage the depth of
- 8 the subsurface drain?
- 9 A. The subsurface drain meaning a tile
- 10 draining?
- 11 Q. I'm assuming so, yes.
- A. Yeah. So you're basing or they're
- 13 basing that definition on an agricultural field
- 14 that's tile drained.
- Q. Correct.
- A. For regulatory purposes, you know,
- and in looking at what might get down into a tile
- drain, that seems adequate.
- 19 Q. Do you believe there should be a
- size limitation placed on the definition of a
- macropore?
- A. Sure, probably.
- Q. So if these macropores could only
- 24 be a couple millimeters wide, I'm just curious

- whether or not somebody walking across their
- field would be able to see them as they walk
- 3 across the field.
- A. You know, when we walk the fields
- for these photographs, I could see them everywhere.
- 6 You know, they are usually not tiny and only tiny.
- 7 You have a distribution. So you have large ones
- 8 and then a lot more smaller ones. You know, for
- 9 soils that are wet and dry, that go through wet
- and dry cycling, I don't think you would have a
- very difficult time finding macropores in your
- 12 fields.
- 13 Q. In your opinion, as macropore is
- defined in the environmental proposal, could a
- person see the smallest possible macropore with
- a blind eye as walking over the field?
- A. Probably not.
- 18 Q. How long do you think it would
- 19 take to determine whether your field is
- 20 macropore-free?
- A. I think if you're concerned about
- the groundwater, you would assume that the soil
- 23 is going to have macropores unless you have some
- sort of soil that doesn't form macropores.

- Q. And what type of soil would that be?
- A. You know, I don't know.
- Q. Can you tell how far a macropore
- 4 extends into the soil by looking at it from the
- 5 surface?
- A. The only experience i have is, you
- 7 know, we took yard sticks down and the wider ones
- 8 were, you know, maybe an inch or so wide. They
- 9 extend down at least six feet and I guess we had
- something wrong with the yard stick, but excavations
- 11 by Don Keefer at the State Geological Survey had --
- 12 did bromide dye traces where he actually dumped dyes
- on the surface and then he excavated down to
- 14 15 feet. They saw the desiccation fractures. The
- largest was going down at least six feet and root
- 16 casts were going down 15 feet.
- 17 Q. So if I understand your testimony
- 18 correctly, you'd either have to use a measuring
- device and/or possibly dying the fields to determine
- 20 the length and the depth of these --
- A. No, no. You wouldn't have to repeat
- the experiment every time.
- Q. Initially?
- A. Pardon me?

- 1 Q. Initially to determine if your field
- 2 has macropores at a depth?
- A. I think it would be -- if the -- it
- 4 would be conservative or it would be appropriate
- 5 to take a conservative approach and say, okay,
- 6 there's cracks in the ground and this research has
- shown that they extend down 6 to 15 feet and, you
- 8 know, we're probably there.
- 9 Q. You stated that tillage can
- 10 enhance recharge and this was in the discussion
- of macropores when Ms. Manning was asking you
- 12 questions?
- 13 A. Yes.
- Q. Can you explain that statement?
- A. Sure. If you break up the soil,
- you create soil peds or soil contacts that are
- more permeable than, say, undisturbed soil and
- 18 that's regardless of -- you say, okay, now ignore
- 19 the macropores. You know, if you break up the
- soil, it makes the upper foot or however much it's
- 21 broke up that much more permeable.
- Q. What is your opinion on the dangers
- of land applying on a field of macropores or a field
- that has been freshly tilled? Is one greater than

- the other or are they equal?
- A. If you have a field with no
- macropores, is that what you're getting at?
- Q. Actually, I'm assuming that you have
- 5 a field of macropores.
- 6 A. Okay.
- 7 Q. And you're going to land apply liquid
- 8 waste.
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Is it --
- 11 A. Okay. I understand your question.
- 12 Q. It's more likely to recharge?
- 13 A. I think they would be done the same.
- 14 I mean, breaking it up -- if you had really thin
- soil, breaking up the soil would provide a lot
- more pathways to the bedrock.
- Q. So that would be -- in that example,
- if I understand what you're saying, recharging is
- 19 more likely rapid if you till versus if you didn't
- 20 till that field?
- 21 A. It depends on the thickness of the
- soil. So if you're -- if you don't till and you
- have macropores that go down 6 feet to 15 feet, you
- know, if you till the surface, it's probably not

- 1 going to be much of a difference.
- Q. Okay. Thank you for answering all
- of my questions. I appreciate it.
- 4 A. Thank you.
- 5 HEARING OFFICER FOX: Ms. Olson,
- it sounds like you've completed yours.
- Ms. Dexter, did you have follow-up questions
- for Mr. Panno?
- 9 MS. DEXTER: Yes.
- 10 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- by Ms. Dexter
- Q. Good afternoon. I'm Jessica Dexter
- with Environmental Law and Policy Center speaking
- on behalf of the Environmental Groups.
- In your testimony, you say in
- 16 a karst terrain, two feet of unconsolidated sediment
- 17 provides little protection for the underlying karst
- 18 aquifer from surface-borne contaminants like nitrate
- 19 and enteric bacteria. To put that into simpler
- terms, do you agree that one of the important policy
- 21 considerations is for the potential for
- 22 contaminating drinking water with nitrates and
- disease causing bacteria from manure?
- A. I'm sorry. Can you repeat that

- 1 question?
- Q. Do you agree that one of the
- important policy considerations is the potential
- 4 for contaminating drinking water with nitrates
- 5 and disease causing bacteria from manure?
- 6 A. Yes.
- Q. Thank you. Have you ever studied
- groundwater contamination from agricultural sources
- 9 in a karst area?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Do you have examples?
- 12 A. Do I have examples?
- 13 Q. Yes.
- A. Yeah. We've looked at agricultural
- 15 inputs to the groundwater in karst areas in
- southwestern Illinois, northern Illinois,
- Northwestern Illinois, Wisconsin, Missouri,
- 18 Kentucky. I think that's it. What we generally
- do is collect groundwater samples from springs
- 20 and wells -- private wells, municipal wells, and
- 21 analyze those for full chemistry and chemical
- 22 composition and bacterial indicators and in some
- 23 cases, bacterial species.
- One of the studies using bacteria

- was headed by a colleague of mine, Walt Kelly, of
- the Illinois State Water Survey. We were able to
- 3 look at halide ratios, chloride bromide ratios, and
- 4 nitrate and other indicators, boron, and separate
- 5 the bacteria -- I'm sorry -- the contamination --
- 6 the chloride contamination from animal waste, human
- 7 waste.
- Let's see. What else? I think
- 9 that was pretty much it. We were able to identify
- 10 pristine or relatively pristine groundwater and
- then related that to the bacteria or bacterial
- 12 species that was staying in the water samples.
- Q. So your study concluded wells that
- 14 had been contaminated by livestock waste?
- A. Some had, yes.
- Q. Thank you. We talked about this
- a bit this morning, but I just want to be clear.
- 18 Is it your position that in order to protect
- 19 current aquifers, land application of liquid
- waste in karst areas with less than 50 feet of
- 21 unconsolidated material should be prohibited?
- A. That's correct.
- Q. Thank you. In your testimony,
- you stated that the changes proposed in

- 1 Section 502.620(h) are not sufficiently protective
- of groundwater and surface water. This section
- 3 prohibits the application of liquid waste on land
- 4 with less than ten inches of soil covering fractured
- bedrock, sand or gravel. Are you suggesting ten
- inches, in this instance, should be replaced by
- 7 50 feet?
- 8 A. Yes.
- Q. Can there be fractured bedrock, sand
- or gravel in areas that are not shaded gray in
- Figure 1 of your pre-filed testimony?
- 12 A. There are. And I think in Will County
- we see areas like that. Of course, we haven't
- 14 completed our studies in those areas. So as far
- as definitively stating yes, I can't do that, but
- 16 I can say that, you know, we might see some.
- Q. Switching gears slightly, in your
- 18 testimony, you recommend that large CAFOs not be
- 19 constructed in karst areas of the state. Do you
- think manure stock piles without an impermeable
- 21 pad and cover should be prohibited in these areas?
- A. Yeah. If you are dealing with an
- impermeable cover, the seepage would go down into
- the karst aquifer. So in that respect, I would

- say it would not be a safe thing to do as far as
- water quality goes.
- Q. Thank you. And finally, in your
- 4 testimony, you stated macropores, (for example,
- desiccation cracks, worm holes, root channels)
- 6 within the unconsolidated sediment extend several
- 7 meters into the soil zone and can allow contaminated
- 8 surface water to quickly bypass the soil zone
- 9 and rapidly enter the underlying aquifer with
- 10 little or no change. How common are these
- 11 macropores? I know we talked about it a little
- 12 bit.
- A. We see them basically everywhere we
- do our studies. Even thin soils or thick soils,
- they're always present. In southwestern Illinois,
- we were collecting water samples and we were next
- to some macropores and we had a hose running for
- maybe half an hour in one right next to us and the
- 19 water was going down the macropore. It never
- 20 filled. I mean, it just went straight through. The
- 21 soil zone was probably 20 feet thick in that area.
- 22 So it either went down and got diverted off
- 23 somewhere or it went straight into bedrock, but we
- see them everywhere we go and so in that respect,

Page 131

- 1 yeah. I think it would be unusual if the soil
- 2 didn't have them.
- Q. Can macropores develop in farm fields
- 4 even without karst aquifers?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 MS. DEXTER: That's all I have.
- 7 HEARING OFFICER FOX: Very good.
- 8 Thank you, Ms. Dexter.
- 9 Ms. Manning, I believe you
- had indicated the possibility of some
- 11 follow-ups.
- MS. MANNING: I have a follow-ups on
- that line of questions.
- 14 REDIRECT EXAMINATION
- by Ms. Manning
- Q. So basically, Mr. Panno, you are
- testifying, as I understand it, macropores are
- 18 everywhere in Illinois. You have defined them
- to be very small and they are present in all
- 20 soils in Illinois?
- A. I can't say that definitively. I'm
- saying that everywhere we've done work, we've seen
- 23 macropores and we've done work all over the state.
- Q. And you're testifying to the Board

- that there should be no land application in or
- 2 around a macropore?
- A. No, I didn't say that. I was
- 4 focusing on CAFOs in karst areas and the macropores
- 5 I'm testifying are a vector or are a pathway to
- 6 the underling karst aquifer where you have soils
- 7 relatively thin.
- Q. And I believe your last question to
- 9 Ms. Dexter was that it was not protective to land
- 10 application over a macropore?
- 11 A. In a karst area.
- MS. DEXTER: I didn't ask that
- question. You are mischaracterizing the
- 14 question I asked.
- MS. MANNING: That's all I have right
- 16 now.
- HEARING OFFICER FOX: Very good.
- Ms. Olson, if I'm understanding you correct,
- 19 please go ahead with your follow-up.
- MS. OLSON: I just have a few
- questions.
- 22 RECROSS-EXAMINATION
- by Ms. Olson
- Q. Ms. Dexter asked you whether or

- 1 not the Agency's proposal should be changed. If
- it was changed to 50 inches of soil covering
- fractured bedrock and gravel, and you answered
- 4 yes; is that correct?
- A. You meant feet?
- Q. I'm sorry. Feet.
- 7 A. Yeah. It was -- I don't recall sand
- and gravel being an integral part of it, but
- 9 if that was the case, sand and gravel would tend
- to filter out quite a bit.
- 11 Q. So would 50 feet be necessary over
- sand and gravel?
- A. Sand and gravel tends to plug up the
- 14 crevices to the point where you don't get sinkhole
- 15 formation. So it might be there is -- the good
- 16 news is sand and gravel probably wouldn't form
- sinkholes. The bad news is that nutrients go
- through sand and gravel. You know, nitrates,
- 19 potassium, they go through sand and gravel very
- quickly and very little change, you know,
- denitrification, and as far as bacteria, the
- 22 bacteria might be filtered down.
- Q. Do you have an opinion as to whether
- 50 feet is the minimum required to be adequately

- protective over sand and gravel?
- A. Over sand and gravel?
- Q. Yes.
- A. Well, 50 feet was the number I used
- because it was the thickness where sinkholes could
- 6 be developed. And, therefore, it has no -- it
- 7 really doesn't apply to the sand and gravel
- 8 situation.
- 9 Q. Okay. What is your opinion as to
- 10 50 feet over fractured bedrock, and it's not karst,
- 11 but is 50 feet required to be protective of
- 12 groundwater?
- A. If it's not karst?
- Q. If it's not karst.
- 15 A. No.
- Q. Are you aware of a definition
- of macropore by the Soil Science Society of America?
- A. Not offhand, no.
- MS. OLSON: That's all I have.
- HEARING OFFICER FOX: Thank you,
- Ms. Olson.
- MR RAO: I have just a follow-up.
- HEARING OFFICER FOX: Mr. Rao, from
- the Board, has a follow-up, Mr. Panno, if you

- would respond to that, please.
- 2 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- by Mr. Rao
- Q. Mr. Panno, are you aware of
- 5 restrictions proposed by Environmental Groups
- 6 for land applying livestock waste to land with
- 5 subsurface drainage and macropores present in
- 8 the soil?
- 9 A. By subsurface draining, do you mean
- 10 like tile draining?
- 11 Q. Yes. I'll read the requirement. It
- 12 states that liquid livestock waste shall not be
- applied to land with subsurface drainage when
- 14 macropores are present. That's the restriction
- that's been proposed. Earlier, you stated that
- you have encountered macropores in most soils
- that you have looked at in the state?
- 18 A. Yes. I have. I have seen it.
- 19 Q. So would this kind of a restriction
- 20 pretty much eliminate land application of waste in
- soils as long as there is some type of subsurface
- 22 drainage?
- A. You probably wouldn't see macropores
- 24 in sandy soils, but if you go strictly by the fact

- that the macropores would allow untreated or
- 2 unmitigated -- not mitigated -- I used the wrong
- word -- unattenuated waste to get into the
- 4 subsurface and into a tile drain, yeah, you could.
- 5 But it's not going to groundwater. It's going to
- 6 surface water. So I don't know.
- You want to know my opinion as to
- 8 whether or not that should --
- 9 Q. Yes.
- 10 A. I have no opinion at this point. I'm
- 11 focusing on karst.
- Q. Also earlier, when the Agency asked
- you questions about the definition of macropore,
- you mentioned it can be as small as a millimeter.
- A. Okay.
- Q. Have you seen any definitions where
- the actual minimum size specifications for macropore
- 18 are larger than that?
- A. I don't recall the definition of
- macropore offhand, but we can see fractures in
- the soil several millimeters wide, but as I said,
- they are usually associated with larger macropores.
- 23 So it's usually a network and, you know, at some
- times during the year, you go out and there's no

- 1 macropores and then things dry out. Clays contract
- 2 and you see macropores.
- You know, if you try to divorce
- 4 the large macropores from the couple millimeter wide
- fractures, you know, I'm not sure you know, where --
- 6 what you could do with that information.
- 7 Q. I was just wondering from a regulatory
- 8 standpoint, how you implement a requirement like
- 9 this.
- 10 A. Yeah. I've worked with Don Keefer
- 11 at the State Geological Survey and he's an expert
- on macropores and he would be a better one for you
- 13 to ask.
- Q. Do you think he would be able to
- 15 come to Jo Daviess?
- A. I'll ask him.
- Q. Thank you.
- 18 HEARING OFFICER FOX: Ms. Manning,
- Ms. Olson, Ms. Dexter, any further follow-up
- questions for Mr. Panno?
- MS. MANNING: No.
- HEARING OFFICER FOX: Mr. Panno,
- thank you for your time today.
- It's appreciated on the Board's part, and we

1 can let you go, again, with thanks for all 2 of your time and testimony today. 3 MR. PANNO: Thank you very much. HEARING OFFICER FOX: Based on our 5 discussion earlier in the course of the 6 hearings, we're prepared, Ms. Dexter, to 7 turn to the pre-filed testimony by Dr. James, Dr. Thu and Mr. Leder. 9 What I think might be helpful, 10 rather than leaving Dr. Thu stranded back in 11 the audience, is if we bring him forward 12 where he would have a microphone and a desk. 13 As I suggested at the beginning 14 of the day, I think it would make sense, 15 Ms. Dexter, to swear all of them in since 16 there are some interrelationships between 17 their pre-filed testimony so that they're 18 all prepared from the start to answer whatever 19 questions may arise. Does that make sense? 20 MS. DEXTER: That makes sense. 21 I think that if you will allow them to make 22 summaries, we will go in the order of Mr. Leder, 23 Dr. Thu and Dr. James.

HEARING OFFICER FOX: Yes. Their

1 pre-filed testimony is entered into the record 2 as if read, but if there's a brief summary that they would like to offer, that certainly would not be out of order and we can begin 5 with those. As we had discussed at the top 7 of the day, we will begin with questions on the part of the Agricultural Coalition and 9 then we can turn to the Agency and then answer, 10 if any, follow-ups as well. So why don't we 11 start by swearing in those three witnesses 12 whenever the court reporter is ready. 13 (Witnesses sworn.) 14 HEARING OFFICER FOX: Ms. Dexter, 15 you have three witnesses sworn in. Is there 16 a particular order in which you'd like to 17 begin statements or would one statement on 18 the part of one of them suffice? 19 I think we'll start with MS. DEXTER: 20 Mr. Leder. They will each have a statement. 21 HEARING OFFICER FOX: Mr. Leder, I can

22 see we might have an issue. You might want to 23 raise the microphone so it's easier to lean into 24 and let it pick up your voice.

- MR. LEDER: My name is Arnold Leder,
- 2 L-E-D-E-R. I'm a resident of LaSalle County. I've
- worked for 34 years as an enforcement officer for
- 4 the United States Environmental Protection Agency.
- 5 I retired six years ago from the Agency, but when
- I was there for the last two years, I was a CAFOs
- 7 enforcement program manager.
- In that capacity, as part of my
- 9 responsibilities, I was involved in developing --
- helping to develop the national CAFOs regulations,
- update the work with training state and federal
- 12 CAFOs inspectors, and also was involved in reviewing
- a number of state programs where the states were
- 14 not carrying out their NPDES enforcement or
- permitting authority for NPDES permits for CAFOs.
- In Region 5, Wisconsin and
- 17 Minnesota had always issued NPDES permits for CAFOs.
- 18 If Michigan, Indiana, Ohio and Illinois were not
- 19 issuing NPDES permits for CAFOs and Illinois is --
- 20 most of the other states have gone through this,
- 21 but we've not gone through federal program reviews,
- which I participated in, and as a result of those
- 23 reviews, they began implementing NPDES permits for
- 24 CAFOs. Illinois is currently under federal review

- and is asking IEPA to adopt regulations which are
- 2 consistent with federal requirements and I think
- that's why we're here today with these proposed
- 4 regulations.
- In my testimony, I tried to
- 6 discuss some of the problems I've seen in conducting
- 7 inspections with CAFOs. I looked at issues like
- 9 problems we've seen with surface application,
- 9 particularly on frozen and snow covered ground.
- We have looked at -- I've
- 11 discussed production area siting setbacks from
- 12 surface waters and the importance there, technical
- 13 standards of EPA land requirements for all CAFOs.
- One of the things that I worked on was developing
- the national CAFOs strategy with U.S. Department
- of Agriculture and U.S. Environmental Protection
- 17 Agency. In that strategy, it sets out a principle
- 18 that all CAFOs should have comprehensive management
- 19 plans.
- Just to go into my conclusion,
- I find in my experience that livestock operations
- 22 pose considerable risks to water quality. Poor
- 23 production area management, bad land application
- techniques, and inadequate waste storage capacity

- 1 are frequent causes of livestock waste discharging
- into waters of the state. This rulemaking provides
- 3 The state of Illinois with an important opportunity
- 4 to reduce water pollution from CAFOs by adopting
- 5 strong regulations that apply to all CAFOs.
- 6 Simultaneous with the need for good technical
- 5 standards is the need for a comprehensive inventory
- 8 of CAFOs so that their locations and so that
- 9 discharges can be identified.
- MS. DEXTER: And next, we'll have
- 11 Dr. Thu.
- DR. THU: My name is Dr. Kendall Thu.
- 13 I'm a professor here at Northern Illinois
- University. I'm also a cofounder of the Illinois
- 15 Citizens for Clean Air and Water.
- I want to welcome the Board
- members to DeKalb and I appreciate the opportunity
- to provide a brief summary statement here.
- I have approximately 20 years of
- 20 experience conducting research on concentrated
- 21 animal feeding operations, and I'm offering
- testimony on behalf of the environmental groups.
- 23 Specifically, my testimony is on the need for a
- 24 registration program for large CAFOs in Illinois to

- identify how many there are, where they're located
- and whether they should be prioritized for
- investigation or subject to NPDES requirements.
- In 2008, the United States
- 5 Government Accountability Office released a report
- 6 that found U.S. EPA's data for regulating CAFOs is
- "inconsistent, inaccurate, and do not provide
- 8 necessary information on their characteristics."
- 9 It was also found that "without a systematic and
- 10 coordinated process for collecting and maintaining
- 11 accurate and complete information on the number,
- the size, and location of permitted CAFOs, EPA does
- 13 not have the information that it needs to
- 14 effectively regulate them."
- The situation in Illinois
- 16 reflects the GAO's report on the state level. No
- one in or outside the State of Illinois knows how
- 18 many large CAFOs there are, nor do they know where
- they are located, let alone the water pollution
- 20 risks many of them pose. The photos behind me are
- submitted in evidence -- these are the same photos
- that were submitted in my pre-filing of my
- 23 testimony. These photos indicate the kind of
- evidence of discharges that we can collect when we

- 1 know where the CAFO is located.
- 2 If the Illinois EPA had a
- 3 registry of large CAFOs with adequate information
- 4 about their operations, this sort of flyover
- 5 exercise would be less necessary, and it would be
- easier to identify problem facilities. In 2008,
- 7 ICCAW filed a petition to the USEPA to withdraw the
- 8 state's authority to administer the Clean Water Act
- 9 NPDES program. The petition we filed is included
- in my pre-filed testimony as Attachment 4. USEPA
- 11 Region 5 conducted an investigation, and in
- 12 response to the petition found that the Illinois
- 13 EPA NPDES program for CAFOs did not meet the
- 14 minimum thresholds for an adequate program.
- USEPA outlined the steps Illinois
- 16 is required to take to avoid withdrawal
- 17 proceedings. The Illinois EPA responded by
- 18 committing to USEPA that it would require livestock
- 19 producers to file basic information to the Agency
- to populate a statewide inventory so that they will
- 21 be able to prioritize inspections and permitting
- decisions. This commitment was made to avoid de
- delegation of the state's authority to administer
- 24 the NPDES program.

- 1 The Illinois EPA and the Illinois
- Pollution Control Board have the responsibility and
- 3 authority to enact information reporting
- 4 requirements to ensure proper implementation of the
- 5 Clean Water Act. Section 403(c)(3) of the Clean
- 6 Water Act requires the Administrator of the USEPA
- 7 to withdraw an approved state NPDES program if it
- is determined that the state is not administering
- 9 the program with applicable requirements and the
- 10 state fails to take corrective action.
- Under the Clean Water Act's NPDES
- 12 regulations, a state must have a program which is
- 13 capable of making comprehensive surveys of all
- 14 facilities. The Illinois EPA's proposed
- 15 regulations now before the Board fail to meet the
- 16 commitment to USEPA to enact a registration
- 17 program. While the Illinois EPA had stated its
- intent to develop an interim inventory of CAFOs
- 19 using currently available resources as demonstrated
- 20 by Illinois EPA's September 20th affidavit
- submitted in these proceedings, these sources of
- 22 information are not adequate to develop a
- 23 comprehensive inventory of CAFOs, and as
- consequently, will not allow the Agency to evaluate

- their regulatory compliance.
- Furthermore, this is intended to
- 3 be an interim step towards enacting a registration
- 4 program. A large CAFOs registration program that
- 5 requires submission of information to the Agency is
- 6 integral to the proper implementation of the NPDES
- 7 program in Illinois. Unless and until the EPA has
- 8 an accounting of all the at large CAFOs in
- 9 Illinois, and adequate information about their
- 10 locations and operations are needed to be able to
- 11 evaluate their compliance with program
- 12 requirements, the state will fail to meet its
- obligations under the Clear Water Act.
- 14 Environmental Groups then are
- proposing that the following types of
- 16 information -- this is not a comprehensive list --
- be submitted including the names and addresses of
- owners and operators, specific information about
- 19 the average of actual number of animals, type of
- waste containment and storage, total number of
- 21 acres land applied, estimated amount of livestock
- waste transferred to other persons or third
- 23 parties, copies of signed contractual agreements
- with transferees, nutrient management plans and the

- 1 like.
- It is simply common sense to have
- 3 this kind of basic information on CAFOs in order
- 4 for IEPA to discharge its duty to the Clean Water
- 5 Act to ensure Illinois citizens have a healthy
- 6 environment. Thank you.
- MS. DEXTER: And next we will move on
- 8 to testimony -- or a summary of testimony from Dr.
- 9 James.
- DR. JAMES: Thank you to the Board,
- 11 to the Agricultural Coalition, to IEPA and to the
- 12 rest of the audience for this opportunity to
- present testimony on behalf of the Environmental
- 14 Groups.
- My name is Dr. Stacy James, and I
- 16 am a water resources scientist at Prairie Rivers
- 17 Network, Illinois statewide river conservation
- organization and the state affiliate of the
- 19 National Wildlife Federation. My work focuses on
- 20 reducing pollution from agricultural lands and
- 21 confined animal feeding operations through the
- 22 adoption of protective policies and conservation
- 23 practices.
- I was an active member of IEPA's

- 1 CAFO rulemaking stakeholder work group that formed
- in 2009 during and after which I reviewed a number
- of scientific papers and other documents pertinent
- 4 to the rule. I'm very familiar not only with the
- 5 IEPA regulations, but also with the Livestock
- 6 Management Facilities Act or LMFA.
- While writing my testimony, I
- 9 presented multiple lines of supporting evidence for
- 9 changes the environmental groups are seeking.
- 10 These changes can be found in the proposed
- amendments submitted to the Board on October 17th,
- 12 2012. The multiple lines of evidence include IEPA
- 13 livestock facility inspection reports, IEPA
- 14 testimony, complaints filed by the Illinois
- 15 Attorney General's office and associated orders,
- scientific literature, regulations and
- 17 recommendations from other states and conversations
- with affected people. My pre-filed testimony
- 19 covered six topics, and I will now briefly
- 20 summarize each.
- No. 1, currently, livestock
- operations are not required to have a minimum
- 23 siting setback from surface waters. There are many
- 24 livestock operations in Illinois that are located

- within a few hundred feet of streams and some of
- 2 these operations have discharged into those
- 3 streams. Discharges happen for a number of
- 4 reasons, including inadequate waste storage
- 5 capacity, unprotected and overflowing waste storage
- 6 structures, the construction of discreet
- 7 conveyances to streams, equipment failures, stream
- 8 flooding and willful acts. We believe there should
- 9 be a minimum siting setback so that streams are
- 10 protected from production area discharges.
- No. 2, in the existing
- 12 regulations and the proposed rules, livestock
- operations are required to maintain a 200-foot
- 14 setback from down-gradient surface waters when land
- 15 applying waste. There is evidence that this
- 16 setback distance may not always be protective. It
- is particularly important that surface water
- drinking supplies and quality streams be protected
- 19 from pollution discharges. Therefore, we think
- there should be an increased setback to protect
- 21 these waters.
- No. 3, temporary manure stacks
- can be quite large or sometimes placed directly on
- the ground without any protection from storm water

- and can be significant contributors of polluted
- 2 runoff. The current and proposed regulations in
- Part 501 require manure stacks to be constructed
- 4 and maintained in a manner to prevent runoff and
- 5 leachate from entering surface waters and
- 6 groundwater.
- 7 The proposed regulations stated a
- 8 cover and pad should be used, quote, "when needed,"
- 9 end quote, to protect these water resources, but we
- believe this provides too much latitude for
- interpretation. Despite existing regulations,
- 12 manure stacks have frequently been cited by IEPA as
- 13 pollution sources in their livestock facility
- investigation report.
- Realizing there might be
- 16 situations where having a cover and pad is not
- feasible, we suggest the facilities should be given
- a clear choice; either place covered manure stacks
- on a pad, or comply with minimum setbacks from
- 20 surface water and groundwater.
- No. 4, the proposed rule outlines
- the steps for determining whether livestock waste
- can be applied at a nitrogen based or a phosphorus
- 24 based rate. From a water quality perspective, a

- nitrogen based rate is more risky. The proposed
- 2 rule states that a soil test phosphorus
- 3 concentration of 300 pounds per acre is a threshold
- 4 for switching from nitrogen based to phosphorus
- 5 based applications.
- 6 However, the Illinois Agronomy
- 7 Handbook states that there is no need to apply
- 8 phosphorus when it reaches approximately 70 pounds
- 9 per acre. Because there is a positive correlation
- between the amount of phosphorus in soil and the
- amount of phosphorus in runoff, we suggest that the
- threshold should be lower than proposed.
- No. 5, applying livestock waste
- on top of frozen, snow covered and ice covered
- ground increases the risk that land applied waste
- will not be utilized economically and will runoff
- into nearby surface waters. The proposed rule is a
- dramatic improvement over existing regulations
- 19 found in both the Environmental Protection Act and
- the LMFA, but the proposed rule does allow surface
- 21 application of waste in winter conditions.
- The proposed rule contains a
- number of required practices that should reduce the
- chance of a polluted discharge when the weather

- warms back up. However, missing from the list of
- 2 practices is a limit on the amount of waste that
- 3 can be applied. Given higher application rates can
- 4 lead to higher losses of pollutants, we believe
- 5 their needs to be a protective limit.
- And finally, No. 6, the
- Agricultural Coalition, as well as Dr. Funk, have
- 8 asked that unpermitted large CAFOs following LMFA
- 9 waste management plans qualify for the agricultural
- storm water exemption. While the LMFA does contain
- land application provisions, they fall short of the
- 12 technical standards proposed in this rule.
- We believe that unpermitted large
- 14 CAFOs should be subject to the same technical
- standards for land applications, as are required of
- 16 permanent large CAFOs. Large CAFOs regardless of
- their permitted status produce similar quantities
- of waste and face the same waste management
- 19 challenges.
- It does not make sense for
- 21 facilities without permits to be held to a lower
- land application technical standard. In fact, it
- is because they do not have permits and are not
- subject to regular IEPA inspections that this is

- all the more important that they be held to
- 2 protective standards.
- This concludes the summary of my
- 4 testimony.
- 5 HEARING OFFICER FOX: Ms. Dexter, if
- those summaries are complete as they appear to be,
- we can turn to questions, if you are set to go to
- 8 those.
- 9 Ms. Manning, if you have
- 10 questions for the three witnesses on behalf of the
- 11 Environmental Groups, we are prepared to have you
- 12 begin these.
- MS. MANNING: I do have some general
- 14 questions with both -- are you going to go
- witness -- and then let the Agency ask questions of
- that witness, or do you want me to ask questions of
- all three witnesses?
- 18 HEARING OFFICER FOX: Well, the last
- 19 thing you said, Ms. Manning.
- MS. MANNING: Okay. They will be
- general in nature, and I am going to reserve the
- 22 right to ask questions after the Agency has asked
- questions as well.
- HEARING OFFICER FOX: Fair enough.

- MS. MANNING: If that's all right.
- ² Thank you.
- 3 ARNOLD LEDER,
- 4 called as a witness herein, having been first duly
- sworn, deposeth and saith as follows:
- 6 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 7 By Ms. Manning
- Q. Starting then with Mr. Leder. Hello.
- 9 How are you?
- A. Fine, thanks.
- 11 Q. So just to clarify, you are retired
- 12 from the USEPA and are testifying on behalf of the
- 13 Environmental Coalition today and not on behalf of
- the USEPA; is that correct?
- 15 A. That is correct.
- 16 Q. Thank you. And when you say that you
- worked at the USEPA from 1974 to 2006?
- 18 A. Yes.
- Q. And when you say you've participated
- in most Region 5 CAFO inspections, that would be in
- what state, sir?
- A. That would have been in Minnesota,
- Wisconsin, Illinois, Ohio, Indiana, Michigan. I may
- 24 have covered that twice.

- Q. Okay.
- A. All the Region 5 states.
- Q. And that would be --
- 4 A. For a good part of the time -- if I
- 5 might continue -- I was the CAFO enforcement program
- 6 when it started in the region, because I do have a
- 7 small farm in LaSalle County and was the only person
- 8 that had any agricultural background.
- 9 Q. Thank you. And do you still have that
- small farm in La Salle County?
- A. I do, Yes.
- 12 Q. I'm not sure it said what -- hogs. So
- it's a swine farm in Wisconsin?
- A. Yeah. Well, a number of years ago,
- 15 the price of hogs went down to 15 cents a pound and
- the price of corn went to 3 dollars, and I haven't
- done it since.
- Q. So based on your experience in
- inspecting each of these states, -- actually what
- 20 I'd ask you is what types of CAFO facilities, animal
- types, did you inspect at each of these states?
- A. We inspected poultry operations. We
- 23 inspected a large number of dairies. We inspected a
- few swine facilities, open feedlots.

- 1 Q. You would agree, would you not, that
- we are here for the very purposes of ensuring that
- 3 the federal rule -- the federal CAFO rule is
- 4 implemented by the state of Illinois. You would
- 5 agree with that?
- A. I believe that's the case, yeah.
- 7 Q. In your inspection with the other
- 8 Region 5 states, you must have recognized that there
- 9 was a difference in Illinois in that Illinois has an
- 10 existing law applicable to livestock facilities that
- 11 preexisted the federal CAFO regulations. You don't
- mention that in your testimony, but I'm assuming you
- are aware of the Livestock Management Facilities
- 14 Act; is that correct?
- A. Yes. To a limited extent, all the
- states in Region 5 that weren't implementing the
- 17 NPDES program had independent state requirements
- 18 similar.
- 19 Q. And you indicate in your testimony, I
- believe, on Page 5 that it could be very confusing
- 21 for the regulating community to have several sets of
- 22 practices?
- A. Yes, particularly when it comes to the
- 24 technical standard.

- 1 Q. And that's particularly true as well
- when it comes to nutrient management plans; for
- 3 example?
- 4 A. Very true.
- 5 Q. And I would assume that you are aware
- on the basis of your experience as well that
- 7 livestock operators follow -- currently particularly
- in Illinois, they follow nutrient management plan
- 9 requirements of an existing state law, the Livestock
- 10 Management Facilities Act, as well as quidance
- 11 and/or requirements of the USDA NRCS process when
- 12 they are seeking EQUIP dollars?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. I assume on the basis of your
- experience, you are aware that there is already
- 16 those two sets of requirements in Illinois that a
- 17 livestock operator would be following?
- A. I'm aware of that.
- 19 Q. Pardon?
- A. I'm aware of that.
- Q. So it would make sense given that you
- 22 believe it could be very confusing for the
- 23 regulating community to have several sets of
- 24 practices that this Board ought to try to be as

- consistent with this CAFO rule as the federal rules
- 2 require, understanding the existing state law, which
- 3 the Pollution Control Board is not in a position to
- 4 change; would you agree with that?
- 5 A. I would agree to the extent that it's
- 6 important to have consistent standards. I think one
- of the things you need to keep in mind is that the
- 8 USEPA has set pretty express standards for who
- 9 qualities -- how to determine whether a facility
- 10 qualifies for the storm water -- the ag storm water
- exemption, and to have -- in order to qualify for
- the ag storm water exemption under the EPA guidance,
- they have to be able to demonstrate that they meet
- 14 the nutrient management standards established by the
- director of the Agency, not the NRCS, not the State
- Department of Agriculture.
- So I think there is a big impetus
- 18 to make sure there is -- these are consistent and
- 19 that they -- I think EPA is probably going to -- my
- guess would be they are going to insist that they be
- 21 consistent.
- Q. But we're not here to -- excuse me.
- We're not here to guess, and the key word there I
- think is guidance. You said that the USEPA does, in

- fact, have guidance, but the USEPA also has a
- federal rule that they have not only proposed, but
- 3 implemented?
- 4 A. Right.
- Q. And have required the states to
- implement, and the states are, of course, required,
- you would agree, to implement the federal rule, but
- 8 they are not required to implement the guidance.
- 9 Would you agree with that
- 10 statement? You have been a federal regulator for
- 11 many years. I would assume you understand that and
- would agree with that statement?
- A. All I can tell you is that in the past
- we were sticklers for making sure that the guidance
- that we provided to the states was adhered to in
- their program modifications in order to retain the
- 17 NPDES part of the program. What the Agency would do
- 18 today, I can't tell you.
- 19 Q. And you recognize as well, however,
- that the program modifications are being made in
- 21 Illinois pursuant to this process where the Illinois
- 22 EPA is proposing a rule to the Illinois Pollution
- 23 Control Board, and it's the Illinois Pollution
- 24 Control Board that will adopt that rule?

- 1 A. That's correct.
- Q. Thank you. You state on Section -- on
- Page 7 that the current system of CAFOs doing
- 4 self-determinations of whether they need a permit or
- 5 not is not working. I have several questions about
- 6 that statement, the first of which is, in most
- 7 environmental systems including this system industry
- 8 always makes a determination as to whether they need
- ⁹ a permit and then they apply for a permit; is that
- 10 not correct?
- 11 A. Typically speaking, yes.
- Q. And you state that most permits issued
- in Illinois are not in response to an application
- 14 but to a discharge.
- 15 A. That's my experience, yes.
- Q. And you recognize that under federal
- 17 law and federal court decisions -- I'm sure you're
- 18 familiar with the Waterkeepers decision, as well as
- 19 the National Pork decision; am I correct that you
- are familiar with both of those decisions?
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. That a permit is only required in the
- context of a CAFO if, in fact, there is a discharge?
- A. That's correct. Under federal

- 1 regulations.
- Q. So you would agree.
- A. The state regulations could be more
- 4 stringent.
- ⁵ Q. You would agree that a CAFO that is
- 6 not discharging does not need a discharge?
- 7 A. No. I think if I could go back to the
- intent of my comment it was that, you know,
- 9 typically speaking, your agricultural applications
- 10 are remote locations. They may have discharges, and
- 11 nobody is aware of it. You know, again, there is
- just no good inventory of where the facility is
- 13 located. There has been no good assessment of who
- discharges, and I think that's something that the
- state needs to complete in order to get a handle on
- where they are and who can discharge and who does
- discharge.
- Q. We'll get to that later, but I guess I
- would ask the question, would you agree that even
- the whole idea of whether there is a discharge or
- 21 not, given the Rapanos decision -- and I'm sure you
- 22 are familiar with the Rapanos decision that required
- 23 federal guidance joint with the USEPA and the Army
- 24 Corps. Of Engineers in and of itself is murky

- territory as to whether there was, in fact, a
- 2 discharge or whether there was not a discharge.
- 3 It's not such a clean-cut determination; would you
- 4 agree with that statement?
- 5 A. Yeah. I would agree that the Agency
- 6 doesn't -- has not been able to complete guidance at
- 7 this point, to my understanding.
- Q. Oh, I guess the question is, is
- 9 whether there is a discharge of livestock waste to
- jurisdictional federal waters a clear-cut
- determination, or is it subject to a difference of
- opinion as to whether there was, in fact, a
- 13 discharge?
- A. There is a difference of opinion
- 15 apparently based on the Court decision.
- MS. MANNING: Okay. And you, I
- believe, alluded to me in answering my question
- about the federal law driving this particular
- 19 process. You indicated that the states could be
- stricter. Are you aware, though, that in
- 21 Illinois -- there is a provision in Illinois law
- 22 that states -- that no permit shall be required
- under this subsection and this is Subsection 212-F
- of the Environmental Protection Act, and under

- 1 Section 39(b) of this Act, for any discharge for
- which a permit is not required under the Federal
- Water Pollution Control Act as now or hereinafter
- 4 amended and regulations pursuant thereto?
- In other words, if a federal
- 6 NPDES permit is not required under federal law, by
- 7 Illinois law it is also not required?
- 8 A. That's true.
- 9 Q. Okay. Thank you. Now, you as well
- as, I think, Dr. Thu, called for a comprehensive
- inventory of CAFOs in Illinois. Are you aware that
- 12 the Illinois EPA has already in this proceeding
- indicated to the Pollution Control Board that they
- do not believe that they have the authority to
- 15 request and/or the Board to develop such an
- inventory under state law?
- 17 A. I'm aware that they made that
- 18 assertion.
- 19 Q. Okay.
- A. I don't think it's a good idea. I
- think, you know, there are ways to develop an
- inventory. You need an inventory. You can't just
- drive around the countryside and try to find the
- facilities or fly over like the citizen groups do.

- 1 Q. The -- generally in the environmental
- 2 regulatory arena an agency like the USEPA or the
- 3 Illinois EPA develops such inventory when permits
- 4 are filed. Would you agree with that statement?
- 5 A. To some extent. I think that there
- are other programs where that hasn't been the case;
- 7 the pre-treatment program, for example, where
- 9 industrial facilities are regulated. The industrial
- 9 facilities were the last to come forward and
- identify themselves so that pre-treatment in
- programs could be established, if they were
- 12 significant.
- Q. Via federal regulation?
- 14 A. These were federal regulations.
- Q. That's correct?
- A. Yeah.
- Q. And in terms of the EPA and the
- regulations of CAFOs, the USEPA actually proposed to
- do such inventory, but then withdrew that regulatory
- 20 proposal. So the current USEPA position is that
- such inventories are not necessary? Would you agree
- 22 with that?
- A. I'm not sure that's the position at
- 24 all. I really don't know what the position is. I

Page 165

- 1 haven't discussed it with anybody at EPA. It may be
- ² for all sorts of reasons.
- Q. Okay. I'll step back for a minute
- 4 then. What I will ask is, you are aware, are you
- 5 not -- and I think it's either in your testimony or
- 6 certainly it's in Dr. Thu's testimony that the USEPA
- did propose an inventory type system like you are
- 9 proposing, and then pulled back from that and
- 9 withdrew that regulatory proposal. You would agree
- with that?
- 11 A. Yes.
- MS. DEXTER: Can I ask a quick
- 13 follow-up?
- MS. MANNING: Sure.
- DR. KENDALL THU,
- 16 called as a witness herein, having been first duly
- sworn, deposeth and saith as follows:
- 18 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 19 By Ms. Dexter
- Q. Doctor Thu, can you explain your
- 21 perspective of the USEPA withdrawal of that rule?
- MS. MANNING: No. I would object to
- that. I don't know that he is even qualified if he
- 24 is not working at the USEPA and --

- MS. DEXTER: I asked Dr. Thu,
- 2 correct?
- MS. MANNING: Oh, I'm sorry.
- 4 HEARING OFFICER FOX: Dr. Thu has
- 5 been asked if he has an opinion about an issue that
- 6 was addressed in his pre-filed testimony, and if he
- 7 has an answer, I'll let him answer it.
- 8 THE WITNESS: You are absolutely
- 9 correct that the federal government withdrew their
- 10 requirement for inventory information. The basis
- of that, though, was that the states would provide
- in lieu of the federal inventory state based
- inventory data that they could rely on. Therefore,
- if we do not have the state inventory in Illinois,
- we have no source of comprehensive inventory data
- at either the state or the federal level.
- MS. DEXTER: Thank you.
- MS. OLSON: Can I ask a follow-up?
- 19 HEARING OFFICER FOX: Ms. Olson,
- 20 please go ahead.
- 21 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 22 By Ms. Olson
- Q. Do you know whether, in your opinion,
- USEPA thinks that an inventory is the same thing as

- a reporting requirement?
- A. I couldn't answer for the U.S. -- it's
- Region 5. I can't answer that for them.
- Q. In your opinion, do you believe that
- 5 an inventory is the same thing as reporting
- 6 requirement?
- 7 A. No.
- Q. So when you say USEPA believes that
- ⁹ the states would gather the inventory, you are not
- saying that the states would have a reporting
- 11 program. Is that correct?
- 12 A. You can gather an inventory without
- having -- there's an inventory that somebody can do
- independently from having a reporting requirement by
- 15 large CAFOs.
- Q. So when you testified just a few
- seconds ago that USEPA withdrew the rule because
- they expected states to have an inventory, you did
- 19 not mean they withdrew the rule because they
- 20 expected states to have a registration or reporting
- 21 program; is that correct?
- A. In the state of Illinois, the state of
- 23 Illinois has agreed to provide a reporting
- requirement, registration requirement, for large

- 1 CAFOs.
- Q. Is that correct?
- A. That's correct.
- Q. No. My question, I don't believe you
- 5 answered it. Is it correct that when you testified
- a few minutes ago that USEPA withdrew the federal
- 7 reporting rule because -- the federal reporting rule
- because it believed that states would be able to
- 9 have -- provide USEPA their CAFOs inventories, you
- were not saying that USEPA withdrew the rule because
- 11 they expected states to have a reporting
- 12 requirement; is that correct?
- A. You can get --
- Q. It's a yes or no answer. Is that
- 15 correct?
- A. It's not a yes or no answer. You can
- get an inventory via a registration process, or you
- 18 can get an inventory in other ways.
- 19 Q. Does USEPA require states to have a
- 20 reporting rule?
- A. No. They are relying on --
- Q. Thank you --
- A. -- they'd be able to inventory their
- 24 CAFOs.

- 1 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- By Ms. Manning
- Q. And just as a follow-up to that, an
- 4 inventory could be something that is created as a
- 5 result of enforcement action?
- A. That would be one mechanism, but
- 7 although it would be incomplete in order to assess
- 8 whether or not the state's program is adequately
- 9 assessing whether large CAFOs are discharged.
- Q. And that's your position?
- 11 A. Yes, it is.
- 12 Q. That's your position?
- 13 A. Yes.
- Q. Thank you?
- 15 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- By Ms. Diamond
- Q. Can I ask a follow-up question of Dr.
- 18 Thu?
- 19 THE COURT REPORTER: What is your
- 20 name?
- 21 BY MS. DIAMOND:
- Q. Danielle Diamond. Dr. Thu, the
- 23 Illinois EPA just asked you if USEPA withdrew its
- rule so that states would require a reporting rule,

- and your answer to that question was, no, but is it
- true that in Illinois' case the USEPA had an
- 3 expectation that Illinois would enact a registration
- 4 program to create its inventory?
- 5 A. Yes. That's exactly my point, that in
- 6 the de delegation process IEPA agreed to establish a
- 7 registration process for large CAFOs. That was
- independent of the federal government withdrawing
- 9 its information requirement at the federal level.
- MS. WILLIAMS: May I ask a follow-up
- 11 now of Dr. Thu?
- THE COURT REPORTER: Your name,
- 13 please?
- MS. WILLIAMS: My name is Deborah
- Williams from the Illinois Environmental Protection
- 16 Agency.
- 17 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- By Ms. Williams
- 19 Q. So when you talk about this
- independent requirement, you're referring to one of
- the objectives in the work plan. That was
- 22 Attachment 7 to your testimony; is that correct?
- A. That's correct.
- Q. Do you have any knowledge one way or

- another whether the proposed Section 501.505 in the
- 2 Agency's proposal is in compliance with that element
- of the work plan according to USEPA?
- A. My understanding is that the state is
- 5 embarked on a seven county pilot project to
- 6 collect --
- Q. I don't think that's my question about
- 8 the specific -- and maybe if you want to pull out
- 9 the exhibit?
- A. Are you asking --
- 11 Q. I'm asking specifically about the
- 12 regulatory -- the independent regulatory burden that
- you have described fulfilled the requirement, and my
- 14 question is whether that particular burden has been
- met by the agency's proposal in section -- in
- Part 501 to the Board.
- A. Are you asking whether Region 5 has
- approved what the state is doing?
- 19 Q. That would be one way to answer the
- question.
- A. Our most recent meeting with Region 5
- doesn't indicate one way or the other. It's
- 23 inconclusive.
- Q. And then with regard to Attachment 7

- here to your testimony, are you aware if that
- 2 agreement has expired with federal fiscal year '12?
- A. The work plan?
- Q. Yes.
- A. No. I'm not aware that it has
- 6 expired. It's the only work plan that we have.
- Q. Do you have it with you?
- 8 A. Sure. You're taking about Illinois
- 9 Program Work Plan Agreement Between IEPA and Region
- 10 5?
- Q. Yes. And I'm on the first page. I'm
- going to read a sentence to you from the first page.
- 13 "This work plan contains activities and commitments
- 14 for both agencies relating to the Clean Water Act
- 15 NPDES and Clear Air Act Title 5 permitting and
- 16 enforcement programs; the work plan generally spans
- federal fiscal year 2011 and 2012." And then
- skipping down to the next paragraph, "Illinois EPA
- and Region 5 will formally assess the need to
- 20 negotiate a revised agreement and work plan for
- 21 fiscal year '13."
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. So do you know if this is the most
- up-to-date information?

- 1 A. I have nothing other than what was
- provided by Region 5.
- 3 Q. But would you agree that this
- 4 particular work plan concludes at the end of federal
- 5 fiscal year 2012?
- A. That's what the work plan says, yes.
- MS. WILLIAMS: Thank you.
- 8 REDIRECT-EXAMINATION
- 9 By Ms. Dexter
- Q. Just one follow-up. Did the Agency
- 11 comply with that requirement in the work plan within
- the span of this agreement?
- A. Which?
- 14 Q. The registration, that requirement.
- A. What the Illinois EPA agreed to was to
- 16 create a registry within, I believe, 12 to 18 months
- 17 from completion of the pilot project. To my
- 18 knowledge, that has not been completed.
- 19 RECROSS-EXAMINATION
- 20 By Ms. Williams
- Q. And just to be clear for the record,
- you're talking now about what you described as a
- separate requirement to create an inventory and not
- the reporting requirement in the rule itself?

- A. No. It would be a reporting
- 2 requirement that would -- that would be fulfilled
- yia the rule that we are proposing.
- Q. Can you repeat -- can you repeat what
- 5 you said? It would be fulfilled in what time period
- 6 by the rule?
- 7 I think you're -- I think you
- 8 testified that there were two independent concepts,
- 9 and I think I agree with you, and now I think that
- 10 maybe you are making them into one.
- 11 A. What the -- what the Illinois EPA, as
- 12 you know, agreed to was to provide a pilot project
- in a seven county area contracted with Western
- 14 Illinois University to see whether they could
- develop an inventory in those seven counties that
- 16 could possibly be applied statewide.
- Okay. Now, that -- okay. So that is
- 18 not covered in this work plan, though, at all, is
- 19 it?
- A. Well, it's covered in the sense that
- 21 refers to that pilot project. If you look in the
- work plan under the inventory section -- and I can't
- 23 pull that out off the top -- they essentially are
- agreeing to develop a comprehensive inventory

- within, I believe, it's 12 to 18 months following
- the testing of the pilot project on inventory in
- 3 seven counties.
- Q. And back to, I guess, the question
- 5 that Ms. Olson was asking you, do you see a
- 6 comprehensive inventory as something different and
- 7 distinct from a reporting requirement placed on
- individual facilities to submit information?
- 9 A. Well, we -- our proposal is for a
- 10 reporting requirement. As I see it now, the pilot
- 11 project is really an inventory project, if that's
- 12 what you are getting at. Okay. And what we are
- proposing in our environmental group's rules is a
- 14 reporting requirement.
- MS. WILLIAMS: Thank you.
- MS. KNOWLES: But isn't the intent of
- 17 that -- isn't that intent of that proposal to
- 18 fulfill the inventory?
- DR. THU: Right. In order to have a
- comprehensive inventory, our position is that you'd
- 21 need to have the registration process, because the
- existing sources of data are inadequate and
- incomplete.
- 24 RECROSS-EXAMINATION

- By Ms. Manning
- Q. But to follow-up, that's your position
- 3 that that is not a requirement of the agreement as
- 4 we just have seen through your testimony to
- 5 Ms. Williams?
- A. IEPA has already agreed to develop the
- inventory of CAFOs statewide.
- Q. Which they have done?
- 9 A. No, no. They have not done. They are
- waiting to do it based upon the results of the seven
- county pilot project, and it's my understanding that
- they are working with Region 5 to look at that data
- as a mechanism for creating a statewide inventory,
- which they have not done yet.
- Q. But that's different than the
- 16 reporting requirement that you are seeking here
- before the Pollution Control Board?
- A. That's right. We are saying that that
- 19 inventory, that comprehensive inventory cannot be
- 20 successfully met without the registration
- 21 requirement that we are requesting in the rules.
- Q. And that is your position, but not
- 23 necessarily the position of the USEPA in its
- 24 agreement with the Illinois EPA?

- 1 A. The USEPA is requiring a comprehensive
- inventory. To the extent that they meet that
- 3 requirement, it's our position that they can only
- 4 meet it through a registration program and not
- 5 through the kinds of data that they are currently
- 6 collecting.
- 7 RECROSS-EXAMINATION
- By Ms. Diamond
- 9 Q. And I would like to ask you one more
- 10 follow-up question, Dr. Thu. Could you take a look
- 11 at Page 5 of the work plan agreement between USEPA
- 12 and the Illinois EPA?
- A. My pages do not have page numbers.
- MS. WILLIAMS: Objective No. 2?
- DR. THU: Okay.
- 16 BY MS. DIAMOND:
- 17 Q. This was part of the work plan
- agreement that I think was the emissions registry
- 19 that Ms. Dexter asked you a question regarding.
- A. Would you read what you have as
- 21 Objective 2?
- Q. USEPA approves amendments to the
- 23 Illinois Administrative Code, Subtitle E, which
- reflect the 2003 and 2008 revisions to the federal

- 1 regulations for CAFOs and require the owners or
- operators of all large CAFOs to register with the
- 3 Illinois EPA?
- 4 A. Correct.
- Okay. So does this to you -- in your
- opinion, does this serve as a commitment by the
- 7 Illinois EPA to enact a registration program for all
- 8 large CAFOs in the current rulemaking?
- 9 A. Yes.
- MS. DIAMOND: Okay. Thank you.
- HEARING OFFICER FOX: Ms. Olson, did
- you have a follow-up question?
- 13 RECROSS-EXAMINATION
- By Ms. Olson
- 15 Q. I do. Dr. Thu, do you have personal
- 16 knowledge of whether or not USEPA has evaluated our
- 17 comprehensive inventory?
- A. My knowledge is based upon our most
- 19 recent meeting with them which was, I guess, two
- weeks ago in which we discussed inventory and data
- sources and our concern with the inadequacy of
- 22 existing databases that were being used to compile
- ²³ an inventory.
- Q. And did USEPA tell you that our

- inventory is inadequate as of that last meeting?
- A. As I mentioned before, they didn't
- 3 commit one way or the other.
- Q. So you lack knowledge about whether
- 5 USEPA believes our inventory is currently
- 6 unsatisfactory; is that correct?
- 7 A. They are currently assessing the data
- 8 that you provided for completeness.
- 9 Q. But you lack knowledge as to whether
- or not USEPA believes our current inventory is
- inadequate; is that correct?
- A. As I said, they have not committed one
- way or another to assessing your data, your data
- 14 sources thus far.
- Q. So do you have knowledge whether USEPA
- thinks that our inventory is inadequate?
- A. As I said, the USEPA, Region 5, does
- not have an opinion one way or the other whether
- 19 your data -- your inventory is complete or not.
- MS. OLSON: That's all I have.
- HEARING OFFICER FOX: Ms. Manning, I
- 22 believe we are back to you for any questions that
- you may have for Dr. Thu.
- MS. MANNING: Actually, yes, I might

- 1 still have a few for --
- 2 HEARING OFFICER FOX: For Mr. Leder?
- MS. MANNING: For Mr. Leder, but we
- 4 just jumped away from him, but that was a good
- 5 discussion.
- DR. THU: Robust.
- 7 ARNOLD LEDER,
- 8 recalled as a witness herein, having been first duly
- 9 sworn, deposeth and saith as follows:
- 10 CONTINUED
- 11 REDIRECT EXAMINATION
- By Ms. Manning
- Q. On Page 3 of your testimony,
- 14 Mr. Leder, you indicate that any surface application
- should be pre-approved by the Agency, because there
- is a risk of discharge. By that -- and this is a
- discussion regarding winter application. Is your
- comment there just restricted to winter application,
- 19 that you are suggesting that there be a
- pre-approval, a discussion between the producer and
- the agency whenever there is a winter application
- 22 and then approval on the part of the EPA? I'm
- 23 sorry.
- A. What we were getting at there is that

- winter application -- because of the problems that I
- 2 talked about in my testimony of the winter
- 3 application, that fundamentally if somebody has to
- 4 do it because of an emergency basis; they lack
- 5 storage capacity, whatever the reason, they have to
- 6 land apply on frozen or snow covered ground, they
- 5 should call the regional office of the Illinois EPA
- 8 and notify them that they are going to have to land
- 9 apply on frozen and snow covered ground so that the
- 10 Agency can monitor it. I mean, it should not be a
- 11 routine activity. Land application on frozen and
- snow covered ground should not be a routine
- 13 activity. And it does need to be monitored in case
- 14 there is a discharge. Somebody should get
- permission before they do it.
- Q. And you are suggesting that just when
- there is a regulator application; not when there is
- an injection or an incorporation? If the ground is
- 19 thawed enough to do an injection or --
- A. You're right. I am talking about --
- HEARING OFFICER FOX: Mr. Leder, I
- don't think Ms. Manning had finished her question,
- but I am sure she will give you every opportunity
- to answer in full once she is finished.

- 1 BY MS. MANNING:
- Q. If the ground is -- if it's subject to
- 3 injection or incorporation even though it is the
- 4 wintertime, you would not suggest that need
- 5 pre-approval?
- A. As long as it can be injection
- incorporated, no.
- MS. MANNING: Thank you.
- 9 RECROSS-EXAMINATION
- By Ms. Williams
- 11 Q. Can I ask a real quick follow-up? Ms.
- 12 Manning was asking you about the term "pre-approval"
- that you used in your testimony, and I think when I
- 14 heard you answer, I heard you answer in terms of a
- notification, that you thought there should be
- 16 notification to the field office.
- Can you explain to us -- I believe
- the word used in the environmental group's proposal
- 19 was actually "permission" from the Agency. So can
- you address those three terms and what exactly you
- 21 are recommending?
- A. I think that, first of all, if we
- could back up a little bit, if a facility is going
- to need to winter apply on frozen and snow covered

- ground, they should identify the fields that are
- amenable to it in there management plan. Secondly,
- 3 they ought to have adequate storage at the site.
- 4 They ought to have at least 180 days storage
- 5 minimum. If they can't -- if they have to land
- 6 apply in winter because they don't have storage
- 7 capacity and they have to dispose of the waste, then
- 8 they should contact -- I would recommend they
- 9 contact their regional ag rep and let him know that
- they are going to have to land apply, what field
- they are going to land apply on.
- That could be done several
- different ways. It could be done by contacting over
- the phone, contacting through e-mail, sending a
- 15 letter, you know, any means of contact. The state
- 16 CAFO person should be given an opportunity to look
- at the field and see if he agrees with the
- determination. Say, okay, this is a safe field to
- land apply on or not or this part of that field. He
- needs to look at, you know, like I said, a
- 21 field-by-field assessment to see whether or not it
- 22 can be safely done.
- Q. But you would agree that the field
- by-field-assessment should be done in the winter

- application plan initially? That would be the time
- 2 it would be done?
- A. Well, it should be done in -- somebody
- 4 developing a nutrient management plan should
- 5 identify fields where they can safely land apply in
- 6 the winter if they need to.
- 7 Q. And the reason I'm asking about this
- is I think that as someone with many years
- 9 experience in a regulatory agency you can appreciate
- the significance to us of the difference between
- 11 providing notice to the field office and in an
- 12 emergency condition where someone is running out of
- 13 storage space asking permission, which involves a
- determination by the Agency and a response back from
- the Agency. So that is my big concern with the
- 16 proposal of this distinction that I think is between
- 17 the proposal and what --
- A. I think there needs to be a review,
- 19 okay?
- 20 Q. Okay.
- A. There needs to be a review, and the
- 22 appropriate person at the state needs to say, okay,
- or even, like I said, go out and look at the
- facility to see if he agrees.

- 1 Q. Could you see a downside for the
- environment from that type --
- A. I'm sorry?
- Q. Could you see a downside for the
- 5 environment from that type of setup where a field
- 6 inspector would need to go out to the facility prior
- 7 to approving a winter land application?
- 8 A. Not if it results in a safe
- 9 application.
- 10 Q. So you can't see a situation where
- someone might need to make a decision in too short
- 12 of a time?
- A. I think it's something that ought to
- 14 be a priority. If somebody has -- it is eminent and
- 15 has a discharge -- I mean, if their storage
- structure is so full that they are going to have to
- 17 land apply, you have an eminent situation that needs
- 18 to be addressed, and you can address it to make sure
- it doesn't discharge, and it should be a priority.
- MS. WILLIAMS: Thank you.
- HEARING OFFICER FOX: Ms. Manning, I
- 22 think we are --
- 23 BY MS. WILLIAMS:
- Q. But you think in that situation that

- the Agency should have to approve -- they should
- 2 have to review, make the time to get out there and
- do an inspection and issue an approval?
- ⁴ A. Yes.
- DR. JAMES: May I ask a follow-up?
- 6 HEARING OFFICER FOX: Dr. James, go
- ⁷ ahead.
- DR. JAMES: Is it generally the case
- 9 that a livestock operator would be aware that they
- 10 are close to discharging at least several days in
- 11 advance.
- MS. KNOWLES: Maybe we should
- 13 clarify. It's not discharging. It's the need to
- land apply and the need to land apply in the
- winter.
- DR. JAMES: I just said discharging
- because the tendency is if you are close to
- 18 actually having the overflow that's when you tend
- 19 to run out to your winter application field.
- THE WITNESS: I'm not sure I exactly
- understand the question. I have seen a number of
- instances in my experience where people haven't
- been following good nutrient management plans; With
- the runoff, for example, and the lagoon fills up

- and starts overflowing and running downhill into
- the local creek. I have seen, you know, just
- hundreds of situations like that literally where
- 4 people have not -- they have had nutrient
- 5 management plans that are up on the shelf. They
- 6 haven't looked at them, but -- and they are not
- 7 following them. I think the point is to have the
- 8 management practices in place and have the farmer
- 9 follow them so that they prevent these kinds of
- things from happening. You don't wait until after
- 11 the fact and there is a discharge and then you go
- out and you deal with it. The farmer has
- 13 responsibility to make sure he doesn't discharge.
- And there are two aspects to
- this, I would guess, too. On one instance the
- 16 farmer that has an NPDES permit and he follows his
- 17 NPDES, if he is in compliance with his NPDES permit
- and he has a discharge, he has got a defense
- 19 against the state in an enforcement case. Hey, I
- 20 did everything I was supposed to do. I maintained
- 21 my facility, yada, yada, yada. I've complied with
- 22 all these requirements. I've got all these
- 23 records. He thinks he's -- the farmer that has a
- 24 permit has a defense from the citizen suit

- 1 prosecution. He has a defense in federal court.
- 2 He has a defense against the Agency bringing a
- 3 suit.
- 4 The person that has a discharge
- 5 has no defense, no similar defense, and one of the
- 6 things that the federal Clean Water Act regulations
- 7 are still fairly clear about, the only way a CAFO
- 8 can have a lawful discharge is in compliance with
- 9 an NPDES permit.
- 10 HEARING OFFICER FOX: Mr. Rao has a
- 11 follow-up question for you, Mr. Leder.
- 12 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- By Board Member Rao
- 14 Q. I have a follow-up question to what
- the Agency, Ms. Williams, was asking you about, the
- 16 approval process for winter application. Are you
- aware of any other states in the Midwest who have a
- similar process where prior to winter application
- 19 they have to seek permission or approval of a state
- 20 agency?
- A. I believe Michigan requires their
- NPDES permits. If somebody is running out of
- 23 storage and has an emergency they have a requirement
- to notify, so notify the state.

- 1 Q. Is there some kind of a timeline
- 2 attached to it, because there is an emergency as to
- 3 the Agency is to respond within a certain period of
- 4 time?
- A. I don't know what the timeline would
- 6 be specifically. That would lead -- you know, you
- have an urgent situation. You know, somebody is out
- of storage. They are going to have to put it
- 9 someplace. There ought to be some regulatory review
- of that process to make sure it isn't discharged in
- 11 a place that results in a discharge.
- That's important for two reasons;
- one, if the facility doesn't want to get an NPDES --
- 14 have to get an NPDES permit because he has a
- discharge, keeping himself from having a discharge,
- and also, it's important in terms of compliance with
- 17 an NPDES permit requirement.
- Q. So for the process to work efficiently
- 19 the Agency will have to get back in a very timely
- 20 manner or --
- A. Right, yeah. I mean, it would be
- essentially -- essentially the same way it responds
- to probably a fish kill where you get out there, and
- you take a look at it. In many cases the state may

- 1 have a copy of the nutrient management plan. They
- 2 can look up the particular field that the facility
- 3 is talking about. And he might be able to say,
- 4 okay, this field looks great over the phone. That
- 5 might be enough. In other cases, he may have to go
- out and say, okay. How close is that field to the
- 7 creek that comes across the corner? What part of
- 8 the field are you going to land apply on, but you
- 9 can make sure that by going out there, that it's to
- be a safe land application.
- One of the things that we did a
- 12 lot during our inspections -- we found situations
- 13 like this where people had urgent requirements, and
- when we had them, we went out, you know, typically
- with the state agency, looked at the field and said,
- okay. You can winter apply here on this field.
- Q. Okay?
- A. Because it can be done safely.
- BOARD MEMBER RAO: Thank you for the
- 20 clarification.
- 21 HEARING OFFICER FOX: Ms. Olson, were
- you indicating that you had a follow-up question?
- MS. OLSON: I might. I'll ask it
- 24 later, if I do.

- 1 HEARING OFFICER FOX: Very good. Ms.
- Manning, I think we can return to you if you have
- 3 additional questions for Mr. Leder at this stage.
- MS. MANNING: I don't have any
- 5 additional for Mr. Leder. I do however have just a
- 6 few for Dr. Thu.
- DR. KENDALL THU,
- 8 recalled as a witness herein, having been first duly
- 9 sworn, deposeth and saith as follows:
- 10 CONTINUE
- 11 REDIRECT EXAMINATION
- By Ms. Manning
- Q. I'm just curious, Dr. Thu, as to the
- 14 nature of your experience and your education. You
- are a professor of anthropology.
- 16 A. That's correct.
- Q. At NIU?
- A. That's correct.
- 19 Q. And what are your degrees in?
- A. Anthropology. I have four degrees and
- one AA degree.
- Q. All in anthropology?
- A. That's right.
- Q. And I noticed from the website you

- teach a course called, what, food and culture, or
- that's the department? Could you explain what
- 3 department you are in?
- A. I'm in the anthropology department,
- 5 and my expertise is on food systems and cultural
- 6 revolution and the impact of CAFOs on the social
- 7 environmental and public health of rural areas.
- Q. The gentleman -- I believe his name
- 9 was Eric Sterling -- who provided the public comment
- who passionately spoke, you know, against CAFOs,
- 11 combined animal feed lot operations, I assume he is
- a student of yours?
- A. I think that's an accurate statement.
- 14 He works with me. He wants to be my student.
- MS. MANNING: Thank you. That's all
- 16 I had for Dr. Thu.
- HEARING OFFICER FOX: Thanks, Ms.
- Manning. Any follow-ups on -- to Ms. Manning's
- 19 questions of Dr. Thu or Mr. Leder, for that matter?
- MS. OLSON: I do have some follow-up
- 21 questions starting with Mr. Leder.
- 22 ARNOLD LEDER,
- recalled as a witness herein, having been first duly
- sworn, deposeth and saith as follows:

```
1 C O N T I N U E D
2 R E C R O S S - E X A M I N A T I O N
```

- Q. Just to go back to winter really
- quickly, and then we're going to move on, how
- 6 quickly is a realistic expectation for the Agency to

By Ms. Manning

- 7 get back to a farmer who needs to land apply in an
- 8 emergency in winter?

3

- 9 A. I'm sorry. What?
- 10 Q. What would you consider to be a
- 11 realistic time frame for the Illinois EPA to respond
- with an approval to a farmer who has an emergency
- 13 situation and needs to land apply?
- A. I think -- I mean, it ought to be a
- 15 priority. It would be the same priority that you
- would handle if there was a fish kill. You would
- want to have a system in place for looking at it
- 18 right away, because you're trying to help a guy
- 19 prevent a discharge.
- 20 RECROSS-EXAMINATION?
- By Ms. Olson
- Q. So if this is a --
- A. Right. And it makes more sense to
- deal with it before it becomes a discharge than

- 1 afterwards.
- 2 Q. If this is a facility that the Agency
- has not previously regulated, is it safe to assume
- 4 that the Agency may not have the background
- 5 knowledge to quickly assess the fields?
- A. That's quite likely, I think, but you
- 7 can get out there and you can take a look at the
- 8 field itself, get up here and actually look at the
- 9 site. It's one thing if you have a new containment
- 10 plan with field specific information. It's another
- 11 if you don't.
- Q. Okay. Moving on. You have a portion
- of your testimony that deals with restrictions on
- land application, and you testified that it is
- acceptable for the proposal, the regulatory
- 16 proposal, to contain adequate land application
- setbacks from streams, wells and water conveyances.
- 18 However, in this testimony you don't state what
- 19 setbacks would be adequate. Do you believe that the
- 20 setbacks that are contained in the federal CAFO rule
- would be adequate?
- A. Typically, yes. I think that there
- 23 are some provisions in the federal rules for
- 24 modifications if a facility can demonstrate that

- they are an alternate technology and so can result
- 2 in more pollution control.
- Q. Are you familiar with the federal rule
- 4 setback from surface waters?
- A. I believe it's 100 feet or a buffer
- 6 strip of 30 feet, if I remember correctly.
- Q. And I'm summarizing here. So correct
- 8 me if I'm wrong; based on your testimony in -- that
- you have filed and have given here today, you would
- 10 consider that to be adequate?
- A. At a minimum, yes.
- 12 Q. Do you know what the Agency's current
- 13 proposal for setbacks is?
- A. My understanding is, the last I knew
- 15 it was 100 feet for land application or 35 feet if
- there was a vegetative buffer.
- Q. Can I show you a copy of our proposal
- 18 real quick?
- 19 A. Sure.
- Q. And if you would, Mr. Leder, I'm
- showing you Section 502.645, and would you please
- take a look at Subsection A?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. So do you -- are you familiar with

- what the Agency's proposal for setbacks to service
- waters is?
- A. It's not in Subsection A.
- Q. I'm sorry. I meant B1. Excuse me.
- ⁵ My apologies.
- 6 A. Okay.
- Q. Could you read the first line of B1
- into the record for us, please?
- 9 A. Livestock waste shall not be land
- applied within 100 feet of down-gradient open
- 11 surface drainage intakes, agricultural drainage
- wells, sinkholes, grass waterways or other conduits
- 13 to surface water unless a 35-foot vegetative buffer
- exists between the land application area and the
- grass waterways, open surface drainage intakes,
- agricultural drainage wells, sinkholes or other
- 17 conduits to surface water.
- Q. That's great. That's B2. Would you
- mind going just up one subsection?
- A. Livestock waste shall not be land
- 21 applied within 200 feet of a surface water unless
- the water is upgrade or there is adequate diking.
- Q. Thank you. So does the IEPA proposal
- double the federal rules setback from surface

- 1 waters?
- A. Yes.
- Q. And in your opinion would this be
- 4 adequately protective?
- 5 A. It would comply with the federal
- 6 requirements. I don't know whether it would be
- 7 adequate or not. Particularly diking may be
- 9 problematic. It's going to depend upon how much
- 9 runoff you get. Diking is not necessarily a very
- 10 effective method for -- or reliable method.
- MS. OLSON: Okay. I'm going to move
- 12 on to --
- 13 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 14 By Ms. Knowles
- Q. Before we move on, I have a question.
- 16 Are you aware that the Agency has only asked you
- about setbacks from -- for land application setbacks
- 18 from surface waters?
- 19 A. That's right.
- Q. Are you -- I believe in your testimony
- you stated this importance of additional setbacks
- 22 and for siting setbacks. So those would be
- 23 requirements that production area and other parts of
- the CAFOs are set back from surface waters and other

- water features. Did you -- is that in your
- 2 testimony?
- A. Yes, it is.
- Q. And do you think those are a good
- 5 idea?
- A. If think they are a very good idea for
- 7 a number of reasons. If you have more of a setback
- and you have an overflow from the lagoon, if you are
- 9 remotely located from a water course, you can avoid
- 10 a discharge.
- 11 Q. In your role as an EPA inspector, have
- you seen such discharges?
- 13 A. Yes, I have seen discharges -- such
- 14 discharges a number of times, particularly when
- 15 facilities are located in floodplains. I have seen
- underwater lagoons draining several miles into
- 17 streams. I have seen a number of different
- instances where there have been problems caused by
- 19 lagoon overflows ending up discharging into waters
- of the U.S.
- Q. And are you aware that the IEPA
- 22 proposed regulations do not contain siting setbacks
- such as those you are describing?
- 24 A. Yes.

	rage 13
1	RECROSS-EXAMINATION?
2	By Ms. Manning
3	Q. Would you agree that the federal rule,
4	CAFO rule upon which these rules are being proposed
5	also do not contain setback requirements for siting
6	purposes?
7	A. Yes. Again, the federal rules are
8	minimum requirements.
9	HEARING OFFICER FOX: Ms. Olson, you
10	had indicated that you were prepared to turn to
11	another subject, but I am going to interrupt once
12	again. We have been back at it for approximately
13	two hours. If you would not object, we can take a
14	15-minute break, resume at 25 to 4:00, and begin
15	right where you would pick up with that line of
16	questioning, and we will go off the record then for
17	that break. We will see you all in 15 minutes.
18	(Whereupon, after a short
19	break was had, the
20	following proceedings
21	were held accordingly.)
22	HEARING OFFICER FOX: Thank you very
23	much for returning promptly from the break. Ms.
24	Olson, when we did go on break, you had indicated

- that you had a line of questions for the
- 2 Environmental Groups' witness, and if you are
- prepared to do so, we can resume that right away.
- RECROSS-EXAMINATION?
- 5 By Ms. Olson
- 6 Q. Thank you. I had a few questions for
- you, Mr. Leder. And there is only a few left here.
- 8 So I won't keep you too long.
- 9 You testified -- and this has to
- do with production area setbacks, and you testified
- 11 about facilities in Ohio that are constructed in a
- 12 floodplain, and that these facilities end up being
- 13 completely flooded when there is a big storm. Are
- you aware of any livestock facilities constructed in
- 15 floodplains in Illinois?
- 16 A. No.
- 17 Q. To your knowledge, are facilities
- barred from constructing in a floodplain in Illinois
- 19 under the LMFA?
- A. I do not know the answer to that
- 21 question.
- Q. Okay. You also gave some testimony
- 23 regarding technical standards and nutrient
- 24 management plans for unpermitted large CAFOs, and my

- first question under this topic is, to your
- 2 knowledge, are CAFOs -- unpermitted large CAFOs
- 3 required to develop and implement a nutrient
- 4 management plan under the federal rules?
- 5 A. Not to my knowledge.
- Q. And can you comment on, in your
- opinion, whether you believe it's possible for an
- 8 unpermitted large CAFO to develop a nutrient
- 9 management practice -- not a plan, a practice --
- 10 sufficient to claim the agricultural storm water
- exemption as articulated under the federal rule?
- A. I'm not sure of your question. Could
- 13 you repeat it?
- Q. Sure. Is it possible for an
- unpermitted large CAFO to develop nutrient
- 16 management practices sufficient to claim the
- agricultural storm water exemption under the federal
- 18 rule?
- 19 A. I believe as long as the facilities
- 20 can demonstrate that the --
- MS. DEXTER: May I try to just
- 22 clarify?
- MS. OLSON: He didn't finish
- 24 answering the question. So can you hold your

- 1 clarification until he is finished.
- MS. DEXTER: Can you explain what you
- 3 mean by nutrient management practices?
- 4 BY MS. OLSON:
- 5 Q. I think he understands -- I think he
- 6 understands?
- A. Let me just go back. When this -- the
- 8 whole CAFO issue became an issue in the United
- 9 States at the EPA it became -- a joint strategy was
- developed with the Department of Agriculture and the
- 11 USEPA, and basically under that strategy all animal
- 12 feeding operations, large animal feeding operations,
- were expected to have a nutrient management plan,
- 14 and even medium sized CAFOs were suggested -- not
- 15 required necessarily, but suggested to have a
- 16 nutrient management plan. If you have a --
- basically a nutrient management plan that meets the
- requirements of a nutrient management plan, if you
- want to call it a new nutrient practice and it meets
- 20 all the required standards, you would probably
- 21 qualify for the next storm water exemption.
- Q. And when you say meets all the
- 23 requirements, what -- are you thinking of the
- requirements to insure adequate agricultural

- 1 utilization of the nutrients and the livestock
- waste? Is that what you are referring to?
- A. That and compliance with the setbacks,
- 4 compliance with the other inventories that are
- 5 required in the nutrient management plan, the
- 6 facility inventory, the maintenance of storm water
- diversions, you know, separating clean storm water
- 8 from the waste waters. It's more than just the land
- 9 application practices.
- 10 Q. In your testimony you mentioned the
- 11 federal preamble in your discussion of what
- 12 practices would be appropriate to qualify for
- 13 agricultural storm water exemptions.
- Do you understand the federal rule
- to require unpermitted large CAFOs follow the
- 16 technical standards as established by the director
- of the USEPA?
- A. And I believe that they require -- I
- 19 believe the director in that instance refers to the
- director of the state agency, the standards adopted
- 21 by the state agency. The director is the head of
- the state agency, and that's what we are trying to
- do here today is we are trying to develop those
- 24 standards which facilities have to meet in order to

- 1 qualify for the ag storm water exemption.
- 2 Q. So is it your understanding that
- 3 unpermitted large facilities must follow the
- 4 technical standards established by the Director to
- 5 claim the agricultural storm water exemption as
- 6 articulated in the preamble to the federal rules?
- A. I believe that's the case, yes.
- Q. Did you attach the federal preamble to
- 9 the 2008 rules to your testimony?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. I believe it was Attachment 4, is that
- 12 right?
- A. I'm sorry?
- Q. Was it Attachment 4?
- A. I believe so.
- Q. And would you mind flipping to 70435?
- 17 A. I don't have a copy of that with me.
- Do you have a copy.
- 19 Q. Yes.
- HEARING OFFICER FOX: Ms. Olson, just
- for clarification, if I may interrupt. Although I
- 22 may be mistaken, I believe the 2008 preamble is
- 23 Attachment No. 2 to Mr. Leder's testimony.
- MS. OLSON: Thank you. These are all

Page 205

- of the attachments. I'll just hand them to you.
- 2 (Document tendered
- 3 to the witness.)
- 4 BY MS. OLSON:
- 5 Q. So in the third column and the first
- full paragraph that starts because, you go down
- 7 about 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 -- 11 lines. There is
- 8 a sentence that starts, if a facility chooses.
- 9 Could you go ahead and read that sentence?
- 10 A. I'm sorry. Where are we? Is there a
- 11 subsection number?
- 12 Q. It's Page 70435?
- 13 A. Okay.
- Q. In the third column the first full
- paragraph starts, because, and if you look down
- about ten lines, there is a sentence that starts, If
- a facility... Could you go ahead and read that
- 18 sentence for us?
- 19 A. If a facility chooses to take a
- different approach to follow other standards, a
- 21 facility would need to demonstrate not only that its
- 22 practices accord -- accorded with such alternative
- standards, but also that the standards provide a
- reliable, technically valid basis for meeting the

- terms of 122.42 Sub E, Sub I, Sub 8.
- Q. Can you explain to me what that
- 3 statement means by chance?
- A. It provides an alternative for a
- 5 facility to demonstrate -- make a demonstration that
- 6 the alternative practices will result in achieving
- 7 the same basic results.
- 8 Q. So can unpermitted large facilities
- 9 follow something other than the technical standards
- 10 established by the director if they make such a
- 11 showing?
- 12 A. Yes.
- MS. KNOWLES: When you move to a
- 14 different topic, let me know, because I dont want
- to interrupt you in the flow of questions.
- MS. OLSON: Yes. That's all I have.
- ¹⁷ So feel free.

18

- 19 RECROSS-EXAMINATION
- 20 By Ms. Knowles
- Q. Could you speak to the importance of
- technical standards in terms of land application?
- A. Well, the technical standards
- developed by the director typically form the basis

- for the nutrient management plans that are developed
- by the CAFOs. They govern if a number of areas.
- 3 They require management practices at the CAFO
- 4 production area to inventory and record information
- b like lagoon levels, inventory capacity, information
- 6 estimates as to the amounts of livestock waste
- generated. They typically improve the -- set the
- 8 requirements for the cropping plans, which are going
- 9 to allow the CAFO to ensure that they have adequate
- land for land application of their manure and so
- that can be disposed of safely.
- 12 Q. And can you describe some of the
- 13 technical standards that have to do with protection
- against the danger of nutrient transport potential?
- 15 A. Fundamentally, they require that the
- waste manure be land applied at agronomic rates,
- basically at a rate that the crop will build up the
- 18 nutrients. They also require setbacks and that sort
- 19 of thing.
- Q. And earlier there was testimony about
- 21 nutrient management plans. Can you speak to the
- 22 importance of a nutrient management plan and as
- distinguishable from simply a nutrient management
- 24 practice? Why is a plan important?

- A. A plan is important because a
- 2 facility, again, has developed a specific plan for
- 3 his facility that looks at everything from diversion
- 4 of clean storm water from a site, maintenance
- 5 procedures at the site for storm water and
- 6 wastewater, land application cropping reports, a
- field assessment, all sorts of detail. I'm not sure
- 8 what a nutrient management practice is. Is it just
- 9 having a grass waterway? Is it having a filter
- strip? I mean, I really don't know what a -- myself
- 11 what nutrient management practice would accomplish.
- 12 Q. And a nutrient management plan, would
- you see that as a useful tool for a number of
- 14 parties?
- 15 A. It would be useful for the Agency to
- have a copy of the information. In the event that
- there is a discharge from the facility, it would be
- useful for citizens that were concerned about
- discharges from the facility, and it would also --
- fundamentally it would serve as a management tool
- that the farmer could use to actually manage his
- facility to make sure that he doesn't have a
- discharge, through his daily inspections, through
- his checking of weather reports for following the

- basic required practices in his nutrient management
- 2 plan.
- 3 Q. Earlier when you were speaking to when
- 4 there was discussion, robust discussion, about
- winter application and our proposals that the
- 6 applier seek the permission of the Agency, you
- 7 mentioned that the nutrient management plan was
- 8 something that if the Agency had them in the office,
- 9 they could consult the nutrient management plan and
- 10 perhaps make a decision based on that; is that
- 11 correct? Is that what you stated?
- A. That's correct. Typically they have
- in their nutrient management plan an aerial photo of
- 14 a particular field. They typically identify water
- courses in the field. They typically identify
- wells. They typically identify areas where there
- should be setbacks and so forth, also what crop is
- going to be applied on that particular field and the
- 19 estimates for the amount of manure that should be
- 20 land applied.
- Q. And as part of that discussion
- regarding winter land application and the need to
- notify and/or seek permission of the Agency, there
- was testimony that if it was a last-minute decision,

- if it was difficult to have time to -- for the
- 2 Agency to go out there, is winter application -- is
- 3 the decision to make -- is the decision to winter
- 4 apply always such an emergency situation?
- A. Again, it depends largely on the
- 6 field. It depends on how much notice the facility
- 7 has. If he is monitoring his waste levels, he might
- 8 have a week or two. If he is not monitoring his
- 9 waste levels, if he is fully going to overflow with
- it, then it is an emergency situation. It can vary
- 11 from facility to facility.
- MS. KNOWLES: Thank you.
- 13 RECROSS-EXAMINATION
- 14 By Board Member Rao
- Q. May I ask up follow-up on the winter
- 16 application?
- Mr. Leder, on Page 3 of your
- 18 testimony you had recommended that the storage for
- winter should be a minimum of six months?
- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. For, you know, storing the livestock
- waste, and the Agency at Section 502.630 had
- 23 proposed that a facility should provide for 120 days
- of available storage capacity on December 1st.

- Does that 120 days' storage -- and
- they call it available storage capacity. Does that
- 3 address your concern for winter storage?
- A. I'm not really sure that it does. In
- 5 many instances and in a lot of cases I have dealt
- 6 with farms that had problems that may have -- many
- of the farmers that I dealt with that had overflow
- 9 problems actually told me that they wished they had
- 9 more storage than the minimum requirement.
- 10 It varies based upon the type of
- industry. Dairies, for example, have much more
- wastewater that they have to deal with. So that
- kind of 120-day window may not be enough. One of
- 14 the things that -- and I don't know what currently
- the USEPA recommends, but back when I was doing
- inspections, regulations that came out in 2003
- defined adequate storage as having enough storage
- 18 for manure for the six wettest months, for the
- 19 precipitation on their storage structure for the six
- wettest months, the storm water runoff from the CAFO
- 21 production area for the six wettest months, and that
- was deemed to be adequate storage. And I think that
- probably does a little better job. I don't know if
- that's still the same standard.

- 1 Illinois EPA probably knows more
- about that, but I think they tried to define
- 3 adequate storage in that manner. If you could --
- 4 even facilities that have had that much storage,
- 5 depending on the year, depending on the wetness of
- 6 the year, haven't been able to land apply --
- 7 handle -- they haven't had enough storage capacity
- 8 so that they could land apply safely under all
- 9 conditions.
- Q. So your recommendation for 180 days,
- would that account for some of the --
- 12 A. Personally, I think that should be a
- minimum.
- MS. KNOWLES: I had a follow-up
- related to earlier testimony and she jumped ahead,
- and I didn't get it in. Is it okay if I ask him
- 17 now?
- HEARING OFFICER FOX: Ms. Knowles, go
- 19 ahead.
- 20 RECROSS-EXAMINATION
- 21 By Ms. Knowles
- Q. Okay. So earlier we were discussing
- the Agency asked you about parts of your testimony
- you said there is a facility in Indiana, I believe,

- that was located in the floodplain and there was
- flooding. A quick question. Is it possible -- and
- 3 this has to do with, again, the idea that we need
- 4 setbacks from production areas as well as setbacks
- from land applications. Is it possible for a
- 6 facility that's not located in a floodplain to also
- 7 be subject to flooding?
- A. You can have -- depending on -- yeah.
- 9 When you get a tremendous terrain event. I mean,
- there are CAFOs out east are being flooded now in
- the storms, and they are not in a floodplain. They
- 12 are in a catastrophic situation. You can have
- overflows from storage areas, and again, the more
- 14 remote the storage areas are from the -- from water
- courses, you have an extra buffer, because you can
- dike up, and you can hold, and you can contain the
- overflow to some extent on your -- in your buffer
- 18 zone.
- 19 Q. So there's really two problems we are
- 20 discussing; one is if you -- you could have flooding
- of a lagoon and/or, two, you could have overtopping
- of the lagoon if it's close to a waterway?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 RECROSS-EXAMINATION

- 1 By Board Member Rao
- Q. May I ask a follow-up on the
- 3 floodplain issue?
- 4 Mr. Leder, our existing rules
- 5 under Section 501.402 required some new livestock
- 6 management facilities and also livestock waste
- 7 handling facilities, which are located in a ten-year
- 8 floodplain to be protected against such floods. It
- 9 doesn't say how you are protected, but at least the
- 10 requirement is in there. Would that address your
- 11 concern about those facilities being located in the
- 12 floodplain?
- 13 A. I personally would like to see a
- 14 little bit more conservatism in that. I think a
- better design would be -- for new facilities would
- be not to locate them in 100-year floodplains.
- Okay. Is that what the federal rules
- 18 recommend?
- 19 A. I don't think the federal Rule
- 20 addresses it. I mean, I'm just saying -- you asked
- 21 me my opinion, and that would be my opinion. I
- would be more conservative, personally.
- Q. But would that be your recommendation
- for both waste management facilities like lagoons

- and also for land application?
- A. No. I'm not -- no. I wouldn't apply
- 3 that to land application. I would apply that to --
- 4 basically the CAFO production area where raw
- 5 materials that are stored that can come into contact
- 6 with storm water where manure and wastewaters are
- 5 stored. You know, but not for the land application.
- 8 I wouldn't apply that to the land application
- 9 sentence.
- 10 RECROSS-EXAMINATION
- 11 By Ms. Knowles
- Q. One more for me. Earlier you said
- once or twice today that the federal Rule that
- everyone was referring to, it contains only the
- minimum requirements; is that correct?
- 16 A. That's correct.
- 17 Q. You just stated to the Board that your
- 18 recommendation would be more conservative than
- what's in the proposed rule. Why is that?
- A. It is more protective of water
- 21 quality.
- Q. And do you believe that the rule that
- 23 IEPA eventually comes out with should be -- should
- 24 contain more than the minimum requirements in the

- 1 federal rule?
- A. As a citizen of this state, yes, I
- 3 think so.
- Q. Why is that?
- 5 A. Because it's more protective.
- Q. What is that conclusion based upon?
- 7 A. It's based upon my experience with
- 8 CAFOs that discharge.
- 9 MS. KNOWLES: Thank you.
- HEARING OFFICER FOX: Ms. Manning, I
- believe we began with your questions to Mr. Leder,
- and maybe it's a good time to see if you have
- additional questions for him at this time.
- MS. MANNING: I do not.
- HEARING OFFICER FOX: Miss Olson, on
- behalf of the agency, any follow-ups for Mr. Leder?
- 17 RECROSS-EXAMINATION
- 18 By Ms. Olson
- 19 Q. I just have one follow-up question.
- 20 It sounds like you have a lot of experience doing
- 21 investigations on CAFOs in Illinois. From your
- 22 experience, have you ever seen a waste management
- 23 plan at a facility that you have inspected, a waste
- management plan developed under the LMFA?

- A. I have not -- first of all, let me
- 2 clarify. Most of my experience has been in other
- 3 states. I spent maybe three or four weeks in
- 4 Illinois doing inspections before I retired. But,
- yes, I have seen -- we had an enforcement case as a
- 6 result of an inspection in Illinois, and the CAFO
- 7 said, yes, I have a nutrient management plan. It's
- 8 up here on my shelf someplace. He didn't know where
- 9 it was. He couldn't find it during the meeting, and
- 10 he wasn't particularly following it, you know.
- 11 Q. Did you have a chance to look at the
- waste management plan prepared under the LMFA?
- A. No, I didn't. I mean, he couldn't
- 14 find it. We ended up actually working with him to
- develop a nutrient management plan.
- MS. OLSON: That's all I have. Thank
- ¹⁷ you.
- 18 REDIRECT-EXAMINATION?
- 19 By Ms. Manning
- Q. As a follow-up, you do realize that
- 21 Illinois law at 510 ILCS 77/20 does, in fact,
- require a livestock facility to have such; it's
- called a waste management plan, but if you review
- that particular statute, many of the things you

- discussed are discussed in that -- those provisions?
- A. Yes.
- MS. MANNING: Thank you.
- 4 HEARING OFFICER FOX: Ms. Dexter, any
- 5 further questions for Mr. Leder?
- 6 MS. DEXTER: I don't think right now.
- 7 HEARING OFFICER FOX: Very good. Mr.
- 8 Leder, we did note that the testimony of the three
- 9 witnesses does have some relationships to one
- 10 another. So if we could ask you, you are under
- oath and you are here to stay.
- I think, Ms. Manning, you had had
- some questions all three for Dr. Thu that you had
- 14 raised. Did you have additional questions?
- MS. MANNING: I do not.
- 16 HEARING OFFICER KEN: Ms. Olson or
- 17 Ms. Williams, on behalf of the Agency for Dr. Thu,
- any additional questions at this stage?
- MS. WILLIAMS: No.
- MS. OLSON: No.
- HEARING OFFICER FOX: Very well. Ms.
- Dexter, did you want to ask any follow-up
- 23 questions?
- MS. DEXTER: No.

- 1 HEARING OFFICER FOX: Ms. Dexter, do
- you want to ask any follow-up questions?
- MS. DEXTER: No.
- 4 HEARING OFFICER FOX: Ms. Knowles,
- 5 please go ahead.
- DR. KENDALL THU,
- 7 recalled as a witness herein, having been first duly
- 8 sworn, deposeth and saith as follows:
- 9 CONTINUED
- 10 RECROSS-EXAMINATION
- By Ms. Knowles
- Q. So earlier there was a lot of
- 13 testimony and discussion about our proposal that
- there be a reporting requirement in order to fulfill
- that step and eventually compile a comprehensive
- inventory. Is it your understanding, Dr. Thu, that
- 17 IEPA's most recent plan to develop an inventory was
- to use data that's available -- the data that is in
- 19 the possession of NRCS and the Department of
- 20 Agriculture?
- A. My understanding is -- and I'm sure
- 22 IEPA will correct me if I'm wrong. The inventory
- that they are working on is based on data from the
- 24 Illinois Department of Public Health's data registry

- as well as the construction data from the IDOA as
- well as perhaps some additional data that's being
- 3 provided by GIS services from the seven county area.
- Each of those data sets, actually
- 5 the two maintains ones, as I understand it, the
- 6 Department of Public Health's dairy registry and the
- 7 IDOA construction data set are incomplete. The --
- 8 my understanding is that the Department of Public
- 9 Health's dairy registry had not been updated since
- 10 2003. The IDOA data does not include any the cases
- 11 before 1999 when the LMFA was passed. The IDOA data
- does not contain data from existing facilities that
- 13 have expanded under certain circumstances.
- So, therefore, there are certain
- facilities that are being missed by both of those
- data sets. It's not to say that those data sets are
- not useful. It's just to say that they are not in
- and of themselves going to be able to complete a
- comprehensive inventory as required by Region 5.
- Q. Are there any other problems
- 21 associated with that data that you are aware of and
- the Agency's access thereto?
- A. It's my understanding that the IEPA
- has had trouble accessing data from IDOA on a

- 1 regular basis. I can't remember the specifics of
- where this is reported. I believe it's in either
- 3 the work plan or the IEPA's response to the USEPA de
- 4 delegation of the report, and certainly the public,
- 5 private citizens have difficulty accessing those
- 6 nutrient management plans whether they are on file
- 7 with IDOA or they are listed -- they are on-site as
- 8 Mr. Leder discussed a moment ago.
- 9 Q. Okay. Earlier you mentioned you set
- up these four enlarged photos for us. Could you
- 11 please explain to the Board and the rest of us what
- 12 these depict?
- 13 A. These photos are a result of flyovers
- that we do periodically. At most we're able to do
- 15 two of them a year. Once in the spring and once in
- the fall for one day for a period of about five or
- 17 six hours. We primarily fly over facilities that
- are located near ICCAW members. Those are the ones
- 19 that they are most concerned about. So those are
- the ones that we go to. This particular facility --
- to clarify, we can maybe fly over 20 to 30
- facilities in a particular flight plan.
- This particular facility we caught
- a discharging directly into a local creek in Rock

- 1 Island County. You can -- I can estimate that of
- the facilities that we observe, roughly 30 percent
- have one or more problems, either an existing
- 4 problem or potential problem that we can detect.
- 5 And then we take all of these photos, and we forward
- 6 them to Region 5 and IEPA for further analysis.
- But again, these are only the ones
- 8 that we know about. If we knew where the large
- 9 CAFOs were located, then we could prioritize our
- 10 time and effort. I think it would take less time
- and effort by and large, but we simply don't know
- where they are, and neither does anybody else.
- Q. Could you actually show us, because I
- don't know what that would be?
- 15 A. Yeah. So what you see here is this is
- the facility. It's approximately a 1200 hog swine
- 17 production facility. This is the lagoon right here,
- and if you look closely, if you can see this far. I
- 19 know you can't see from this end. There is a ravine
- that's been created, a breach in the berm along
- the -- what is the west side of the lagoons. And
- that breach is running down here into the thick of
- the trees, which is the stream that runs north/south
- nearby. And you can see it from this angle, as well

- 1 as this angle.
- No one would have been able to
- 3 known that this discharge was occurring had we not
- 4 been there. When we reported it to IEPA, they went
- out the very next day, and they were still
- 6 discharging at that time. And then it was referred
- 7 to Region 5 and the Attorney General, as I
- 8 understand.
- 9 So this is the kind of thing that
- 10 can be detected. We would prefer to have an
- inventory of large CAFOs so we can prioritize which
- ones ought to be attended to based upon proximity to
- service waters and particularly, proximity to
- 14 carrier service waters. So that's what you have
- 15 there.
- HEARING OFFICER FOX: Ms. Knowles, if
- 17 I may interrupt just for a moment. Dr. Thu, did I
- understand you correctly earlier to say that the
- 19 photos that you have just been pointing out are
- 20 enlargements of the same photos included with your
- 21 pre-filed testimony as Attachment No. 3?
- DR. THU: Exactly so.
- HEARING OFFICER FOX: Thank you.
- 24 BY MS. KNOWLES:

- 1 Q. My last set of questions have to do
- with your -- you testified in your written testimony
- 3 that many large operations -- I think you said
- 4 67 percent of them -- end up transferring their
- waste off-site; is that correct?
- A. Not quite. In the background data to
- 7 the 2002 rule, the EPA/USDA estimated that large
- 8 CAFOs must off-site transport about 60 to 70 percent
- 9 of their phosphorus and nitrogen. I have to commend
- 10 the IEPA because in their proposed rules, they now
- 11 have some information requirements for off-site
- 12 transport of manure. Our proposed -- our proposal
- is to simply expand upon what the IEPA is requiring
- 14 for off-site transport.
- Q. So when you say 60 to 70 percent of
- their -- you said nutrients?
- A. Phosphorus and nitrogen is specified.
- 18 Q. How does that translate?
- 19 A. That, I couldn't tell you.
- Q. Would you say that is a prevalent
- 21 practice?
- A. I would pose that question to
- 23 Mr. Leder. I would say that -- I would say if --
- since the NPDES permit does not cover off-site

- 1 transport of manure, if you don't have some way of
- 2 tracking it and holding those accountable that
- 3 receive the manure, it is de facto an incentive for
- 4 the large operator to actually get rid of it,
- because it's, therefore, not their responsibility.
- If you have some sort of
- 7 arrangement where there is responsibility by the --
- by virtue of the contract arrangement between the
- 9 producer and the receiver, then you will have a more
- 10 accountable system in place.
- 11 Q. Mr. Leder, can you speak to the
- 12 prevalence of off-site transfer?
- A. One of the things that I found when we
- were doing inspections was that particularly the
- 15 really large facilities, particularly the poultry
- operations tend to use brokers. The waste would be
- transferred to a broker, and the broker would end up
- selling the manure to all sorts of different
- 19 locations. And in the meantime it was stored in
- stacks and often the stacks might discharge.
- I have seen a number of instances
- where the CAFO would not have adequate land of his
- own. He may have only 50 acres of farm ground he
- can land apply on, and he has a 5,000 head dairy,

- and so he has got to find other places he can give
- the waste to. He is not required currently under
- 3 the federal regulations to develop a nutrient
- 4 management plan for that land application site, even
- 5 if the CAFO owner may actually land apply the waste
- 6 for the farmer. If he gives the waste -- if he
- 7 gives the waste away, he is not required currently
- 8 to monitor. That is a significant number of
- 9 instances where that has also led to discharges; the
- waste is then overapplied.
- 11 Q. Okay. So when there is an off-site
- 12 transfer, the land to which it is applied is not
- subject to a nutrient management plan; is that what
- your understanding is?
- A. That's correct.
- Q. And what problems do you see
- 17 associated with that?
- 18 A. You run the risk of a discharge if the
- waste isn't land applied appropriately, and the
- 20 farmer that gets the waste isn't under any real
- obligation to land apply it appropriately.
- Q. So there is no determination of what
- the proper agronomic rate is; is that correct?
- A. Yeah. I mean, I agree. There

- typically is no review, no nutrient management plan.
- 2 It just goes to the other site.
- Q. So the setback -- whatever setback
- 4 requirements end up in the state rule, would those
- 5 apply to the off-site transfers?
- A. Not in my understanding, no.
- MS. KNOWLES: Thank you.
- 8 HEARING OFFICER FOX: Any further
- 9 follow-up questions for Mr. Leder or Dr. Thu on the
- 10 part of the agency or the agricultural coalition?
- MS. MANNING: No.
- 12 HEARING OFFICER FOX: Neither seeing
- nor hearing any, Dr. Thu, if we could ask you to
- remain on hand as well. As Mr. Leder demonstrated,
- there may very well be some follow-up questions
- that are appropriately directed to you.
- 17 It appears, Ms. Manning, we have
- come to the point at which you, I suspect, have
- some questions based on Dr. James' testimony, and
- if you are prepared to turn to those, or to
- 21 indicate that you have none, we can take that up.
- DR. STACY JAMES,
- called as a witness herein, having been first duly
- sworn, deposeth and saith as follows:

	Page 22
1	DIRECT EXAMINATION
2	By Ms. Manning
3	Q. I do. I have a few questions for Dr.
4	James. Thank you.
5	Dr. James, my questions focus
6	primarily on the enforcement cases on the complaints
7	that you site in your testimony. Because I'm kind
8	of confused as to what points you're trying to make
9	with those enforcement cases.
10	So let's start with on Page 3 you
11	site four cases, most of which, I believe, are cases
12	currently pending either before the Illinois
13	Pollution Control Board or in one or more circuit
14	courts. Is your purpose in providing these cases in
15	this context to indicate that you believe the
16	complaint to set out accurate facts upon which the
17	Pollution Control Board should make decisions,
18	because at least Attachment 1, Attachment 4,
19	Attachment 6 and Attachment 8 are complaints.
20	Attachment 2 in Professional Swine Management, an
21	order related to North Fork Pork. Attachment 7 and
22	Attachment 9 are consent orders, consent agreements

24 So what we have here I think in

that were signed by parties.

23

- all of these -- and you site more on Page 5. You
- 2 talk again about People of the state of Illinois
- yersus Mike Richter; again, the Kenneth Fehr case.
- 4 So my question is, the allegations in the complaint,
- 5 are you asking for the Board to take those
- 6 allegations as fact?
- 7 A. I'm asking that they be taken under
- 8 consideration. It's my understanding that most
- 9 cases are settled. The vast majority of the cases
- 10 are settled. Very few are adjudicated, and
- 11 therefore, I also would like to point out that there
- 12 are orders associated with most of the complaints I
- filed, which indicates a settlement. So that
- 14 indicates something.
- 15 Q. So what you have is a complaint that
- was filed because the Agency believed there was an
- 17 allegation, and there was a settlement made on
- behalf of the producer with the Agency, and the
- 19 matter moved forward.
- In other words, obviously the
- 21 Agency believes that the producer had reached
- 22 compliance through the enforcement process. Would
- you agree with that, or there would not be an order?
- A. I'm not a lawyer. So I don't think

- 1 I'm qualified to answer that question.
- 2 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- By Ms. Olson
- Q. Can I ask a follow-up?
- 5 Do you have any better evidence of
- the enforcement cases that you are aware of? Is
- 7 there any better way of showing the Board the sorts
- of problems that are brought up in an enforcement
- 9 suit than the complaints and what you presented?
- 10 A. I personally don't know of any. I
- would also like to point out that there is a heck of
- 12 a lot more violation notices out there than there
- 13 are complaints. So we are seeing just a slim
- 14 portion of the whole evidence out there that
- indicates the nature of the problems that a CAFO is
- 16 composed of.
- MS. MANNING: Again, and I would
- dispute the characterization of it being evidence.
- 19 It is allegations in a complaint brought pursuant
- 20 to a procedural mechanism that is not only required
- 21 and recognized by law, and that are settlements
- that follow through with that. And that's an
- 23 argument.
- MS. DEXTER: And I don't want to get

- too far in trying to pin her on legal issues.
- 2 BY MS. MANNING:
- Q. And I guess I would suggest as well,
- 4 if you look at Mr. -- Mr. Leder in his testimony, I
- 5 think, cited a case from Michigan where a consent
- order was reached and compliance was reached.
- 7 So would you agree that actually
- enforcement brings about compliance as well?
- 9 A. You would hope so. However, what I am
- 10 presenting to you is information indicating the
- 11 nature of the problems that CAFO is composed. I'm
- not sure how else we are going to see that besides
- 13 complaints unless we FOIA the IEPA for all their
- violation notices and section reports which I can
- 15 tell you there are quite a few.
- Q. Which is really a good thing that
- there is quite a few of inspections of CAFOs. Would
- you agree with that?
- 19 A. They are usually responsive to some
- 20 complaints indicating a problem.
- Q. Which -- go ahead. I'm sorry.
- A. And in most cases or in quite a few
- 23 cases -- it on the year -- those complaints are
- found to be substantiated.

- 1 Q. So can I conclude from your testimony
- then that since you admit that there is a lot of
- 3 investigations that the Agency conducts as to CAFOs,
- 4 it's not the number of investigations that you find
- 5 problematic. It's the result of those
- 6 investigations, and whether or not they have led to
- 7 a complaint or moved forward?
- MS. KNOWLES: Don't answer if you
- 9 don't understand it.
- DR. JAMES: It was a long one. Can
- 11 you --
- 12 BY MS. MANNING:
- 13 Q. I think she understands it, though.
- A. Well, I did lose slight track partway
- 15 through. So can you rephrase the question.
- MS. MANNING: Sure. In that you
- 17 admitted that there was a lot of inspections --
- well, why don't -- court reporter can you read it
- 19 back? Would you mind?
- 20 (Whereupon, the record
- was read as requested.)
- DR. JAMES: I mean, I certainly think
- that the fact that there are so many inspections
- 24 prompted by citizen complaints is a problem. I've

- answered the first part of your question. I'm
- still not understanding the latter half of your
- 3 question.
- MS. MANNING: Well, I believe you
- 5 indicated that there are a lot of inspections, but
- 6 you don't know what happens to those inspections.
- You only know when a complaint is filed, and then
- you believe when a complaint is filed that that's
- 9 actually evidence of a problem. In other words,
- 10 that there was a fact that there was a discharge
- because it's alleged.
- MS. DEXTER: Are you asking an answer
- to the legal position or the -- you started using
- words like evidence, and I just want to make sure
- that you are -- she is clear that she is being
- 16 asked.
- 17 BY MS. MANNING:
- Q. You don't have a problem with the
- 19 number of investigations the Agency conducts?
- A. Not if they are in response to citizen
- complaints and they need to be conducted.
- MS. MANNING: All right. Thank you.
- CROSS-EXAMINATION
- By Ms. Dexter

- 1 Q. I have a follow-up.
- Dr. James, you -- how adequately
- 3 do you think the inspections cover the universe of
- 4 large CAFOs in the state of Illinois?
- A. Well, each year there is usually about
- 6 150, 200 inspections -- or pardon me. Each year
- 7 approximately 150 to 200 facilities are inspected.
- 8 Those facilities can range in size from under 50
- 9 animal units to over 5,000 animal units. So it's
- 10 covering the full livestock universe, and I have
- done reading. I actually have it as an Exhibit if
- we need it, but that Illinois has over 10,000
- 13 livestock operations of commercial scale size. It
- was a report that was actually funded by the
- 15 Illinois Pork Producers Association. So if you are
- inspecting 150 to 200 facilities out of at least
- 17 10,000 plus, it's a fairly low percent rate of
- inspection.
- MS. DEXTER: Thank you.
- 20 RE-DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 21 By Ms. Manning
- Q. Ms. James, on Page 5 when you are
- 23 discussing the Richter case doing business as
- 24 Rich-Lane Farms, just as an example, you cite the

- 1 complaint and then you say, in addition, a swale was
- 2 found to be discharging livestock waste from the
- 3 land application field into the creek. The field
- was purportedly oversaturated with livestock waste.
- 5 When you say a swale was found to
- 6 be discharge, what you really mean is the Attorney
- 7 General alleged that a swale was discharging; is
- 8 that correct?
- 9 A. Yes. And I assume anything that they
- are alleging is based on IEPA inspection reports,
- and I would certainly hope IEPA is not making things
- up when they are filing reports.
- Q. And you realize the Attorney General
- 14 also can file something on its own motion whether
- 15 it's consistent with an IEPA investigation or
- whether there is an IEPA investigation that follows
- through with that or not?
- A. I'm not aware of that.
- MS. MANNING: Thank you. That's all
- I have right now. I'll return to questions after
- the Agency is done, if I might.
- HEARING OFFICER FOX: Thanks, Ms.
- 23 Manning.
- Ms. Olson, do you or Ms. Williams

- on behalf of the Agency have questions for Dr.
- 2 James?
- 3 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- By Ms. Olson
- Q. Yeah. Sure. I only have a few. I
- 6 won't take too long. I have whittled it down. So I
- 7 want to start with -- I have one question about your
- 8 testimony regarding livestock facility locations, so
- ⁹ the production area of the location.
- I believe that in your testimony
- 11 you propose that all new livestock management
- 12 facilities and new livestock waste handling
- facilities be subject to a 750-foot production area
- 14 setback from service waters. Is that an accurate
- description of what you are talking about here?
- A. Correct.
- 17 Q. And what do you mean by new facility?
- 18 A. Basically, I presume built upon the
- 19 adoption of these rules.
- Q. Are you aware that Part 501 currently
- 21 has a definition for new livestock waste management
- facility and new livestock waste handling facility?
- A. In the proposed?
- Q. They are currently in effect, and they

- 1 are not under -- they are not included as being
- changed in this proposal. I have it for you, if you
- 3 would like to see it.
- A. Yes. I've got it. I've got it.
- ⁵ Okay.
- 6 Q. Would you mind telling me what the
- 7 definition of new livestock management facility and
- 8 new livestock waste handling facility is under
- 9 current Section 501.230?
- A. I'm going to cut to the chase and it
- says, since 1978. So thank you for pointing that
- out, and that was not our intent.
- Q. Okay. Moving on, land application
- 14 setbacks. In your testimony you state that, "It is
- estimated that over 30 percent of Illinois' crop
- land has subsurface tile drainage." Can you tell us
- who made this estimate?
- 18 A. I am sorry. I did not provide a
- 19 citation for that. I believe I got it from USDA,
- 20 but I'm not 100 percent certain.
- Q. Do you know when the publication was
- that you based it on, when it was published?
- A. I don't recall.
- 24 Q. Okay.

- A. But I think it's fairly well
- established that Illinois has quite a lot of tile
- 3 drainage.
- Q. Could it be possible that Illinois has
- 5 more than 30 percent of crop land with subsurface
- 6 tile drainage?
- 7 A. I guess, I don't want to go beyond
- 8 what my testimony states.
- 9 Q. In your testimony you state that land
- 10 application setbacks from biologically significant
- 11 streams, outstanding water resources and designated
- service drinking water supplies should be increased
- 13 to 100 -- or 500 feet. Excuse me.
- In your opinion, could this
- setback be decreased if a vegetative buffer existed
- between the land application area and the
- biologically significant stream, outstanding water
- 18 resource for designated service drinking water
- 19 supply?
- A. I would say, yes, provided there is
- 21 not a conveyance within that buffer. So vegetative
- buffers do a proven job of removing nutrients as
- well as pathogens. The nature of that buffer very
- 24 much affects the effectiveness of that buffer in

- 1 removing pollutants. However, a buffer can be
- 2 compromised if there is some sort of channelization
- of storm water runoff through it such that you get
- 4 less treatment. The idea of the buffer is you get
- overland flow if you get flow basically permeating
- of that buffer by the runoff, allowing those
- nutrients to be sequestered by the soil and the
- 8 vegetation and that in that buffer. So if there is
- 9 any sort of discreet conveyance going through that
- buffer, that kind of takes away from that.
- 11 Q. Thank you. I have a follow-up.
- 12 Should CAFO under the proposal as submitted by the
- 13 Environmental Groups be allowed to demonstrate a
- variety of conservation practices such as a buffer
- or other field specific conditions that provide
- 16 equivalents to that pollutant reductions on a site
- 17 specific basis?
- A. I think if you could figure out a way
- 19 to do it so that these alternatives are really
- proven to work then, yes. My concern is there is a
- lot of language in our regulations that talk about
- you can do alternative practices that blah, blah,
- blah, and unless those are really verified, you
- don't know if they are working.

- 1 Q. Do you know whether the USEPA believes
- that 100-foot setbacks from surface waters would
- 3 minimize potential runoff of pathogen hormones such
- 4 as estrogen and metals and reduce the nutrient and
- 5 sediment runoff?
- A. Though I know that's the required
- 7 minimum setback that's in the federal rules,
- 8 however, there has been evidence provided by your
- 9 Agency that runoff from land application fields can
- 10 exceed that amount, and in fact, I'd like to quote
- 11 from my testimony. This is on Page 5 of my
- 12 testimony, fourth paragraph down. Sorry. Third
- paragraph down partway through. It says, Daniel
- 14 Heacock of Illinois EPA provided evidence of this in
- his pre-filed answer to the Environmental Groups'
- 16 pre-filed Question No. 9 for the Springfield
- hearing. He wrote, "Overland flow of livestock
- waste has been observed entering surface waters
- 19 several hundred feet from the edge of a field where
- 20 land application occurred." That's all.
- Q. I'm just going to take this as an
- opportunity to clarify. Are you referring to the
- 23 answer to Question 9 that was directed to Daniel
- 24 Heacock?

- A. In the pre-filed questions it was
- Question 9.
- Q. Do you know whether or not Daniel
- 4 Heacock provided an answer to the Agency as a whole,
- 5 split the questions up and answered them according
- 6 to their specialization?
- 7 A. I would have no idea.
- Q. So would it be fair to say that it
- 9 might not have been Daniel Heacock that provided
- 10 that answer?
- A. Based on what you are implying, I
- suppose so.
- Q. Okay. I have one question for you and
- 14 maybe two on temporary manure stacks.
- From your experience, can you tell
- us whether a cover and pad would be needed to
- 17 prevent runoff and leachate the manure stack from
- 18 entering surface waters if the manure stack was
- 19 located 751 feet from surface waters?
- A. Well, I think we all realize that
- these regulations are a way to put numbers on things
- that are very hard to regulate, and so, you know,
- even when USEPA sets water quality standards, they
- 24 are setting that -- those based on an acceptable

- 1 risk, and so I think the idea behind these rules
- and, you know, having some prescription in the rules
- is that we are trying to minimize risk while knowing
- 4 there is going to be nothing in the rule that's
- 5 going to prevent everything. You know, there is
- 6 going to be no way to write a rule so that
- 7 discharges never happen.
- 8 Q. So I am just going to bring you back
- 9 to the question that I asked. Is it possible that a
- 10 newer cover --
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. So it is possible that you would need
- a pad and cover, right, correct, to prevent leachate
- 14 from reaching surface waters?
- A. The pad and cover would not prevent?
- 16 Say that again. I'm sorry.
- 17 Q. I'm sorry for the confusion. The
- question was, can you tell us whether a cover and
- 19 pad would be needed to prevent runoff and leachate
- 20 from a manure stack from entering surface waters if
- the manure stack was located 751 feet from surface
- 22 waters?
- A. Possibly.
- Q. Now, are you familiar with the

- 1 Agency's proposal?
- ² A. Yes.
- Q. So under the Agency's proposal, would
- 4 that be required?
- 5 A. The Agency's proposal states, has a
- 6 caveat of sorts. So we are looking at 501,
- 7 404(b)(3) and in the proposal it says, a cover and
- 9 pad or other control must be provided when needed to
- 9 prevent runoff and leachate from entering surface
- waters and ground waters.
- Q. And correct me if I'm wrong, but
- didn't you just testify that it is possible that a
- 13 cover and pad would be needed if the manure was
- located 751 feet from the surface waters?
- 15 A. Yes.
- Q. So under the Illinois EPA proposal,
- would a cover and pad be required?
- 18 A. If the person knows how to do a
- determination that they need something to prevent
- 20 runoff and leachate from entering surface waters and
- 21 groundwater.
- Q. So is that a yes?
- MS. KNOWLES: Don't let her badger
- you to tell her the answer she wants. Repeat the

- 1 question, please.
- 2 BY MS. OLSON:
- Q. Under the Agency's proposal would --
- 4 okay. So you previously testified that it is
- 5 possible that a cover and pad would be needed to
- 6 prevent runoff and leachate from a manure stack from
- 7 entering surface waters when the manure stack is
- 8 located 751 feet from surface waters.
- 9 So my question was, based on that
- 10 testimony, can you tell us under the Illinois EPA
- proposal, would a pad and cover be required?
- MS. KNOWLES: I'm going to object to
- this question, because the determination of the
- 14 rules written is to be made by the Agency and not
- by Dr. James. I think she has answered the
- 16 question.
- 17 HEARING OFFICER FOX: Dr. James, if
- you have an answer that you can provide based on
- 19 your knowledge, please go ahead.
- DR. JAMES: If the person decides --
- 21 BY MS. OLSON:
- Q. Let's assume that you are the person.
- MS. KNOWLES: Which person?
- 24 BY MS. OLSON:

- 1 Q. The person who is making the decision
- about whether a cover and pad would be needed, and
- you've made that decision. You have testified here
- 4 today that it would possibly be needed. So let's
- 5 assume that it is needed, and under our proposal, is
- 6 it required?
- 7 A. Yes.
- Q. Under your proposal, under the
- 9 environmental group's proposal, would it be
- 10 required?
- 11 A. You have a choice. You do the cover
- and pad or you do the setback, so --
- Q. So are you telling me that if you are
- located 800 feet, you still have to have a cover and
- a pad under your proposal?
- 16 A. Yes. It would give you -- you
- 17 might --
- 18 Q. I'm not sure I am following how that
- would work. It seems to me that if you have a
- 20 choice, if you are located 600 feet from the surface
- waters you have to have a pad and a cover, but if
- your manure stack is located within the setbacks,
- and you are complying with the setback portion, you
- would not be required to have a cover and pad.

- 1 A. I understand what you are saying. We
- decided to establish a setback as an alternative to
- 3 having a cover and pad in case there was an instance
- 4 where having a cover and pad was for some reason not
- feasible, believing that those setbacks would be
- 6 adequate to prevent most discharges from occurring.
- 7 Q. So if I am within the 750-foot
- 8 setback, I do not need a cover and pad under your
- 9 proposal; is that correct? So if I'm at 775 feet, I
- do not need a cover and a pad; is that correct?
- 11 A. Yes.
- Q. And if my manure stack is located 751
- 13 feet from surface water, would I need a cover and
- 14 pad under your proposal?
- A. 751? No, you would not.
- Q. Would you agree -- I'm sorry.
- A. My concern -- our concern is we don't
- 18 like language that says when needed, because when
- 19 needed is -- seems to be a very subjective decision
- that you're making. Clearly a lot of livestock
- 21 producers out there don't feel that they have needed
- to prevent runoff and leachate from leaving their
- manure stacks, and that's why in my pre-filed
- testimony you find so many cases where IEPA has gone

- out there and found that manure stacks are
- discharging. It's in the complaints. It's in the
- 3 IEPA's own reports.
- So there's a lot of people who do
- 5 not understand how to manage their manure stacks.
- 6 And so instead of having language like "when
- 7 needed," we are proposing that there be two options;
- 8 have a cover and pad or comply with these setbacks
- 9 that we decided will be adequate.
- Q. So based on the discussion that we
- 11 have been having here today about 751 feet and the
- manure stack being located there, would you agree
- that our proposal is more stringent than your
- 14 proposal?
- 15 A. No.
- MS. OLSON: Moving on.
- MS. MANNING: Can I do a follow-up to
- 18 that as well?
- MS. OLSON: Sure.
- MS. MANNING: Ms. James, would you
- 21 agree that if the producer made the wrong decision
- about whether it was needed or it wasn't needed and
- a complaint was filed, it is quite likely based on
- your research if you read the complaints and the

- orders that followed those complaints that there
- would very likely be a discussion between the
- 3 Agency, the Attorney General's office and the
- 4 producer indicating that potentially a pad was
- 5 needed because there was a discharge and that is
- 6 part of the consent order that that might likely be
- 7 part of the process and the resolution.
- DR. JAMES: Well, I think you are
- 9 making some assumptions there. You are assuming
- 10 that the IEPA is aware of every single manure stack
- out there that has a problem, and that's not the
- 12 case. So these regulations are intended to prevent
- 13 that. I mean, I have tried to establish that IEPA
- has a very low inspection rate of CAFOs; not just
- of CAFOs, but of any livestock operation in this
- state, and I would like to point out that the
- section that we are looking at or the part,
- Part 501, is not just for CAFOs. It's for any
- 19 livestock operation in the state of Illinois, and
- so we have at least 10,000 livestock operations in
- the state of Illinois, and the Illinois EPA is
- inspecting 150 to 200 of them a year, and some
- facilities they have never inspected. Some
- facilities they have no idea where they are.

Page 249

- So for you to tell me that, okay,
- IEPA goes out there and, problem solved, that's
- 3 completely incorrect. That's correct when the IEPA
- 4 happens to go out there and do an inspection or
- when someone files a complaint, but that does not
- 6 cover the whole universe of facilities out there.
- 7 HEARING OFFICER FOX: Anything
- further, Ms. Manning?
- 9 MS. MANNING: No.
- HEARING OFFICER FOX: Ms. Olson, if
- 11 have another questions.
- 12 BY MS. OLSON:
- Q. Yes. I only have two more questions.
- And so in your proposal -- this is
- again on the temporary manure stacks, do you propose
- 16 requiring temporary manure stacks to have a pad and
- 17 cover when they are less than 20 inches of
- unconsolidated material over bedrock? Can you
- 19 explain for us how 20 inches of unconsolidated
- 20 material over bedrock is protective for groundwater?
- A. Well, I base that suggestion in an
- effort to be consistent with what you were proposing
- in 502.620, and that, of course, was the topic of
- much discussion already today, but basically if you

- look at 502.620, I believe I -- well, no. I'm not
- sure if this came from here or someplace else. But
- 3 I know that I took the suggested language from
- 4 language I have seen in Illinois regulations or
- 5 proposed regulations.
- Q. And finally, you have a discussion
- about winter in your testimony, and I was just
- 8 wondering if you could articulate for us the basis
- 9 of your change for the definition of frozen ground?
- A. Well, I think my testimony regarding
- 11 frozen ground, which can be found on Page 12, the
- second full paragraph, is just more of a word of
- warning. I am not an expert on frozen ground, per
- 14 se. I am aware that the USEPA suggested in their
- 15 comments on IEPA's draft that frozen ground be
- 16 changed from a half inch to actually zero inches.
- 17 That -- those USEPA comments were -- were included
- by IEPA in your -- in what you pre-filed. So that
- is on the docket. It's also -- that suggestion that
- USEPA asked to define frozen as starting at zero
- 21 inches is also in appendix zero -- or pardon me --
- 22 Appendix O of USEPA 2004, which we also have
- submitted on the docket.
- Now, I also would like to point

- out that the USEPA made comments on some other
- 2 aspects of your proposed rule regarding frozen
- ground, and they made a number of scientific
- 4 citations and if you will pardon me, I'm going to
- 5 get that.
- 6 Okay. So in USEPA comments and
- 7 IEPA's draft rule, they were responding on a
- 8 May 17th, I think, 2011, draft.
- 9 Q. Can you give us a the attachment
- 10 number so I know I am looking at the same thing?
- 11 A. I'm sorry. I didn't --
- 12 Q. It should be on the bottom.
- A. Thank you, 7B, Attachment 7B. So
- 14 USEPA commented on a May 17th draft. So this was a
- communication from USEPA to IEPA. On Page 5 of that
- 16 communication under 502.630 partway down the
- 17 paragraph they talk about a number of things. And
- about partway down they say, Dunn and Black and Dunn
- et al; it's reported that the infiltration capacity
- of soils with concrete frost can be lowered to 0.02
- centimeters or less per hour. Thompson, et al,
- 22 1979, stated that as little as one inch of concrete
- 23 frost prevents infiltrations, and then finally,
- Mitchell et al, 1997, proposed that for frozen soil

- the potential maximum retention after runoff begins,
- and the -- the equation for net storm rain is 1/10
- of the potential for unfrozen soil.
- 4 So they are providing some
- 5 relevant citations there, although they are not in
- 6 the particular paragraph discussing them in
- 7 reference to the definition of frozen ground.
- But, you know, there is evidence
- 9 out there that the frozen ground, shallowly frozen
- 10 can produce runoff, and if you are producing runoff
- when you are land applying waste, it's not an
- agronomic, you know, application. And I'd also like
- to point out that I have had concerns that the
- 14 agricultural coalition has proposed a change to the
- definition of frozen ground based on no scientific
- evidence, whatsoever, and I think that they need to
- be supporting that proposal with scientific evidence
- that changing that definition will be protective.
- MS. OLSON: Thank you. That's all I
- 20 have.
- BOARD MEMBER RAO: Okay. I've got a
- 22 follow-up.
- HEARING OFFICER FOX: Please go
- 24 ahead, Mr. Rao.

- 1 ARNOLD LEDER,
- 2 recalled as a witness herein, having been first duly
- 3 sworn, deposeth and saith as follows:
- 4 CONTINUED
- 5 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 6 By Board Member Rao
- 7 Q. This is about the definition of frozen
- ground, and Mr. Leder may be able to shed some light
- 9 on this. I want to know how this type of a standard
- would be implemented in the field.
- 11 A. I can tell you what we used to do at
- the USEPA. We basically looked at whether or not
- the facility had the ability to incorporate the
- waste through injection; in other words, if there
- was equipment, could we inject to the crust so that
- the waste was actually incorporated. I mean, that
- was the test that we used when I was an enforcement
- officer.
- 19 I think fundamentally that
- 20 provides an easy way to do it. I mean, most people
- 21 know what their equipment is capable of and whether
- or not they can inject -- adequately inject the
- waste or not, but it needs to be incorporated. I
- mean, you just have to avoid at almost all costs the

- land application, just depositing it on the frozen
- and snow covered ground. If you are in any
- 3 proximity to a stream and you get a January thaw and
- 4 you get a rain and the temperature goes up to
- 5 60 degrees, I mean, it just goes right to the creek.
- 6 Q. How would you make a determination
- 7 letter that the ground is frozen is what I was
- interested in, because they are talking about all
- 9 these different depths two-inches, half inch?
- A. Again, a lot depends on the equipment
- that the facility has, and they know the power of
- the equipment. A lot of this equipment can
- 13 penetrate down through two or three inches of frost
- and disturb it and inject the manure. And I think
- that would be the easiest method rather than trying
- to -- well, a half inch or personally, I mean,
- that's not necessarily what the environmental groups
- want, but personally it's a way to deal with it.
- 19 One of the things that Michigan devised -- when we
- 20 first ran into this in Michigan, they looked at the
- 21 frozen and snow covered, snow -- if you could -- the
- snow was basically initially defined as can you
- drive a snowmobile across the field? If you can,
- you didn't want to land apply on that snow. And

- then whether -- then the other provision was could
- it be incorporated? And did they have the capacity
- 3 to incorporate it, and if they could, what it did,
- 4 it extended the season. So they could go further
- 5 into the winter and land apply.
- DR. STACY JAMES,
- 7 Recalled as a witness herein, having been first duly
- 8 sworn, deposeth and saith as follows:
- 9 CONTINUED
- 10 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- By Board Member Rao
- 12 Q. Thank you. I had a few more questions
- on the proposed language that the environmental
- group submitted, and I don't know if you can answer
- 15 it.
- Okay. The first one deals with
- expanding the scope of some of the requirements for
- unpermitted large CAFOs, and in Section 501.405,
- which is the field application of livestock waste,
- the language being proposed by the environmental
- groups states that, Large unpermitted CAFOs must
- comply with Section 502.102, 502.505, 502.510(b),
- 23 502.610(k) and 502.615 through 502.645. And then I
- looked at Section 502.500, Subsection A. Here you

- 1 make a distinction between unpermitted large CAFOs
- 2 claiming an agricultural storm water exemption, and
- 3 the unpermitted large CAFOs. They have differing
- 4 requirements.
- 5 Could you clarify whether they all
- 6 have to comply with the same set of requirements or
- if there is some changes here under 502.500?
- A. I'm sorry. I'm not exactly
- 9 understanding your question. Are you saying you're
- seeing differences between 501.405(a) and 502.500
- and that's confusing?
- 12 Q. I am seeing a difference between
- 13 501.405(a) and 502.500(a).
- 14 A. And --
- Q. For the requirements that apply to
- unpermitted large CAFOs?
- A. And are you saying that's because --
- Q. I'm asking you why.
- A. Well, I think for one, this rule is
- 20 the -- you know, it can be a bit confusing because
- 21 in this place you are subject to these sections and
- in other places you are subject to other sections.
- 23 Q. Yes.
- A. So I mean, our basic ask is

- 1 unpermitted large CAFOs be subject to the same
- 2 technical standards as permitted CAFOs, and --
- MS. KNOWLES: Regarding land
- 4 application?
- DR. JAMES: Yes. And so therefore,
- 6 in 501.4 Part A we basically laid out what sections
- 7 we feel the unpermitted large need to be subject
- 8 to.
- 9 BY BOARD MEMBER RAO:
- Okay. And when it comes to nutrient
- 11 management plans and the plans, you don't have the
- same -- you're not asking for the same set of
- 13 requirements?
- A. Well, I believe we're asking that
- unpermitted large be subject to 505, which is the
- nutrient management plan section. So we are
- basically asking that they develop plans, and that
- they follow what's in 505.
- 19 Q. But if you read the Subsection A --
- 20 A. 500.
- Q. 502.500 Subsection A, Unpermitted
- 22 large CAFOs claiming an agricultural storm water
- exemption from NPDES requirements consistent with
- 502.102 must demonstrate to the Agency the

- requirements of Section 502.505, 502.510(b) and
- 2 502.615 through 645. And then in the first sentence
- you have, Unpermitted large CAFOs are subject to
- 4 Sections 502.500(a) and 502.510(b). It was a little
- 5 confusing the way it's set out.
- A. Well, maybe I should turn it over to
- 7 someone else, but I believe that that initial
- 8 sentence that you just mentioned, that the state on
- 9 permanent large CAFOs is subject to Section
- 10 502.500(a) and 502.510(b) was written because under
- this section that we're part of, so Subpart B, that
- 12 those are the two sections in Subpart B that we want
- them to be subject to. Maybe we shouldn't have
- written that sentence.
- BOARD MEMBER RAO: If you can take a
- look at it, you know, you don't have to, you know,
- answer it right now. Just take a look at it and
- make sure it works in conjunction with the other
- 19 section.
- MS. WILLIAMS: When you are looking
- 21 at that, I read this maybe to suggest in the
- 22 statement that you made earlier that led me to
- 23 continue to believe that you were -- when you say
- we're proposing that the unpermitted large CAFOs

- 1 have the same requirements as permitted large
- ² CAFOs, you did not distinguish that with
- 3 unpermitted large CAFOs seeking the agricultural
- 4 storm water exemption. So that was the question I
- 5 had for you is by this first sentence do you mean,
- 6 even if an unpermitted large CAFO was not going to
- 7 avail themselves of the agricultural storm water
- 8 exemption, you would have those requirements that
- 9 you wanted them to meet. Could you just look into
- that and clarify that?
- HEARING OFFICER FOX: Mr. Rao, do you
- 12 have anything else?
- BOARD MEMBER RAO: Yeah, I have one
- 14 more. In your proposal in several sections you
- have changed the phrase "waters of the United
- 16 States" to "waters of the state." Could you please
- explain the rationale for making the change and
- what implications were made.
- MS. DEXTER: I think we hope to make
- that as a legal argument.
- BOARD MEMBER RAO: That will be fine.
- MS. DEXTER: When we file our
- 23 comments later on.
- MS. KNOWLES: But the implication is

- that waters of the state is broader than waters of
- the U.S.; in thinking that the Illinois
- 3 Environmental Protection Act requires protection of
- 4 all waters of the state and that this rule should
- 5 apply to all waters of the state.
- MS. OLSON: When you say this rule,
- are you saying 501 and 502, the entire thing?
- MS. KNOWLES: Yes.
- 9 BOARD MEMBER RAO: Okay. But I'm
- expecting there will be more discussion about it in
- 11 your legal brief.
- MS. DEXTER: Yes.
- 13 BY BOARD MEMBER RAO:
- Q. Let me see if I have anything else.
- Dr. James, on Pages 8 and 9 of your testimony, you
- 16 recommended that the Board revise the threshold for
- switching from nitrogen based to phosphorous based
- 18 livestock waste application from 300 pounds of
- available phosphorous per acre to 200 pounds of
- 20 available phosphorus per acre.
- 21 Could you please comment on what
- 22 this change -- what kind of impact it will have on
- 23 agricultural land available for land application of
- 24 livestock waste?

- A. Well, it depends on what fields the
- 2 particular operator is using and the existing soil
- 3 test phosphorus concentration in those fields. So
- 4 if you are currently a producer and you have fields
- 5 that you are using that are above 200, then this
- 6 proposal would require you to switch to a phosphorus
- based rate which would mean that you would need more
- 8 acreage because you are applying less manure, but
- 9 the benefit, of course, is that you are risking less
- 10 loss of phosphorus and fewer water quality problems.
- So it does overall -- well
- overall, you know, in areas where there is high
- amounts of phosphorus require you to switch from
- 14 nitrogen based to phosphorous based.
- 15 Q. In terms of available land in
- 16 Illinois, will this have a significant impact in
- 17 changing the application rates?
- A. Again, I think it depends on the area
- 19 and the actual fields. There was something that I
- cited in my pre-filed testimony and that is Page 10.
- 21 At the top there is a link to a report that's in
- 22 press, and it was a report -- I believe it's a
- statewide survey, soil survey, of 547 randomly
- 24 chosen fields throughout Illinois and in that report

- they found that 59 percent of soil samples were
- above the soil phosphorous levels requiring no
- 3 additional fertilization.
- So clearly Illinois has a lot of
- 5 fields that have way more phosphorous than they need
- from an agronomic standpoint. Our argument is when
- you have more phosphorous than you need from an
- 8 agronomic standpoint, you are putting water quality
- 9 at risk, because the more phosphorous -- generally
- speaking, the more phosphorus you have in your
- 11 field, the more phosphorous you are going to get in
- 12 runoff.
- And Illinois -- USGS did a study,
- and it was published a couple of years ago, and
- 15 basically they looked at what different states are
- 16 contributing to the Gulf of Mexico as far as
- 17 nitrogen and phosphorous, and Illinois ranks as the
- top contributor of nitrogen and phosphorus pollution
- 19 to the dead zone of all states in our country.
- So I believe it is very important
- 21 for Illinois to take steps to reduce the amount of
- 22 phosphorus that we have bioavailable in the
- environment. And this rule is a fantastic
- opportunity to do that. As we all know, there is

- 1 limited ability to regulate agriculture. Okay.
- ² Thank you.
- Q. On Page 10 of your testimony, Dr.
- 4 James, you recommend that the rules must include
- 5 limitation on winter application rates, and I just
- 6 want a clarification on whether the environmental
- 7 groups proposed phosphorous based limitation in
- 8 Section 502.630(d), whether that change is intended
- 9 to address your concern about winter application
- 10 rates?
- 11 A. Just to double-check before I say yes.
- 12 Q. Okay.
- 13 A. 502.630(d)?
- 14 Q. Yes.
- A. Yes.
- BOARD MEMBER RAO: Thank you.
- 17 HEARING OFFICER FOX: Anything else,
- 18 Mr. Rao?
- BOARD MEMBER RAO: No.
- HEARING OFFICER FOX: Very good. Ms.
- 21 Manning, on your part on behalf of the Agricultural
- 22 Coalition or Ms. Williams or Ms. Olson on behalf of
- the Agency, any additional questions for Dr. James
- 24 at this stage?

- MS. MANNING: No.
- MS. WILLIAMS: We are just evaluating
- 3 whether we'll have a couple questions on the
- 4 proposal.
- 5 HEARING OFFICER FOX: I'm sorry.
- 6 Could you repeat that, Ms. Williams?
- 7 MS. WILLIAMS: We are conferring
- 8 about whether we have a couple of just proposal
- 9 based questions that will follow on your --
- 10 HEARING OFFICER FOX: Take a moment.
- 11 That will be fine.
- 12 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- By Ms. Dexter
- Q. Dr. James, you mentioned the impact in
- the dead zone of the overabundance of phosphorus.
- 16 Is that the only place we are seeing negative impact
- 17 from high levels of phosphorus, or do you see that
- also in the state of Illinois?
- A. We are seeing that also in the state
- of Illinois. There are numerous lakes with
- 21 phosphorous problems. There is also rivers with
- 22 phosphorous problems. If you look at IEPA's own
- 305(b) reports, these are reports done every other
- year assessing water quality of our water resources

- 1 here in Illinois. Phosphorus consistently ranks in
- the top 10 as a cause of impaired waters in our
- 3 state.
- You know, some of the visible
- 5 impact of too much phosphorous, you know, some
- 6 common ones would be algal blooms, which, of course,
- 7 pose aesthetic challenges for people, and high
- 9 phosphorous also negatively impacts aquatic
- 9 communities resulting in overall degradation of
- water quality.
- 11 HEARING OFFICER FOX: Anything
- 12 further, Ms. Dexter?
- MS. DEXTER: Not right now.
- HEARING OFFICER FOX: Very good. Ms.
- Olson or Ms. Williams, any follow-up questions?
- MS. OLSON: Not at this time.
- HEARING OFFICER FOX: Very good. I
- 18 think that will -- that has wrapped up our
- 19 questions for you, Dr. James, and thank you for
- your testimony and responses.
- What I would like to do at this
- 22 point is determine whether there is anyone else
- 23 present who intended either to offer testimony here
- today or offer a comment. We had received comments

- from nine persons earlier this morning. Is there
- 2 anyone present in the room who has not already done
- 3 so that wishes to testify or offer a comment?
- 4 (No response.)
- 5 HEARING OFFICER FOX: Neither seeing,
- 6 nor hearing any indication that there is anyone who
- 7 wishes to do so, I would like to turn just to a
- 8 couple of quick procedural issues since it appears
- 9 that our questions here today for the Environmental
- 10 Coalition, the Environmental Groups' witnesses have
- wound down.
- 12 First of all, I do want to
- 13 address the issue of the economic impact study.
- 14 Under Section 27(b) of the Environmental Protection
- 15 Act, the Board must request that the Department of
- 16 Commerce and Economic Opportunity or DCEO conduct
- an economic impact study of proposed rules before
- 18 the Board adopts them. The Board then must make
- 19 either that study or the Department's explanation
- for not conducting one available to the public at
- least 20 days before a public hearing.
- In a letter dated March 22nd,
- 23 2012 our Board Chairman, Tom Holbrook requested
- that the DCEO conduct an economic impact study of

- this rulemaking proposal as filed by the Agency,
- and the Board specifically requested a response no
- 3 later than May 1st, 2012. However, the Board has
- 4 received no response to that request from DCEO.
- Is there anyone who would like to
- 6 testify regarding the Board's request for a study
- or for the absence of a response from DCEO?
- 8 (No response.)
- 9 HEARING OFFICER FOX: Neither seeing
- nor hearing anyone, I think we just have a quick
- 11 procedural issue or two before we can adjourn
- 12 today.
- The original hearing officer
- order setting the next hearing on Wednesday,
- November 14th, in Jo Daviess County had set a
- 16 pre-filing -- deadline to pre-file testimony of
- this Wednesday, tomorrow, October 31st, and Ms.
- Manning I believe you wanted to be heard on the
- 19 record on the issue of that deadline.
- MS. MANNING: I did, Mr. Hearing
- Officer, on the basis of the quickness of this and
- the next hearing isn't until November 15th, and
- given that there was revised testimony that was
- just put on the record on Friday that we heard

- today, I would request an additional one week for
- any pre-filed testimony prior to the Jo Daviess
- 3 hearing.
- 4 HEARING OFFICER FOX: So that
- 5 testimony would be due on Wednesday the 7th of
- 6 November?
- 7 MS. MANNING: Correct, and that would
- be for whoever wants to do testimony.
- 9 HEARING OFFICER FOX: Is there -- do
- 10 either of the Agency or the Environmental Groups
- wish to be heard on that motion for an extension of
- the deadline by seven days?
- MS. WILLIAMS: Just with the holiday
- in the there also we'll be limited, but I don't
- have an objection.
- MS. KNOWLES: No objection.
- 17 HEARING OFFICER FOX: Ms. Williams
- 18 obviously has indicated no objection. I think I
- 19 heard a voice from --
- MS. KNOWLES: No objection.
- 21 HEARING OFFICER FOX: Ms. Knowles has
- indicated no objection. Ms. Manning, on the bases
- you have laid out, I think that motion makes sense,
- 24 and certainly in the absence of objection from any

- of the other participants, I'm happy to grant the
- 2 motion and extend the deadline to pre-file
- 3 testimony for the final hearing on Wednesday,
- 4 November 14th, at Jo Daviess County to Wednesday,
- November 7th, and we certainly can provide more
- 6 time for you to file that.
- I would ask to the extent that
- any of the participants wish to pre-file testimony
- 9 for that hearing that the "mailbox rule" in the
- 10 Board's rules not apply since we will be receiving
- it -- that that deadline is only seven days before
- 12 hearing, and that it be filed with the Board and
- 13 not merely postmarked by Wednesday, November 7th.
- 14 As in all other dockets and proceedings, electronic
- filing is an option, and I think it's an option
- that each of the chief participants have taken
- 17 advantage of. So with the grant of that motion, we
- will extend that deadline as requested, Ms.
- Manning, for any of the participants as you
- 20 correctly had pointed out.
- 21 Are there any other procedural
- issues that we need to take up before adjourning
- today? Neither seeing nor hearing any, we will
- look forward to any pre-filed testimony by the

- extended deadline of Wednesday, November 7th. We
- will look forward, I am certain, to seeing many of
- you in Jo Daviess County at the time, date and
- 4 location that are in that original hearing officer
- order from April. We certainly want to thank the
- 6 participants for their testimony, for the response
- 7 to their questions and for the clarification on
- 8 these issues that they've offered, and we can
- 9 certainly adjourn. Thanks very much to all of you.
- 10 (END OF PROCEEDINGS.)

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

A	Accountability	49:24 116:20	administration	5:8 6:24 8:13
	143:5	118:10 191:3,5	32:1	9:5 14:8 38:23
\$27 20:22	accountable	197:21 216:13	Administrative	39:2 47:7 48:1
\$50,000 28:22 A.D 1:16 271:21	225:2,10	218:14,18	47:23 61:14	61:12 64:2
ľ	accounting	220:2 262:3	63:11 177:23	79:17 85:16,23
A.J 71:10	146:8	263:23 268:1	Administrator	86:5 102:4
a.m 1:17	accurate 14:8	additions 49:3	145:6	112:22 113:16
AA 191:21	143:11 192:13	address 42:11	admit 85:23	118:21 136:12
abandoned	228:16 236:14	107:8 182:20	113:16 232:2	139:9 140:4,5
30:22 31:1	achieving 206:6	185:18 211:3	admitted 5:5	141:17 144:19
ability 253:13	acidic 51:18	214:10 263:9	9:22 86:3,6	145:24 146:5
263:1	acre 151:3,9	266:13	114:1 232:17	153:15,22
able 15:4 27:19	260:19,20	addressed 166:6	adopt 11:21	158:15 159:17
33:6 117:5	acreage 261:8	185:18	141:1 159:24	162:5 164:2
122:2 128:2,9	acres 15:17,23	addresses	adopted 203:20	170:16 173:10
137:14 144:21	23:5 146:21	146:17 214:20	1 -	
146:10 158:13	225:23	adds 20:6	adopting 142:4	180:15,21
162:6 168:8,23	Act 19:20 20:13	adequate 91:24	adoption 34:1 147:22 236:19	181:10 182:19
190:3 212:6	39:15 42:5	121:18 144:3		184:9,14,15
220:18 221:14	43:15 69:11,17	144:14 145:22	adopts 266:18 advance 186:11	186:1 188:2,15
223:2 253:8	78:8 144:8	146:9 183:3		188:20 189:3
abomination	145:5,6 146:13	194:16,19,21	advancing 18:15	189:19 190:15
11:22	147:5 148:6	195:10 196:22	advantage 269:17	193:6 194:2,4
absence 54:8	151:19 156:14	197:7 202:24		197:16 203:20
63:5 98:10	157:10 162:24	207:9 211:17	advantageous 45:18	203:21,22
267:7 268:24	163:1,3 172:14	211:22 212:3	I	208:15 209:6,8
absolutely 26:19	172:15 188:6	225:22 246:6	advantages 38:11	209:23 210:2
115:21 166:8	260:3 266:15	247:9		210:22 212:23
abundant 59:8	Act's 145:11	adequately	advocating 45:7	216:16 218:17
abusive 14:13	action 145:10	106:24 110:5	109:18 114:5,8	227:10 229:16
accept 12:18	169:5	133:24 169:8	117:24 209:13	229:18,21
acceptable 57:5	active 147:24	197:4 234:2		232:3 233:19
102:16 194:15	activities 51:17	253:22	aerially 109:2 aesthetic 265:7	235:21 236:1 240:9 241:4
241:24	58:6 62:10	adhered 159:15	affect 99:6	
accepted 7:2	172:13	adjacent 43:11	affidavit 145:20	244:14 248:3
access 97:16	activity 20:22	60:15 77:22	affiliate 147:18	257:24 263:23
220:22	181:11,13	112:2 114:19	affirmation 47:6	267:1 268:10 agency's 98:14
accessible 13:3	acts 149:8	115:5	affordable	113:24 133:1
accessing 220:24	actual 80:24	adjourn 267:11	35:22 36:5	171:2,15
221:5	136:17 146:19	270:9	aforesaid 271:13	195:12 196:1
accidents 13:6	261:19	adjourning	afternoon	
accommodate	add 24:5 26:4	269:22	126:12	220:22 243:1,3 243:5 244:3
11:8 13:14	35:23	adjudicated	ag 11:16 18:2	agent 42:12
accommodating	added 50:8	229:10	20:12 30:5	•
45:20	58:22 60:7	ADM 1:7 6:7	158:10,12	aggravate 105:5 ago 17:23 27:11
accomplish 208:11	61:6	administer	183:9 204:1	89:13 93:7
i .	adding 25:20	144:8,23	age 50:20	140:5 155:14
accord 205:22 accorded 205:22	addition 235:1	administering	age 30.20 agencies 172:14	167:17 168:6
accorded 205:22 account 212:11	additional 11:21	145:8	agency 2:11 5:7	178:20 221:8
account 212:11		1.0.0	-50110J 2.11 J./	170.20 221.0
	I .			l

	1	1	1	
262:14	agriculture 15:9	136:1 138:21	127:21	anthropogenic
agree 31:3 62:3	24:1 33:18	145:24 151:20	analyzing	55:18
66:15 70:10,13	38:1 43:21	207:9	117:23	anthropological
71:6 74:1	59:15 69:9	allowed 14:14	Anand 2:9 6:20	41:14
88:13 105:10	70:16 78:6	37:17 72:14	and/or 51:21,24	anthropology
111:9 126:20	141:16 158:16	239:13	61:18 123:19	40:21,24
127:2 156:1,5	202:10 219:20	allowing 20:12	157:11 163:15	191:15,20,22
158:4,5 159:7	263:1	40:15 239:6	209:23 213:21	192:4
159:9,12 161:2	agronomic	allows 14:11	angle 222:24	antibiotic 32:14
161:5,19 162:4	15:15 99:5,9	43:10 121:7	223:1	anybody 117:14
162:5 164:4,21	104:7 207:16	alluded 162:17	anglers 16:8	165:1 222:12
165:9 173:3	226:23 252:12	Alschuler 4:11	animal 1:5 6:6	apart 43:18 44:6
174:9 183:23	262:6,8	36:9,12,14,15	12:19 13:20	apologies 196:5
199:3 226:24	Agronomy	40:7	33:11,21 34:24	apologize 32:4
229:23 231:7	151:6	alternate 195:1	50:6 56:10	40:18 64:18
231:18 246:16	ahead 17:15	alternative	76:11 78:19	apparent 54:8
247:12,21	21:14 24:11	205:22 206:4,6	99:14 128:6	apparently 9:12
agreed 167:23	33:1 36:12	239:22 246:2	142:21 147:21	162:15
170:6 173:15	132:19 166:20	alternatives	155:20 192:11	appear 64:23
174:12 176:6	186:7 205:9,17	239:19	202:11,12	101:6 110:14
agreeing 174:24	212:15,19	amenable 183:2	234:9,9	110:14 153:6
agreement	219:5 231:21	amend 10:5	animals 34:21	Appeared 2:15
172:2,9,20	244:19 252:24	amended 7:14	146:19	2:21 3:10,15
173:12 176.3	air 12:3 27:3	8:8 64:20	Ann 1:12 271:6	appears 68:16
176:24 177:11	35:4 42:7	163:4	271:17	68:17 88:8
177:18	142:15 172:15	amendments 1:7	anonymous	227:17 266:8
agreements	aisle 32:22	6:7 8:6 33:11	27:24 28:14	appendix
146:23 228:22	al 52:13 55:5	33:15 76:7	answer 98:12	250:21,22
agrees 183:17	59:5 251:19,21	97:1 148:11	100:2 117:6	Apple 16:4,5,5
184:24	251:24	177:22	138:18 139:9	44:6
• 14 1	A 1	America 134:17	166:7,7 167:2	
agricultural	Alexander 54:15	America 154.17	100.7,7 107.2	applicable 145:9
2:21 8:11 9:2	57:18	amount 28:6	167:3 168:14	156:10
2:21 8:11 9:2 18:6 24:3 25:9	57:18 alfalfa 17:22	amount 28:6 88:2 99:16,20	· ·	
2:21 8:11 9:2 18:6 24:3 25:9 27:5 35:6	57:18 alfalfa 17:22 114:9,12 115:3	amount 28:6 88:2 99:16,20 100:9 111:10	167:3 168:14	156:10
2:21 8:11 9:2 18:6 24:3 25:9 27:5 35:6 36:19 55:18	57:18 alfalfa 17:22 114:9,12 115:3 algal 265:6	amount 28:6 88:2 99:16,20 100:9 111:10 146:21 151:10	167:3 168:14 168:16 170:1 171:19 181:24 182:14,14	156:10 application 20:2
2:21 8:11 9:2 18:6 24:3 25:9 27:5 35:6 36:19 55:18 56:7,10 63:23	57:18 alfalfa 17:22 114:9,12 115:3 algal 265:6 align 19:24	amount 28:6 88:2 99:16,20 100:9 111:10 146:21 151:10 151:11 152:2	167:3 168:14 168:16 170:1 171:19 181:24 182:14,14 200:20 230:1	156:10 application 20:2 23:16 31:5,13
2:21 8:11 9:2 18:6 24:3 25:9 27:5 35:6 36:19 55:18 56:7,10 63:23 64:16 77:5	57:18 alfalfa 17:22 114:9,12 115:3 algal 265:6 align 19:24 Alisa 2:9 6:21	amount 28:6 88:2 99:16,20 100:9 111:10 146:21 151:10 151:11 152:2 209:19 240:10	167:3 168:14 168:16 170:1 171:19 181:24 182:14,14 200:20 230:1 232:8 233:12	156:10 application 20:2 23:16 31:5,13 39:9 42:13
2:21 8:11 9:2 18:6 24:3 25:9 27:5 35:6 36:19 55:18 56:7,10 63:23 64:16 77:5 121:13 127:8	57:18 alfalfa 17:22 114:9,12 115:3 algal 265:6 align 19:24 Alisa 2:9 6:21 allegation	amount 28:6 88:2 99:16,20 100:9 111:10 146:21 151:10 151:11 152:2 209:19 240:10 262:21	167:3 168:14 168:16 170:1 171:19 181:24 182:14,14 200:20 230:1 232:8 233:12 240:15,23	156:10 application 20:2 23:16 31:5,13 39:9 42:13 50:5 63:1 68:21 69:5 76:8,10 77:14
2:21 8:11 9:2 18:6 24:3 25:9 27:5 35:6 36:19 55:18 56:7,10 63:23 64:16 77:5 121:13 127:8 127:14 139:8	57:18 alfalfa 17:22 114:9,12 115:3 algal 265:6 align 19:24 Alisa 2:9 6:21 allegation 229:17	amount 28:6 88:2 99:16,20 100:9 111:10 146:21 151:10 151:11 152:2 209:19 240:10 262:21 amounts 18:21	167:3 168:14 168:16 170:1 171:19 181:24 182:14,14 200:20 230:1 232:8 233:12 240:15,23 241:4,10	156:10 application 20:2 23:16 31:5,13 39:9 42:13 50:5 63:1 68:21 69:5 76:8,10 77:14 78:3,4,10,12
2:21 8:11 9:2 18:6 24:3 25:9 27:5 35:6 36:19 55:18 56:7,10 63:23 64:16 77:5 121:13 127:8 127:14 139:8 147:11,20	57:18 alfalfa 17:22 114:9,12 115:3 algal 265:6 align 19:24 Alisa 2:9 6:21 allegation 229:17 allegations	amount 28:6 88:2 99:16,20 100:9 111:10 146:21 151:10 151:11 152:2 209:19 240:10 262:21 amounts 18:21 51:20 207:6	167:3 168:14 168:16 170:1 171:19 181:24 182:14,14 200:20 230:1 232:8 233:12 240:15,23 241:4,10 243:24 244:18	156:10 application 20:2 23:16 31:5,13 39:9 42:13 50:5 63:1 68:21 69:5 76:8,10 77:14 78:3,4,10,12 78:21 98:15,19
2:21 8:11 9:2 18:6 24:3 25:9 27:5 35:6 36:19 55:18 56:7,10 63:23 64:16 77:5 121:13 127:8 127:14 139:8 147:11,20 152:7,9 155:8	57:18 alfalfa 17:22 114:9,12 115:3 algal 265:6 align 19:24 Alisa 2:9 6:21 allegation 229:17 allegations 229:4,6 230:19	amount 28:6 88:2 99:16,20 100:9 111:10 146:21 151:10 151:11 152:2 209:19 240:10 262:21 amounts 18:21 51:20 207:6 261:13	167:3 168:14 168:16 170:1 171:19 181:24 182:14,14 200:20 230:1 232:8 233:12 240:15,23 241:4,10 243:24 244:18 255:14 258:17	156:10 application 20:2 23:16 31:5,13 39:9 42:13 50:5 63:1 68:21 69:5 76:8,10 77:14 78:3,4,10,12 78:21 98:15,19 102:21 107:1,7
2:21 8:11 9:2 18:6 24:3 25:9 27:5 35:6 36:19 55:18 56:7,10 63:23 64:16 77:5 121:13 127:8 127:14 139:8 147:11,20 152:7,9 155:8 161:9 196:11	57:18 alfalfa 17:22 114:9,12 115:3 algal 265:6 align 19:24 Alisa 2:9 6:21 allegation 229:17 allegations 229:4,6 230:19 alleged 38:11	amount 28:6 88:2 99:16,20 100:9 111:10 146:21 151:10 151:11 152:2 209:19 240:10 262:21 amounts 18:21 51:20 207:6 261:13 amplification	167:3 168:14 168:16 170:1 171:19 181:24 182:14,14 200:20 230:1 232:8 233:12 240:15,23 241:4,10 243:24 244:18 255:14 258:17 answered 133:3	156:10 application 20:2 23:16 31:5,13 39:9 42:13 50:5 63:1 68:21 69:5 76:8,10 77:14 78:3,4,10,12 78:21 98:15,19
2:21 8:11 9:2 18:6 24:3 25:9 27:5 35:6 36:19 55:18 56:7,10 63:23 64:16 77:5 121:13 127:8 127:14 139:8 147:11,20 152:7,9 155:8 161:9 196:11 196:16 201:10	57:18 alfalfa 17:22 114:9,12 115:3 algal 265:6 align 19:24 Alisa 2:9 6:21 allegation 229:17 allegations 229:4,6 230:19 alleged 38:11 233:11 235:7	amount 28:6 88:2 99:16,20 100:9 111:10 146:21 151:10 151:11 152:2 209:19 240:10 262:21 amounts 18:21 51:20 207:6 261:13 amplification 10:13	167:3 168:14 168:16 170:1 171:19 181:24 182:14,14 200:20 230:1 232:8 233:12 240:15,23 241:4,10 243:24 244:18 255:14 258:17 answered 133:3 168:5 233:1	156:10 application 20:2 23:16 31:5,13 39:9 42:13 50:5 63:1 68:21 69:5 76:8,10 77:14 78:3,4,10,12 78:21 98:15,19 102:21 107:1,7 107:8,24 108:2 110:4 112:6,8
2:21 8:11 9:2 18:6 24:3 25:9 27:5 35:6 36:19 55:18 56:7,10 63:23 64:16 77:5 121:13 127:8 127:14 139:8 147:11,20 152:7,9 155:8 161:9 196:11 196:16 201:10 201:17 202:24	57:18 alfalfa 17:22 114:9,12 115:3 algal 265:6 align 19:24 Alisa 2:9 6:21 allegation 229:17 allegations 229:4,6 230:19 alleged 38:11 233:11 235:7 alleging 235:10	amount 28:6 88:2 99:16,20 100:9 111:10 146:21 151:10 151:11 152:2 209:19 240:10 262:21 amounts 18:21 51:20 207:6 261:13 amplification 10:13 analog 103:20	167:3 168:14 168:16 170:1 171:19 181:24 182:14,14 200:20 230:1 232:8 233:12 240:15,23 241:4,10 243:24 244:18 255:14 258:17 answered 133:3 168:5 233:1 241:5 244:15	156:10 application 20:2 23:16 31:5,13 39:9 42:13 50:5 63:1 68:21 69:5 76:8,10 77:14 78:3,4,10,12 78:21 98:15,19 102:21 107:1,7 107:8,24 108:2 110:4 112:6,8 128:19 129:3
2:21 8:11 9:2 18:6 24:3 25:9 27:5 35:6 36:19 55:18 56:7,10 63:23 64:16 77:5 121:13 127:8 127:14 139:8 147:11,20 152:7,9 155:8 161:9 196:11 196:16 201:10 201:17 202:24 203:13 204:5	57:18 alfalfa 17:22 114:9,12 115:3 algal 265:6 align 19:24 Alisa 2:9 6:21 allegation 229:17 allegations 229:4,6 230:19 alleged 38:11 233:11 235:7 alleging 235:10 allow 20:16 21:5	amount 28:6 88:2 99:16,20 100:9 111:10 146:21 151:10 151:11 152:2 209:19 240:10 262:21 amounts 18:21 51:20 207:6 261:13 amplification 10:13 analog 103:20 analogous 56:6	167:3 168:14 168:16 170:1 171:19 181:24 182:14,14 200:20 230:1 232:8 233:12 240:15,23 241:4,10 243:24 244:18 255:14 258:17 answered 133:3 168:5 233:1 241:5 244:15 answering 126:2	156:10 application 20:2 23:16 31:5,13 39:9 42:13 50:5 63:1 68:21 69:5 76:8,10 77:14 78:3,4,10,12 78:21 98:15,19 102:21 107:1,7 107:8,24 108:2 110:4 112:6,8 128:19 129:3 132:1,10
2:21 8:11 9:2 18:6 24:3 25:9 27:5 35:6 36:19 55:18 56:7,10 63:23 64:16 77:5 121:13 127:8 127:14 139:8 147:11,20 152:7,9 155:8 161:9 196:11 196:16 201:10 201:17 202:24 203:13 204:5 227:10 252:14	57:18 alfalfa 17:22 114:9,12 115:3 algal 265:6 align 19:24 Alisa 2:9 6:21 allegation 229:17 allegations 229:4,6 230:19 alleged 38:11 233:11 235:7 alleging 235:10 allow 20:16 21:5 30:16 37:21	amount 28:6 88:2 99:16,20 100:9 111:10 146:21 151:10 151:11 152:2 209:19 240:10 262:21 amounts 18:21 51:20 207:6 261:13 amplification 10:13 analog 103:20 analogue 101:1	167:3 168:14 168:16 170:1 171:19 181:24 182:14,14 200:20 230:1 232:8 233:12 240:15,23 241:4,10 243:24 244:18 255:14 258:17 answered 133:3 168:5 233:1 241:5 244:15	156:10 application 20:2 23:16 31:5,13 39:9 42:13 50:5 63:1 68:21 69:5 76:8,10 77:14 78:3,4,10,12 78:21 98:15,19 102:21 107:1,7 107:8,24 108:2 110:4 112:6,8 128:19 129:3
2:21 8:11 9:2 18:6 24:3 25:9 27:5 35:6 36:19 55:18 56:7,10 63:23 64:16 77:5 121:13 127:8 127:14 139:8 147:11,20 152:7,9 155:8 161:9 196:11 196:16 201:10 201:17 202:24 203:13 204:5 227:10 252:14 256:2 257:22	57:18 alfalfa 17:22 114:9,12 115:3 algal 265:6 align 19:24 Alisa 2:9 6:21 allegation 229:17 allegations 229:4,6 230:19 alleged 38:11 233:11 235:7 alleging 235:10 allow 20:16 21:5 30:16 37:21 38:2 55:23	amount 28:6 88:2 99:16,20 100:9 111:10 146:21 151:10 151:11 152:2 209:19 240:10 262:21 amounts 18:21 51:20 207:6 261:13 amplification 10:13 analog 103:20 analogous 56:6 analogue 101:1 analysis 50:3	167:3 168:14 168:16 170:1 171:19 181:24 182:14,14 200:20 230:1 232:8 233:12 240:15,23 241:4,10 243:24 244:18 255:14 258:17 answered 133:3 168:5 233:1 241:5 244:15 answering 126:2	156:10 application 20:2 23:16 31:5,13 39:9 42:13 50:5 63:1 68:21 69:5 76:8,10 77:14 78:3,4,10,12 78:21 98:15,19 102:21 107:1,7 107:8,24 108:2 110:4 112:6,8 128:19 129:3 132:1,10
2:21 8:11 9:2 18:6 24:3 25:9 27:5 35:6 36:19 55:18 56:7,10 63:23 64:16 77:5 121:13 127:8 127:14 139:8 147:11,20 152:7,9 155:8 161:9 196:11 196:16 201:10 201:17 202:24 203:13 204:5 227:10 252:14 256:2 257:22 259:3,7 260:23	57:18 alfalfa 17:22 114:9,12 115:3 algal 265:6 align 19:24 Alisa 2:9 6:21 allegation 229:17 allegations 229:4,6 230:19 alleged 38:11 233:11 235:7 alleging 235:10 allow 20:16 21:5 30:16 37:21 38:2 55:23 58:18 75:12	amount 28:6 88:2 99:16,20 100:9 111:10 146:21 151:10 151:11 152:2 209:19 240:10 262:21 amounts 18:21 51:20 207:6 261:13 amplification 10:13 analog 103:20 analogous 56:6 analogue 101:1 analysis 50:3 222:6	167:3 168:14 168:16 170:1 171:19 181:24 182:14,14 200:20 230:1 232:8 233:12 240:15,23 241:4,10 243:24 244:18 255:14 258:17 answered 133:3 168:5 233:1 241:5 244:15 answering 126:2 162:17 201:24	156:10 application 20:2 23:16 31:5,13 39:9 42:13 50:5 63:1 68:21 69:5 76:8,10 77:14 78:3,4,10,12 78:21 98:15,19 102:21 107:1,7 107:8,24 108:2 110:4 112:6,8 128:19 129:3 132:1,10 135:20 141:8
2:21 8:11 9:2 18:6 24:3 25:9 27:5 35:6 36:19 55:18 56:7,10 63:23 64:16 77:5 121:13 127:8 127:14 139:8 147:11,20 152:7,9 155:8 161:9 196:11 196:16 201:10 201:17 202:24 203:13 204:5 227:10 252:14 256:2 257:22	57:18 alfalfa 17:22 114:9,12 115:3 algal 265:6 align 19:24 Alisa 2:9 6:21 allegation 229:17 allegations 229:4,6 230:19 alleged 38:11 233:11 235:7 alleging 235:10 allow 20:16 21:5 30:16 37:21 38:2 55:23	amount 28:6 88:2 99:16,20 100:9 111:10 146:21 151:10 151:11 152:2 209:19 240:10 262:21 amounts 18:21 51:20 207:6 261:13 amplification 10:13 analog 103:20 analogous 56:6 analogue 101:1 analysis 50:3	167:3 168:14 168:16 170:1 171:19 181:24 182:14,14 200:20 230:1 232:8 233:12 240:15,23 241:4,10 243:24 244:18 255:14 258:17 answered 133:3 168:5 233:1 241:5 244:15 answering 126:2 162:17 201:24 Answers 4:14,18	156:10 application 20:2 23:16 31:5,13 39:9 42:13 50:5 63:1 68:21 69:5 76:8,10 77:14 78:3,4,10,12 78:21 98:15,19 102:21 107:1,7 107:8,24 108:2 110:4 112:6,8 128:19 129:3 132:1,10 135:20 141:8 141:23 151:21
2:21 8:11 9:2 18:6 24:3 25:9 27:5 35:6 36:19 55:18 56:7,10 63:23 64:16 77:5 121:13 127:8 127:14 139:8 147:11,20 152:7,9 155:8 161:9 196:11 196:16 201:10 201:17 202:24 203:13 204:5 227:10 252:14 256:2 257:22 259:3,7 260:23	57:18 alfalfa 17:22 114:9,12 115:3 algal 265:6 align 19:24 Alisa 2:9 6:21 allegation 229:17 allegations 229:4,6 230:19 alleged 38:11 233:11 235:7 alleging 235:10 allow 20:16 21:5 30:16 37:21 38:2 55:23 58:18 75:12	amount 28:6 88:2 99:16,20 100:9 111:10 146:21 151:10 151:11 152:2 209:19 240:10 262:21 amounts 18:21 51:20 207:6 261:13 amplification 10:13 analog 103:20 analogous 56:6 analogue 101:1 analysis 50:3 222:6	167:3 168:14 168:16 170:1 171:19 181:24 182:14,14 200:20 230:1 232:8 233:12 240:15,23 241:4,10 243:24 244:18 255:14 258:17 answered 133:3 168:5 233:1 241:5 244:15 answering 126:2 162:17 201:24 Answers 4:14,18 4:21,22	156:10 application 20:2 23:16 31:5,13 39:9 42:13 50:5 63:1 68:21 69:5 76:8,10 77:14 78:3,4,10,12 78:21 98:15,19 102:21 107:1,7 107:8,24 108:2 110:4 112:6,8 128:19 129:3 132:1,10 135:20 141:8 141:23 151:21 152:3,11,22

180:17,18,21	182:24 183:6	193:12	59.11.50.11.11	115.12.16.24
181:1,3,11,17	183:10,11,19	approvals 15:10	58:11 59:11,11 68:18 69:4,14	115:12,16,24 115:24 116:4
184:1 185:7,9	184:5 185:17	approvals 13.10	69:21 70:3,11	1
186:19 188:16	186:14,14	approved 145:7	70:14,19 71:2	118:3,13 119:2
188:18 190:10	190:8,16 193:7	171:18	1	119:2 127:15
194:14,16	193:13 210:4		72:12,23 73:21	128:20 129:10
195:15 196:14	212:6,8 215:2	approves 177:22	74:12 75:10	129:13,14,19
197:17 203:9	215:3,8 225:24	approving 185:7	77:16 82:3,17	129:21 132:4
206:22 207:10		approximately	86:16,18,18	192:7 207:2
208:6 209:5,22	226:5,21 227:5 254:24 255:5	11:16 50:18	88:15,23 89:20	209:16 213:4
210:2,16 215:1	256:15 260:5	81:19 142:19	90:4 92:7 96:1	213:13,14
1	269:10	151:8 199:12	96:18 105:23	261:12
215:3,7,8 226:4 235:3		222:16 234:7	108:17 109:21	arena 164:2
237:13 238:10	applying 18:20	April 270:5	110:15 111:5	argument
	22:23 102:13	aquatic 265:8	113:18 114:10	230:23 259:20
238:16 240:9	103:17,19	aquifer 13:2	118:12,21	262:6
240:20 252:12	104:5,7 105:17	16:2 52:14,15	119:10 127:9	arising 52:11
254:1 255:19	105:18 106:4	53:5 54:11,12	130:21 132:11	91:11
257:4 260:18	106:21 109:10	55:7 57:4,8,16	141:11,23	Army 161:23
260:23 261:17	109:22 124:23	58:7,12,15,20	149:10 174:13	Arnold 4:15,18
263:5,9	135:6 149:15	59:21,23 62:21	196:14 197:23	7:16 140:1
applications	151:13 252:11	66:15,16 90:6	200:10 207:4	arrangement
15:18 151:5	261:8	91:21,23 97:17	211:21 215:4	225:7,8
152:15 161:9	appreciable	103:6 104:19	220:3 236:9,13	artesian 37:8,15
213:5	52:16	104:23 105:21	238:16 261:18	articles 48:15
applied 12:21	appreciate 18:7	106:14,16	areas 39:6,12	articulate 250:8
25:11 30:14,20	32:23 33:5,14	110:9,11	48:15 50:16	articulated 93:4
37:21 50:11	47:11 48:21	111:11 114:15	53:9,16,22	201:11 204:6
57:5 76:1 99:5	49:5 64:22	115:10 126:18	54:18,20,23,24	Asauskas 1:12
100:17,19	101:10 102:5	129:24 130:9	55:10,20,21	271:6,17
103:13,21,23	126:3 142:17	132:6	58:2 59:16,24	aside 78:6
135:13 146:21	184:9	aquifers 48:6	60:1,14,16,23	asked 28:21
150:23 151:15	appreciated	51:4 53:7,13	61:2,3,6 62:22	89:5,22 103:8
152:3 174:16	137:24	56:1,3,5,14,21	63:3 66:11	132:14,24
196:10,21	appreciation	57:23 58:3	67:9 68:3 69:3	136:12 152:8
207:16 209:18	33:9	60:15,16,19	74:2,21 75:4	153:22 166:1,5
209:20 226:12	approach 124:5	61:23 66:2,5	77:3,7,23	169:23 177:19
226:19	205:20	66:13,13 76:14	78:21 82:2,22	197:16 212:23
applier 209:6	approaching	95:19 97:23	83:19,21 86:13	214:20 233:16
applies 90:12	51:23	102:23 104:11	86:22,23 87:8	242:9 250:20
apply 18:21	appropriate	105:16 108:5	87:10,15,19	asking 80:19
25:15 37:17	22:24 61:9	111:2 128:19	88:1,6,11 89:2	102:4,8 103:8
77:22 90:9	78:9 124:4	131:4	89:12 90:5	106:1,2 124:11
99:2 100:20	184:22 203:12	aquitard 74:2	93:18,23,24	141:1 171:10
105:12 109:17	appropriately	area 13:3 15:14	94:3,21 96:10	171:11,17
110:13,18,22	226:19,21	21:22 27:22	96:14 97:7	175:5 182:12
111:5,6,18	227:16	28:16 33:17	98:3 99:18	184:7,13
125:7 134:7	approval 43:22	34:16 37:21	100:4 106:6,8	188:15 229:5,7
142:5 151:7	180:22 186:3	38:3 39:9	107:24 108:11	233:12 256:18
160:9 181:6,9	188:16,19	53:11 54:19	108:17 109:16	257:12,14,17
ENPERENTAL PROPERTY AND ADDRESS OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERT				

aspects 187:14	251:9,13	230:6 235:18	150:24 151:1,4	116:9
251:2	attachments	236:20 248:10	151:5 155:18	bedrock 50:18
Assembly 19:21	205:1	250:14	162:15 166:12	50:19,24 52:19
assertion 163:18	attempt 72:2,6		176:10 178:18	52:20 58:7
assess 169:7	attended 223:12	В	195:8 209:10	59:19 60:10,24
172:19 194:5	attention 15:12	B 5:4 258:11,12	211:10 216:6,7	61:17 62:13
assessing 169:9	49:3	B1 196:4,7	219:23 223:12	63:8 66:13
179:7,13	Attorney 148:15	B2 196:18	227:19 235:10	68:1 70:6,8
264:24	223:7 235:6,13	back 9:15 32:17	237:22 241:11	73:10,13,13,22
assessment 55:8	248:3	40:24 41:22	241:24 244:9	74:19 75:1,13
98:24 161:13	attract 37:5	43:12 102:7	244:18 247:10	81:14,15,20
183:21 208:7	attracting 37:10	103:7 120:8	247:23 252:15	82:8,9,10,24
asset 23:9 25:19	audience 10:24	138:10 152:1	260:17,17	84:15,18 86:21
assist 34:1	138:11 147:12	161:7 165:3,8	261:7,14,14	90:4,10,10,11
associated 49:23	August 7:5	175:4 179:22	263:7 264:9	90:12,18,22
56:11 60:8	author 48:18	182:23 184:14	bases 268:22	91:4 92:1,4,16
70:5 72:11	authored 48:14	189:19 193:4,7	basic 16:15	96:6,13 99:18
136:22 148:15	authority 39:20	197:24 199:12	79:24 144:19	100:13 106:16
220:21 226:17	140:15 144:8	202:7 211:15	147:3 206:7	107:4,11,13,16
229:12	144:23 145:3	232:19 242:8	209:1 256:24	107:17,20,21
Association	163:14	background	basically 103:4	107.17,20,21
48:11,13	avail 15:14	6:23 59:4	106:11 130:13	114:17 125:16
234:15	259:7	119:21 155:8	131:16 202:11	129:5,9 130:23
assume 17.9	available 8:9	194:4 224.6	202:17 207:17	133:3 134:10
47:7 48:18	18:23 145:19	backyard 44:20	215:4 236:18	249:18,20
52:24 53:4	210:24 211:2	bacteria 57:10	239:5 249:24	began 46:7
59:10 115:15	219:18 260:19	99:15,18,21	253:12 254:22	140:23 216:11
122:22 157:5	260:20,23	102:18,22	257:6,17	beginning 89:19
157:14 159:11	261:15 266:20	126:19,23	262:15	138:13
192:11 194:3	Avenue 2:12	127:5,24 128:5	basin 50:21 80:3	begins 252:1
235:9 244:22	average 14:18	128:11 133:21	80:5,7,15,17	behalf 2:15,21
245:5	146:19	133:22	81:4,10,13	3:10,15 7:15
assumed 16:22	avoid 35:12	bacterial 50:2	basing 121:12	8:18 33:2
assuming	144:16,22	104:2 127:22	121:13	34:23 36:8
121:11 125:4	198:9 253:24	127:23 128:11	basis 72:15	65:4,7,11,11
156:12 248:9	aware 14:13	bad 13:14	157:6,14	71:13,18,19
assumption	46:16 69:7,13	133:17 141:23	166:10 181:4	85:23 101:15
59:12	70:21 72:13	badger 243:23	205:24 206:24	102:3 113:16
assumptions	76:15 98:18	badly 29:5	221:1 239:17	126:14 142:22
248:9	106:6 111:23	baffling 39:3	250:8 267:21	147:13 153:10
attach 204:8	134:16 135:4	Bank 2:17	bass 16:7	154:12,13
attached 189:2	156:13 157:5	barred 200:18	bathe 29:10	216:16 218:17
attachment	157:15,18,20	barren 115:6	bathtub 106:12	229:18 236:1
144:10 170:22	161:11 162:20	base 249:21	battle 39:23	263:21,22
171:24 204:11	163:11,17	based 8:5,16	bear 10:10	beliefs 14:14
204:14,23	165:4 172:1,5	35:10 46:19	beautiful 12:13	believe 12:9
223:21 228:18	186:9 188:17	63:5,24 70:17	16:4	27:7 29:1
228:18,19,19	197:16 198:21	102:21 119:23	bedding 51:5,10	36:10 40:9
228:20,21,22	200:14 220:21	138:4 150:23	51:19 52:4,17	46:9 66:22
EVALUATION ASSESSMENT TO A SECURITION OF THE PARTY OF THE				29. 796. 0° 1. ° 1. ° 1. ° 1. ° 1. ° 1. ° 1. °

	1	1		1
69:1 70:22	222:20	34:8 39:4 40:5	102:1,2,9	26:6 171:12,14
71:23 72:21	best 34:6 35:17	45:6 48:3 49:5	124:15,19	Bureau 18:1,2,6
91:16 93:3	better 73:23,24	63:21 65:3	199:14,17,19	33:24
95:14 99:11	107:21 137:12	77:13 131:24	199:23,24	Burke 2:7 6:15
101:16 102:11	211:23 214:15	134:24 142:16	breaking 77:1	business 7:23
102:13,15	230:5,7	145:2,15	125:14,15	13:15 23:18
103:7 104:2	Beverly 36:23	147:10 148:11	breaks 76:20	28:14 35:24
121:19 131:9	40:4	157:24 158:3	breath 13:14	42:14,16
132:8 149:8	beyond 66:9	159:23,24	brief 7:22 8:24	234:23 271:8
150:10 152:4	238:7	163:13,15	139:2 142:18	businesses 35:19
152:13 156:6	bicarbonate	171:16 176:17	260:11	by-field-assess
156:20 157:22	51:23	188:13 190:19	briefly 148:19	183:24
162:17 163:14	big 14:20 158:17	210:14 214:1	bright 15:11	bypass 57:13
167:4 168:4	184:15 200:13	215:17 221:11	bring 72:10	58:19 130:8
173:16 175:1	bigger 89:15	228:13,17	138:11 242:8	
179:22 182:17	116:14,14	229:5 230:7	bringing 26:23	C
188:21 192:8	Bill 4:8	252:21 253:6	188:2	C 2:1 3:1 79:19
194:19 195:5	billion 20:22	255:11 257:9	brings 231:8	126:10 131:14
197:20 201:7	bioavailable	258:15 259:13	broader 260:1	132:22 135:2
201:19 203:18	262:22	259:21 260:9	broke 124:21	166:21 169:1
203:19 204:7	biological 56:18	260:13,16	broken 41:5	169:15 170:17
204:11,15,22	103:2	263:16,19	broker 225:17	173:8,19
212:24 215:22	biologically 16:6	266:15,18,18	225:17	175:24 177:7
216:11 221:2	238:10,17	266:23 267:2,3	brokers 225:16	178:13 180:10
228:11,15	bit 88:10 128:17	269:12	bromide 123:12	180:11 182:9
233:4,8 236:10	130:12 133:10	Board's 6:13,19	128:3	188:12 191:10
237:19 250:1	182:23 214:14	9:19 77:13	brother 21:22	191:11 193:1,2
257:14 258:7	256:20	78:9 137:24	22:7 24:19	193:20 197:13
258:23 261:22	Black 251:18	267:6 269:10	brought 230:8	199:1 200:4
262:20 267:18	blah 239:22,22	boards 30:9,16	230:19	206:19 210:13
believed 168:8	239:23	bodies 55:24,24	brown 2:17	212:20 213:24
229:16	blame 14:23	91:4	50:10 115:12	215:10 216:17
believes 167:8	bleach 45:1	Bodine 45:20	115:16 119:2	217:18 219:9
179:5,10	blind 54:5	body 91:22	buffer 195:5,16	219:10 227:22
229:21 240:1	122:16	book 32:11	196:13 213:15	230:2 233:23
believing 246:5	Block 4:7 21:12	books 23:19	213:17 238:15	234:20 236:3
Belleville 7:7	21:14,16,17	border 44:20	238:21,23,24	253:4,5 255:6
bellwether	24:7	111:17	239:1,4,6,8,10	255:9,10
111:4	blooms 265:6	boron 128:4	239:14	264:12 271:3
belly-mounted	blow 88:23	Boss 71:10	buffers 238:22	CAFO 13:24
118:4	board 1:1 2:2,6	bottom 87:1,2	bugs 83:9	14:20 15:6,11
beneath 74:21	2:7,7,8,8 6:3,8	95:5 251:12	build 207:17	17:2,6 35:12
beneficial 23:15	6:12,14,15,17	boundaries 13:5	Building 1:14	38:18 44:13
57:13	6:18 7:2,12	bowl-shaped	2:17	72:2 78:11
benefit 10:7,13	10:1,2 11:15	95:7	buildings 44:5	144:1 148:1
47:8 261:9	11:20 16:14	Box 2:12,18	built 38:2 68:23	154:20 155:5
Berg 53:20	18:10 21:18	breach 222:20	72:3,14,22	155:20 156:3
Bergerac's 62:2	24:14 26:1	222:22	87:13 236:18	156:11 158:1
berm 29:20	30:8 33:8,9	break 101:8,20	burden 24:5	160:23 161:5
	,			
6 = 1 = 2 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	Treatment and a second a second and a second			

183:16 188:7	calcite 51:20,24	Carmen 17:18	cavities 52:17	61:14 63:10
194:20 199:4	calcium 51:22	carpenter 40:23	center 3:3 6:18	64:18,24 66:9
201:8,15 202:8	calculations	42:22	80:12,24 81:3	1 '
207:3,9 211:20	22:22	Carrie 2:8 6:17	81:4 126:13	105:4,5 128:24
215:4 217:6	call 27:12,13,24	carrier 223:14	centered 46:2	148:9,10 256:7
225:22 226:5	28:14 181:7	carries 56:8	centimeters	changing 252:18 261:17
230:15 231:11	202:19 211:2	Carroll 22:5	119:17 251:21	
239:12 259:6	called 28:8	carrying 140:14	central 75:17	channelization
CAFOs 1:6 6:6	29:17 64:9	case 71:10 83:8	1	239:2
14:9 16:16,24	154:4 163:10	133:9 156:6	cents 155:15 certain 24:3	channels 58:16 130:5
17:5 27:16	165:16 192:1	164:6 170:2	_ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1
30:3 42:10,16	217:23 227:23	181:13 186:8	99:16 189:3	characteristic
43:3,5,7,19	calling 115:16	187:19 204:7	220:13,14	116:7,16
44:23 45:2	Calvin 54:14	217:5 229:3	237:20 270:2	characteristics
50:7 60:22	57:17		certainly 76:22	143:8
63:4 69:2,5	Cambrian 80:11	231:5 234:23	139:3 165:6	characterizati
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		246:3 248:12	221:4 232:22	73:2,11 88:15
72:22 77:20,21	camera 118:4	cases 38:17	235:11 268:24	88:16,16
78:11,16 88:18	Canyon 16:5	78:23 115:4	269:5 270:5,9	230:18
116:23 129:18	capable 14:3 61:19 145:13	127:23 189:24	certified 48:10	characterizati
132:4 140:6,10		190:5 211:5	63:13	70:18 73:1
140:12,15,17	253:21	220:10 228:6,9	cetera 42:12,15	characterize
140:19,24	capacity 12:2	228:11,11,14	117:20	74:23
141:7,13,15,18	140:8 141:24	229:9,9 230:6	chair 45:21	characterizes
142:4,5,8,24	149:5 181:5	231:22,23	chairman 6:13	58:9
143:6,12,18	183:7 207:5	246:24	266:23	charge 15:4
144:3,13	210:24 211:2	casts 123:16	challenges	charged 39:2
145:18,23	212:7 251:19	catastrophic	152:19 265:7	charges 16:12
146:4,8 147:3	255:2	213:12	CHAMPAIGN	chart 14:17
152:8,14,16,16	caption 54:22	catch 14:10	3:13	chase 237:10
160:3 163:11	carbon 51:16	categories 55:9	chance 64:21	check 101:14
164:18 167:15	carbonate 49:16	cattle 11:17	101:10 151:24	checking 208:24
168:1,9,24	50:17,19,23	17:22 18:13	206:3 217:11	checks 23:20,23
169:9 170:7	51:1,8,12,17	25:1 33:20	chances 74:19	chemical 56:18
176:7 178:1,2	51:20,21 52:23	caught 221:23	78:21	75:18 103:2
178:8 192:6,10	53:6,10 55:1	cause 26:7 45:2	change 13:5	127:21
197:24 200:24	55:22 59:6,19	95:1 265:2	32:6 49:6	chemicals 56:10
201:2,2 202:14	60:5,24 61:17	271:11	58:21 65:22	chemistry 47:19
203:15 207:2	67:23 70:6,8	caused 15:5	66:8 130:10	48:5,16 66:1,4
213:10 216:8	73:10 77:8	31:14 112:5,7	133:20 158:4	127:21
216:21 222:9	81:14,19 82:2	112:11 198:18	250:9 252:14	Chicago 2:3 3:4
223:11 224:8	82:20,24 83:8	causes 142:1	259:17 260:22	271:8
231:17 232:3	84:8,11,15	causing 126:23	263:8	chief 269:16
234:4 248:14	90:5,9,10,12	127:5	changed 66:19	child 29:13
248:15,18	90:18,22 91:4	cave 62:6	79:9 133:1,2	children 22:17
255:18,21	91:20,22 92:1	caveat 243:6	237:2 250:16	chloride 59:2
256:1,3,16	92:3,15 96:6	caverns 52:6	259:15	128:3,6
257:1,2,22	carbonated	caves 54:3 62:18	changes 26:2	choice 57:21
258:3,9,24	83:15	70:6 92:9	47:22 49:4	95:17 150:18
259:2,3	careful 31:23	116:15	56:24 57:3	245:11,20
			<u> </u>	1
FIGURE AND CLASS VICIOUS AND REPORT AND	Earth, and planted 700 teather and a carrier and a second state of a	THE PART OF THE PARTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF THE		

. .

choices 26:8	74:9 76:12	cofounder 27:2	commented	156:21 157:23
chooses 205:8	clay-rich 59:17	142:14	251:14	compacted
205:19	60:1 61:2	coliform 28:5	commenter 12:9	106:9
chopping 25:22	86:19 106:10	29:9 44:7	17:12 21:12	companies
choppy 40:17	106:10	collapse 74:14	26:12 32:21	42:14
chosen 261:24	Clays 137:1	94:8,20 95:1,9	36:10	company 42:21
circuit 228:13	clean 20:13 27:2	95:12 112:3,14	commenters	comparable
circumstances	35:4 39:15	collapsed 96:23	11:2	100:22
220:13	42:5,7 142:15	97:5,7,10	comments 4:3	compares 88:1
citation 84:23	144:8 145:5,5	collapses 95:5	4:24 7:21 8:1,3	comparing 59:3
237:19	145:11 147:4	collapsing 94:12	10:20 11:6	compelled 39:16
citations 251:4	172:14 188:6	colleague 128:1	18:11 24:12	compete 35:21
252:5	203:7 208:4	collect 127:19	26:12 33:6,14	compile 178:22
cite 234:24	clean-cut 162:3	143:24 171:6	34:23 45:11	219:15
cited 150:12	cleaning 44:20	collecting	250:15,17	compiling 10:18
231:5 261:20	clear 35:14	130:16 143:10	251:1,6 259:23	complaint 28:20
cities 14:4	128:17 146:13	177:6	265:24	228:16 229:4
citing 19:21 20:1	150:18 172:15	collie 44:20	Commerce	229:15 230:19
citizen 14:18	173:21 188:7	column 205:5,14	266:16	232:7 233:7,8
163:24 187:24	233:15	combination	commercial	235:1 247:23
216:2 232:24	clear-cut 162:10	52:11 61:7	234:13	249:5
233:20	clearly 10:8 11:4	91:11	commit 179:3	complaints
citizens 27:2,19	96:16 246:20	combined	commitment	148:14 228:6
41:3 142:15	262:4	192:11	144:22 145:16	228:19 229:12
147:5 208:18	close 10:12 11:3	combining	178:6	230:9,13
221:5	22:8 31:17	43:24	commitments	231:13,20,23
City 21:21 23:24	43:3 53:12	come 28:9 37:11	172:13	232:24 233:21
271:8	186:10,17	41:16 102:21	committed	247:2,24 248:1
civic 38:6	190:6 213:22	110:12 137:15	179:12	complete 10:3
claim 20:12	closed 54:2	164:9 215:5	committing	143:11 153:6
201:10,16	closely 88:18	227:18	144:18	161:15 162:6
204:5	222:18	comes 156:23	commodity	179:19 220:18
claimed 15:8	closer 6:17	157:2 190:7	25:18	completed 38:24
claiming 256:2	co-authored	215:23 257:10	common 24:4	126:6 129:14
257:22	48:14	commend 224:9	42:4,24 62:8	173:18
Claire 2:20	Co-Ops 11:16	comment 4:4,5,6	130:10 147:2	completely
64:15 95:15	coalition 2:21	4:7,8,9,10,11	265:6	25:13 200:13
clarification	8:12 9:2 63:23	4:12 7:23 12:5	commonly 57:24	249:3
50:9 66:10	64:3,16 139:8	17:9 18:8 21:9	communication	completeness
190:20 202:1	147:11 152:7	21:15,19 24:8	251:15,16	179:8
204:21 263:6	154:13 227:10	24:16 32:24	communicatio	completion
270:7	252:14 263:22	36:8,13 40:8,8	54:16 57:19	173:17
clarify 10:3 68:5	266:10	40:13 45:10,14	communities	compliance 20:7
154:11 186:13	Code 47:23	105:15 161:8	39:21 40:1	146:1,11 171:2
201:22 217:2	61:14 63:11	180:18 192:9	41:21 265:9	187:17 188:8
221:21 240:22	177:23	201:6 260:21	community	189:16 203:3,4
256:5 259:10	Codes 1:7 6:7	265:24 266:3	13:15 14:12	229:22 231:6,8
clarifying 47:9	coexistence	commentary	22:6,16 30:12	complied 187:21
clay 56:16 74:3	34:11	11:12	30:15 77:6	compliment
				_
		-	-	•

35:2	246:17 263:9	confuse 62:1	20,14,21,2	172 12 21 7 1 1
compliments		confuse 62:1	20:14 21:2	172:13 215:14
25:24	concerned 13:2		93:4,11 141:2	contaminants
	122:21 208:18	89:23 228:8	158:1,6,18,21	53:23 56:22
comply 35:15	221:19	confusing 62:2	235:15 249:22	57:9 59:22
150:19 173:11	concerns 35:4	156:20 157:22	257:23	126:18
197:5 247:8	252:13	256:11,20	consistently	contaminated
255:22 256:6	conclude 44:14	258:5	265:1	38:4 58:18
complying	44:18 232:1	confusion 19:18	consolidated	128:14 130:7
245:23	concluded	20:4,6 242:17	81:12 82:12	contaminates
component	128:13	conjunction	consolidating	97:16
99:15 104:3	concludes 45:11	258:18	73:9	contaminating
composed 90:5	153:3 173:4	connect 43:4	constitute 56:1	126:22 127:4
230:16 231:11	Concluding	conscientious	59:21 119:24	contamination
composition	4:24	34:9	constructed	30:21 49:17
127:22	conclusion 8:2,9	consent 228:22	106:7 129:19	53:21 55:19
comprehensive	8:15 46:18	228:22 231:5	150:3 200:11	56:4 58:24
22:20 141:18	141:20 216:6	248:6	200:14	77:10,24 78:20
142:7 145:13	concrete 25:14	consequently	constructing	78:22 93:19
145:23 146:16	106:7,12	46:13 60:4	200:18	127:8 128:5,6
163:10 166:15	251:20,22	62:20 145:24	construction	context 160:23
174:24 175:6	condition	conservation	15:10 149:6	228:15
175:20 176:19	184:12	18:24 34:6,14	220:1,7	continent 83:14
177:1 178:17	conditions 41:20	147:17,22	consult 209:9	continually 23:1
219:15 220:19	151:21 212:9	239:14	consultant 110:1	continue 16:21
compressed	239:15	conservatism	110:2	19:13 20:17
83:10	conduct 266:16	214:14	consumers	21:6 155:5
compression	266:24	conservative	20:20	258:23
83:13	conducted 88:17	124:4,5 214:22	contact 45:22	Continued 3:1
comprises 50:17	144:11 233:21	215:18	183:8,9,15	continuing
81:15,19	conducting	consider 14:22	215:5	35:16
compromise	141:6 142:20	15:15 36:3	contacting	continuum
13:13	266:20	91:6 92:20,24	183:13,14	54:13
compromised	conducts 232:3	99:17 116:4	contacts 75:23	contract 137:1
239:2	233:19	193:10 195:10	124:16	225:8
concentrated	conduit 51:9	considerable	contain 25:13	contracted
1:5 6:5 12:19	95:6	141:22	53:17 152:10	174:13
33:10 142:20	conduits 52:6	consideration	194:16 198:22	contractual
concentration	116:15 196:12	33:15 48:2	199:5 213:16	146:23
13:21 151:3	196:17	229:8	215:24 220:12	contrast 56:13
261:3	conferring	considerations	contained	contributing
concentrations	264:7	126:21 127:3	194:20	262:16
55:17 59:4	confidence	considered	containers 37:12	contributor
concepts 174:8	30:17	43:17 90:5,15	containing 70:3	262:18
conceptual	confined 50:6	97:15,18	70:7	contributors
111:15	147:21	119:15 120:19	containment	150:1
concern 12:23	confinement	considering	74:22 146:20	control 1:1 2:2,6
178:21 184:15	39:13	19:14,23	194:9	6:3 16:14
211:3 214:11	conflicting	considers 26:2	contains 59:7	18:10 21:18
239:20 246:17	35:13	consistent 19:14	100:12 151:22	24:14 26:1

145:2 158:3	128:22 132:18	68:23 69:4	245:24 246:3,4	114:13,21
159:23,24	133:4 154:14	71:11 72:3,8	246:8,10,13	115:12 116:1,4
163:3,13	154:15 156:14	74:8,13 77:12	247:8 249:6,17	116:6,9,14
176:17 195:2	160:1,10,19,24	77:15 78:18	cover-collapse	133:14
228:13,17	164:15 166:2,9	80:18 86:15	49:21 57:20	crime 13:23
243:8	167:11,21	94:5 108:24	62:8 95:16	14:22
controlled 25:12	168:2,3,5,12	109:2,4 118:1	covered 92:19	criteria 98:20
81:13	168:15 170:22	129:12 140:2	96:22 111:24	critical 35:13
conversations	170:23 178:4	155:7,10 171:5	141:9 148:19	crop 11:18 54:6
148:17	179:6,11	174:13 176:11	150:18 151:14	62:20 112:17
conveyance	191:16,18	220:3 222:1	151:14 154:24	113:17 207:17
238:21 239:9	195:7 209:11	267:15 269:4	174:18,20	209:17 237:15
conveyances	209:12 215:15	270:3 271:3,8	181:6,9,12	238:5
149:7 194:17	215:16 219:22	271:18	182:24 254:2	crop's 25:15
convict 15:4	224:5 226:15	couple 64:17	254:21	cropping 207:8
28:21	226:23 235:8	89:18 119:24	covering 29:19	208:6
convicted 28:24	236:16 242:13	120:15 121:24	81:2 129:4	crops 18:18,23
convincingly	243:11 246:9	137:4 262:14	133:2 234:10	23:6 114:21
53:2	246:10 249:3	264:3,8 266:8	cows 21:24 23:7	117:19
Cook 1:13 271:8	268:7 271:12	courage 41:23	23:13 24:20	cross-referenc
271:18	corrective	course 24:10	cracks 12:16	44:2
coordinated	145:10	76:15 101:14	58:16 75:23	crucial 45:4
143:10	correctly 23:10	101:18 129:13	124:6 130:5	crust 89:10
copies 86:4	92:15 98:7	138:5 159:6	erap 45:1	253:15
146:23	123:18 195:6	192:1 198:9	create 19:17	cry 41:19
copy 44:16	223:18 269:20	249:23 261:9	20:3 35:6	CSR 1:12 271:6
190:1 195:17	correlation	265:6	105:7,17	271:17
204:17,18	151:9	courses 209:15	124:16 170:4	cultivated 96:18
208:16	cost 20:6 24:5	213:15	173:16,23	cultural 192:5
cords 118:22	26:5,5 35:23	court 10:8 42:19	created 44:23	culture 56:12
core 73:16,19	109:20 110:1	45:23 46:3	83:14,18 169:4	192:1
corn 17:21	costs 23:1 71:23	72:13 139:12	222:20	cultures 41:15
25:23 155:16	253:24	160:17 162:15	creates 20:6	curious 121:24
corner 190:7	counties 27:3	169:19 170:12	creating 176:13	191:13
corporate 42:3	41:16 87:2	188:1 232:18	creek 28:1 44:6	current 39:5
Corporation	174:15 175:3	271:7	187:2 190:7	48:6 49:18,24
44:4	counting 40:3	courts 228:14	221:24 235:3	128:19 150:2
Corps 161:24	country 262:19	cover 57:4 80:18	254:5	160:3 164:20
correct 47:4	countryside	81:3 94:8,20	crevice 74:15	178:8 179:10
53:3 65:19	11:22 163:23	95:8,11 97:4,6	94:12,13 105:9	195:12 237:9
68:8,18,19	county 1:13	97:10 112:1	creviced 60:18	currently 11:18
72:4 81:22	13:10 15:1	129:21,23	62:17 115:10	27:10 40:21
84:3 85:8,9,20	17:20 24:19	150:8,16	crevices 12:17	48:2 53:14
89:7 92:10,22	27:1 28:1 29:5	224:24 234:3	49:22 59:10,20	67:4 107:19
93:2,15 94:9 99:10 110:22	29:8 30:8,9,16	241:16 242:10	61:19 74:13	118:4 140:24
	31:19 32:12	242:13,15,18	75:13 83:19,20	145:19 148:21
112:17,18 115:14 116:2	33:20,24 34:8 34:17 36:16	243:7,13,17	92:5,8 94:16	157:7 177:5
117:12 121:15		244:5,11 245:2	94:17,24 95:2	179:5,7 211:14
117.12 121.13	38:12 68:18,22	245:11,14,21	109:13 114:13	226:2,7 228:12
		The state of the s	THE RESERVE AND PERSONS ASSESSED ASSESSED. THE RESERVE ASSESSED.	THE RESIDENCE OF THE PARTY OF T

				Iage 201
236:20,24	104:4 143:6	270:1	89:21 90:9,17	202:10 219:19
261:4	166:13,15	deal 187:12	90:21 91:9,13	219:24 220:6,8
cut 114:19	175:22 176:12	193:24 211:12	91:17,18,24	266:15
237:10	177:5 178:20	254:18	93:3 121:6,13	Department's
cuts 49:22 54:5	179:7,13,13,19	dealing 129:22	121:20 134:16	266:19
62:18 73:21	219:18,18,23	deals 194:13	136:13,19	
109:12 115:8	219:24 220:1,2	255:16	236:21 237:7	depend 197:8 depending
cutters 54:4	220:4,7,10,11	dealt 211:5,7	250:9 252:7,15	59:13 98:20
cycle 25:23	220:12,16,16	Deanna 2:8 6:18	252:18 253:7	
cycling 122:10	220:21,24	Dear 33:8	definitions	212:5,5 213:8 depends 96:9
Cyrano 62:2,4	224:6	Deborah 2:14	89:22 136:16	100:19 106:5
Cyrano 02.2,4	databases	170:14	definitively	
D	178:22	decades 37:9	129:15 131:21	111:12,12
D 4:1 131:14	date 14:2 270:3	December		125:21 210:5,6
154:3,3 165:15	dated 7:1 266:22	210:24	degradation	254:10 261:1
165:15 173:8	David 41:19	decency 42:4	56:19 78:24	261:18
180:7,7,10,11	Daviess 36:16	decided 246:2	103:3 265:9	depict 221:12
191:7,7,11	68:18,22,23	247:9	degree 191:21	depicts 14:17
192:22,22	69:4 71:11	decides 244:20	degrees 191:19	deposeth 64:10
193:1 217:18	72:3,8 74:8,13		191:20 254:5	154:5 165:17
219:6,6,9	77:12,15 78:18	decision 30:16	DeKalb 1:14,15	180:9 191:9
227:22 234:20	80:18 86:15	160:18,19	24:19 33:11,19	192:24 219:8
253:1,1,4	94:5 108:23	161:21,22	34:8,17 142:17	227:24 253:3
255:6,9	1	162:15 185:11	delegation	255:8
daily 208:23	109:3 118:1 137:15 267:15	209:10,24	144:23 170:6	deposit 86:18
dairies 155:23	268:2 269:4	210:3,3 245:1	221:4	depositing 254:1
211:11	270:3	245:3 246:19	deliberate 25:12	depressed 80:22
dairy 21:20,23	day 1:16 22:2	247:21	28:17	depressions 54:2
23:7,17,21	24:21 27:23	decisions 13:15 34:9 144:22	deliver 45:19	depth 58:24
24:18,20,23	28:9 44:21		demonstrate	80:24 121:7
26:5 28:2,3,19	138:14 139:7	160:17,20 228:17	20:11 158:13	123:20 124:2
29:11,12,13,16	221:16 223:5	decreased	194:24 201:20	depths 254:9
72:3,7 220:6,9	271:20	238:15	205:21 206:5 239:13 257:24	describe 73:3
225:24	days 183:4	dedicated 22:12	demonstrated	82:11 86:9 94:7 207:12
dairymen 22:17	186:10 210:23	deemed 211:22	145:19 227:14	
damage 15:5	211:1 212:10	deep 28:3	demonstration	described 73:6 80:20 171:13
danger 207:14	266:21 268:12	defense 187:18	206:5	173:22
dangerous 28:7	269:11	187:24 188:1,2	denitrification	describes 49:15
dangers 36:18	DCEO 266:16	188:5,5	133:21	86:11
124:22	266:24 267:4,7	define 39:6	densities 94:4	
Daniel 240:13	de 62:2 144:22	212:2 250:20	densities 94.4 density 55:6,10	describing 198:23
240:23 241:3,9	170:6 221:3	defined 52:9,14	55:20,21 93:23	
Danielle 3:9	225:3	60:23 61:16	· ·	description
169:22	dead 262:19	122:14 131:18	department 15:9 28:23	236:15 deserve 40:2
dark 54:18 55:3	264:15	211:17 254:22	38:1 43:21	desiccation
68:3 69:3	deadline 7:11	defining 121:3	69:8 70:16	58:15 75:23
82:17,22 88:1	267:16,19	definition 53:5	78:6 118:2	123:14 130:5
88:11	268:12 269:2	59:11 69:14,21	141:15 158:16	design 214:15
data 59:1 102:21	269:11,18	70:10,14 73:5	192:2,3,4	designated
		70.10,17 73.3	1,74,4,5,7	acsignated
				<u> </u>

-				
238:11,18	207:1 208:2	105:12,14	189:15,15	127:5
designed 14:17	216:24	117:24 119:6	193:19,24	dismayed 37:24
desk 45:21	developing	175:6 176:15	198:10 208:17	
138:12	22:20 23:9	183:13 198:17	208:23 216:8	displayed 116:5 dispose 183:7
Despite 150:11	35:3 140:9	205:20 206:14	223:3 225:20	1 ^
destination	141:14 184:4	225:18 254:9	226:18 233:10	disposed 207:11
16:10	development	262:15	235:6 248:5	dispute 15:2 230:18
destroy 76:17	51:13 60:10	differing 256:3	discharged 39:1	1
detail 208:7	develops 164:3	differs 91:17	149:2 169:9	disrupted 70:4
detect 222:4	device 123:19	difficult 42:10	189:10	disruption 41:21
detected 223:10	devised 254:19	122:11 210:1	1	1
determination	Dexter 3:5	difficulty 221:5	discharges 13:2 13:6 15:13	dissolution 51:8
160:8 162:3,11	101:14 126:7,9	dike 213:16	142:9 143:24	52:1,18 61:18
183:18 184:14	126:11,12	diking 196:22	i	116:12
226:22 243:19	131:6,8 132:9	197:7,9	149:3,10,19	dissolve 51:22
244:13 254:6	132:12,24	dioxide 51:16	161:10,14	83:17
determinations	137:19 138:6	direct 50:5	198:12,13,14	dissolved 116:10
73:18	138:15,20	64:11 154:6	208:19 226:9 242:7 246:6	distance 149:16
determine 71:1	139:14,19	165:18 228:1		distinct 175:7
99:1 109:21	142:10 147:7	directed 227:16	discharging	distinction
116:20 122:19	153:5 165:12	240:23	13:16 142:1	107:16 184:16
123:19 124:1	165:19 166:1	direction 36:1	161:6 186:10	256:1
158:9 265:22	166:17 173:9	l	186:13,16	distinctive 52:10
determined	177:19 201:21	directly 29:16	198:19 221:24	91:10
55:17 59:4	202:2 218:4,6	76:13 100:15	223:6 235:2,7	distinguish
145:8	218:22,24	114:20 149:23 221:24	247:2	259:2
determining	219:1,3 230:24	director 158:15	disconcerting	distinguishable
73:4 78:9	233:12,24	203:16,19,20	38:13	207:23
150:22	234:19 259:19	203:10,19,20	discovered 54:6	distributing
Deutsch 4:8	259:22 260:12	206:10,24	62:19	31:7
24:8,11,13,17	264:13 265:12	disappear 96:20	discreet 149:6 239:9	distribution
26:11,16,22	265:13	disaster 38:18		119:5 122:7
devastating	diameter 108:13	38:20	discuss 141:6	district 33:13
38:10	120:2	discerning 43:8	discussed 139:6 141:11 165:1	disturb 254:14
develop 23:2	diamicton 56:16	discharge 13:18		diverse 35:9
36:4 131:3	Diamond 3:7,9	13:24 14:1	178:20 218:1,1 221:8	diversion 208:3
140:10 145:18	169:16,21,22	15:8,19,21		diversions 203:7
145:22 163:15	177:8,16	16:1,24 17:3,3	discussing 46:6	diverted 130:22
163:21 174:15	178:10	17:6 25:10	53:20 212:22	divide 108:19
174:24 176:6	differ 20:2	52:7 60:17	213:20 234:23 252:6	division 48:12
201:3,8,15	difference 78:12	147:4 151:24		divorce 137:3
203:23 217:15	116:13 126:1		discussion	docket 6:8 7:5
219:17 226:3		160:14,23	124:10 138:5	250:19,23
257:17	156:9 162:11	161:6,16,17,20	180:5,17,20	dockets 269:14
	162:14 184:10	162:2,2,9,13	203:11 209:4,4	Doctor 165:20
developed 22:5 52:12 55:9	256:12	163:1 180:16	209:21 219:13	document 85:15
i	differences 79:4	181:14 185:15	247:10 248:2	112:21 113:4
91:12,14 111:15 134:6	256:10	185:19 187:11	249:24 250:6	113:17 205:2
202:10 206:24	different 74:4	187:13,18	260:10	documentation
202.10 200.24	89:4 93:14	188:4,8 189:11	disease 126:23	28:10 29:17
	l l			
	THE RESIDENCE OF STREET, WHICH STREET, WHICH STREET, PRINCIPLES AND	ADMINISTRAÇÃO DE SOCIA PARA DE SER SEL SEL SEL SEL SEL SEL SE ANTONIO DE LA SECULIA DE	AND THE RESIDENCE OF THE PARTY	

				Tage 203
documented	170:11 175:19	86:11	126:10 131:14	144:6
15:14 28:12	177:10,15	drill 88:20	131:14,14	easiest 254:15
documents	178:15 179:23	drilling 73:7	132:22,22	easily 37:22 38:4
148:3	180:6 186:5,6	drink 13:14 25:1	135:2 154:3,3	east 2:12 3:3
doing 35:23	186:8,16 191:6	101:11	165:15 166:21	213:10
42:14 43:2	191:13 192:16	drinking 12:4	169:1,15	eastern 55:11
160:3 171:18	192:19 218:13	37:12 39:9	170:17 173:8,8	74:8
211:15 216:20	218:17 219:16	126:22 127:4	173:8,19,19	easy 97:16
217:4 225:14	223:17,22	149:18 238:12	175:24,24	253:20
234:23 271:7	227:9,13,19	238:18	177:7,7,23	eat 101:11
dollars 23:2	228:3,5 232:10	drive 3:3,13	178:13,13	eater 27:7
155:16 157:12	232:22 234:2	163:23 254:23	180:7,7,10,11	ECO 16:11
dolomite 51:21	236:1 244:15	driven 83:11	180:11,11	economic 20:22
51:24 52:21,24	244:17,20	driver 24:2	182:9,9 188:12	21:6 24:2 34:5
74:7 116:14	248:8 257:5	driving 31:9	191:7,10,11,11	
domestic 37:10	260:15 263:3	162:18	191:11 192:22	35:17,19 38:9
37:14 38:8	263:23 264:14	drought 12:15	192:22 193:1,2	266:13,16,17
56:21	265:19	113:17	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	266:24
dominant 51:21	draft 250:15	drove 29:14	193:2,20,20	economically
Don 69:24 75:14	251:7,8,14	dry 100:18	197:13 199:1,1	21:3 151:16
103:20 123:11	drain 100:23	115:2 122:9,10	200:4,4 206:1	economy 20:19
137:10	103:24 104:1	137:1	206:19,19	20:23 21:7
Dongola 60:12	121:8,9,18		210:13,13	33:19
dont 206:14	136:4	due 7:19 13:1	212:20,20	edge 13:9
dont 200.14 door 22:16		38:3 268:5	213:24,24	240:19
dots 43:4	drainage 56:7 70:4,5 121:7	duly 64:9 154:4	215:10,10	edges 80:21,23
double 196:24	1	165:16 180:8	216:17,17	81:4
double-check	135:7,13,22	191:8 192:23	217:18,18,18	educate 36:18
263:11	196:11,11,15	219:7 227:23	219:6,9,10,10	education
doubtful 110:7	196:16 237:16	253:2 255:7	227:22 230:2	191:14
Doug 21:17	238:3,6	dumped 123:12	233:23 234:20	effect 77:12
	drained 121:14	dumping 15:22	234:20,20	236:24
Douglas 4:7	draining 44:13	Dunn 251:18,18	236:3 253:1,1	effective 197:10
down-gradient 149:14 196:10	121:10 135:9	duplicate 19:16	253:4,5 255:6	effectively
	135:10 198:16	Duplicating	255:9,10	143:14
downhill 187:1 downside 185:1	drains 54:14	20:5	264:12	effectiveness
185:4	75:16 76:3	duplicative	e-mail 183:14	238:24
downturn 35:19	dramatic 151:18	35:12	eager 12:18	effects 57:13
	draught 115:1	duration 11:7	earlier 108:11	efficient 23:16
Dr 2:8 4:16,17	draw 49:3 56:21	duty 38:6 147:4	135:15 136:12	efficiently
4:21,22 6:18	draws 16:8	dye 61:10 73:24	138:5 207:20	189:18
7:16,17 57:17	dreamed 41:4	75:17 123:12	209:3 212:15	effluent 31:11
90:3,9,17,21	drift 85:5,7 87:8	dyes 123:12	212:22 215:12	60:17
91:1,16 138:7	87:16 88:1,5	dying 123:19	219:12 221:9	effort 222:10,11
138:8,10,23,23	94:22	dysfunction	223:18 258:22	249:22
142:11,12,12	driftless 39:7	14:17	266:1	efforts 35:6 36:3
147:8,10,15	61:3 85:2,4	E	early 89:9	eight 14:21
152:7 163:10	86:16,17 90:14	E 2:1,1 3:1,1 4:1	earmarked	either 45:2
165:6 166:1,4	113:18	5:4 64:8 79:19	64:24	123:18 130:22
169:17,22	drifts 82:14		easier 139:23	150:18 165:5
		A REST OF THE REST OF THE PARTY	Contract to the contract of th	

166:16 221:2	enhanced 51:7	135:5 140:4	254:12,12	213:9
222:3 228:12	enjoin 72:2,6	141:16 142:22	equivalent	events 94:24
265:23 266:19	enlarge 89:15,18	146:14 147:13	103:22	eventually 105:8
268:10	105:9	148:9 151:19	equivalents	215:23 219:15
electricity 23:12	enlarged 52:18	153:11 154:13	239:16	everybody 44:17
electronic	92:8 221:10	160:7 162:24	Eric 4:12 40:20	evidence 78:19
269:14	enlargements	164:1 170:15	192:9	86:7 114:2
element 171:2	223:20	175:13 182:18	erodes 95:7	120:23 143:21
eliminate 135:20	enlarges 52:3	192:7 200:2	erosions 19:4	143:24 148:8
Elm 3:8	enrich 37:5	239:13 240:15	escape 43:10	148:12 149:15
Elmwood 11:14	ensure 16:18	245:9 254:17	especially 32:15	230:5,14,18
30:19	22:13,23 25:10	255:13,20	59:15 62:7	233:9,14 240:8
embarked 171:5	39:16 145:4	260:3 263:6	essential 25:21	240:14 252:8
embraces 16:11	147:5 207:9	266:9,10,14	essentially 75:19	252:16,17
emergency	ensuring 156:2	268:10	80:9,15 86:20	exactly 66:14
181:4 184:12	enter 32:9 58:20	environments	87:17 94:11,20	88:24 91:2
188:23 189:2	59:23 60:18	83:9	114:16 174:23	101:5 170:5
193:8,12 210:4	75:12 130:9	EPA 14:5 27:13	189:22,22	182:20 186:20
210:10	entered 50:13	28:8 29:17	establish 21:2	223:22 256:8
eminent 185:14	113:21 139:1	30:3 31:18	170:6 246:2	EXAMINATI
185:17	enteric 57:10	32:3 38:14,21	248:13	64:11 154:6
emissions	126:19	39:16 43:21	established 19:2	165:18 228:1
177:18	entering 51:19	79:3 96:22	19:3,20 100:10	example 19:2
emotional 41:18	±150:5 240:18	*141:13 143:12	158:14 164:11	20:1 52:7
employ 35:20	241:18 242:20	144:2,13,17	203:16 204:4	54:10 56:16
employees 22:12	243:9,20 244:7	145:1,17 146:7	206:10 238:2	58:15 59:2
enact 16:15	entire 21:22	158:12,19	establishing	60:8 61:10,18
145:3,16 170:3	109:2 260:7	159:22 163:12	19:15 20:14	62:11 73:7
178:7	entirely 43:11	164:3,17 165:1	43:11	74:21 75:10
enacting 146:3	entirety 8:7	169:23 172:18	estimate 30:23	76:6 96:10
encountered	46:15 63:19	173:15 174:11	87:9 109:20	100:20 103:12
135:16	entities 58:5	176:24 177:12	222:1 237:17	105:6 119:19
encourage 22:16	entitled 6:5	178:3,7 180:22	estimated	125:17 130:4
ended 217:14	113:17	181:7 193:11	146:21 224:7	157:3 164:7
ends 17:9	entrance 9:7,15	198:11 202:9	237:15	186:24 211:11
endure 39:22	environment	212:1 240:14	estimates 207:6	234:24
enforced 31:4	36:3 39:21	243:16 244:10	209:19	examples 98:3
enforcement	56:18 147:6	248:21	estrogen 240:4	100:3 102:13
140:3,7,14	185:2,5 262:23	EPA's 98:18	et 42:12,15	108:10 127:11
155:5 169:5	environmental	143:6 145:14	52:13 55:5	127:12
172:16 187:19	2:11 3:3,10	145:20	59:5 117:20	excavated
217:5 228:6,9	6:23 7:15 8:12	EPA/USDA	251:19,21,24	123:13
229:22 230:6,8	8:18,24 9:4	224:7	evaluate 145:24	excavations 60:3
231:8 253:17	25:2 41:10,20	equal 125:1	146:11	73:23 123:10
engine 21:7	47:7 48:1	equation 252:2	evaluated	exceed 240:10
Engineers 48:12	61:12 64:3	EQUIP 157:12	178:16	exceeding 38:10
161:24	71:14 101:15	equipment	evaluating 264:2	excess 13:1
enhance 77:2	121:2 122:14	149:7 253:15	Eve 27:23	excuse 158:22
124:10	126:13,14	253:21 254:10	event 208:16	196:4 238:13
VIII CONTRACTOR AND INCOME TO THE	er fra e wy hour to person i mar sar i Na Prosessa en			

exemption 20:13	186:22 191:14	86:17 87:1	16:22 28:22	fall 152:11
152:10 158:11	216:7,20,22	123:4	31:9,14 37:16	1
158:12 201:11	217:2 241:15	extension 83:13	1 '	221:16
201:17 202:21		268:11	38:2,7,11,16	fallout 38:9
	experiences 41:13		38:24 44:4	familiar 71:10
204:1,5 256:2		extensive 47:17	72:10,14 73:5	121:1 148:4
257:23 259:4,8	experiment	extent 49:15	74:22 78:2,5	160:18,20
exemptions	123:22	75:3 156:15	148:13 150:13	161:22 195:3
203:13	experiments	158:5 164:5	158:9 161:12	195:24 242:24
exercise 144:5	103:20	177:2 213:17	182:23 184:24	families 19:10
exhibit 5:7,8	expert 47:22	269:7	185:6 187:21	27:14 34:3
85:13,16,24	48:4 49:8,11	extra 213:15	189:13 190:2	40:1
86:5 87:23	65:12,24 71:17	extreme 115:1	194:2,24 203:6	family 17:19
88:12 112:20	137:11 250:13	extremely 42:10	205:8,17,19,21	25:4,6 29:6
112:22 113:3	expertise 47:17	58:2 94:2	206:5 208:2,3	40:2
113:19,22,24	192:5	eye 122:16	208:17,19,22	family's 26:5
171:9 234:11	experts 38:3,23	eye-to-eye 45:22	210:6,11,11,23	fantastic 262:23
existed 238:15	39:6	F	212:24 213:6	far 6:16,16,21
existing 19:16	expired 172:2,6		216:23 217:22	27:21 29:1
20:5 149:11	explain 66:7	face 10:12 11:3	221:20,23	31:13 38:10
150:11 151:18	75:6 79:4 80:5	20:15 152:18	222:16,17	62:5 88:4
156:10 157:9	82:8 83:5	facilities 14:5	236:8,17,22,22	107:8 123:3
158:2 175:22	85:11 87:24	19:20 22:18	237:7,8 253:13	129:14 130:1
178:22 214:4	89:14 94:10	30:6 37:19	254:11	133:21 179:14
220:12 222:3	95:10,21	41:11 42:19	fact 22:14 38:20	222:13 231:1
261:2	102:14 114:4	43:12,15,17,19	39:18 42:17	262:16
exists 196:14	124:14 165:20	63:2 68:22	46:7 71:9	faraway 41:2,15
expand 224:13	182:17 192:2	69:10,11,17	76:24 97:14	farm 17:19 18:1
expanded	202:2 206:2	70:17 78:8	99:16,17	18:1,2,6,14,15
220:13	221:11 249:19	144:6 145:14	118:15 135:24	18:18 19:1
expanding	259:17	148:6 150:17	152:22 159:1	22:1,3,15,21
255:17	explains 89:6	152:21 155:20	160:23 162:1	23:1,6,11,21
expect 102:18	explanation	155:24 156:10	162:12 187:11	23:24 24:20,21
103:3	266:19	156:13 157:10	217:21 229:6	24:23,24 25:5
expectation	exposed 84:18	163:24 164:8,9	232:23 233:10	25:11,19,19
170:3 193:6	86:22 87:18	175:8 198:15	240:10	26:6 33:24
expected 13:12	exposures 53:13	200:11,12,14	facto 225:3	131:3 155:7,10
167:18,20	62:17	200:17 201:19	factory 43:16	155:13 225:23
168:11 202:13	express 66:11	203:24 204:3	facts 228:16	farm's 43:16
expecting	158:8	206:8 212:4	factures 89:11	farmer 11:14
260:10	expressing 33:9	214:6,7,11,15	fail 145:15	17:17 18:14
expensive 23:14	expression	214:24 220:12	146:12	20:12 21:21
39:23	62:13	220:15 221:17	failed 14:2	24:18 27:1
experience	extend 57:11	221:22 222:2	fails 145:10	187:8,12,16,23
108:21 115:23	58:17 120:4	225:15 234:7,8	failures 149:7	193:7,12
116:3 117:16	123:9 124:7	234:16 236:12	fair 35:7,14,22	208:21 226:6
123:6 141:21	130:6 269:2,18	236:13 248:23	153:24 241:8	226:20
142:20 155:18	extended 255:4	248:24 249:6	fairly 120:9	farmers 11:23
157:6,15	270:1	facility 12:20	188:7 234:17	18:3 19:7,8,16
160:15 184:9	extends 54:13	13:18,19 15:7	238:1	20:4,10,15,18
	=			20.1,10,13,10
L				

				
21:1,24 33:21	194:23 195:3	195:15,15	261:24 262:5	232:4 246:24
34:1,17 36:17	196:24 197:5	196:10,21	fieldwork 49:19	finding 122:11
40:2 211:7	199:3,7 201:4	238:13 240:19	61:9	fine 15:5 28:22
farming 19:6	201:11,17	241:19 242:21	fifth 2:18 21:20	56:15 100:2
23:17 29:22	203:11,14	243:14 244:8	25:8 34:17	154:10 259:21
34:6,12,13,18	204:6,8 214:17	245:14,20	Fifty 57:15	264:11
35:1	214:19 215:13	246:9,13	fight 41:22	
farmland 12:21	216:1 226:3	247:11	figure 42:18	fines 14:23 16:12
34:10	240:7	Fehr 229:3	53:12 54:19,22	
farms 19:8,9,12	Federation	felon 28:24	68:7 82:16	finish 201:23
19:23 20:21	147:19	felt 38:5		finished 181:22
21:6,23 27:22	feed 192:11	fertilization	87:24 89:2	181:24 202:1
34:3 211:6	feeding 1:5 6:6	262:3	100:6 114:7,21	first 2:17 7:4,23
234:24	12:19 13:20	fertilizer 18:18	116:5,6,16	8:11 37:6 49:6
fast 44:12 57:12	16:3 33:11	23:5	117:10,11	49:7 64:9,17
faucet 50:15	50:6 142:21		129:11 239:18	65:1,23 66:18
faults 52:17		fewer 108:16	file 30:7,24	80:2,4 81:17
favorable 34:11	147:21 202:12 202:12	261:10	144:19 221:6	154:4 160:6
feasible 21:4		field 16:2 25:15	235:14 259:22	165:16 172:11
	feedlots 155:24	44:22 98:20,24	269:6	172:12 180:8
150:17 246:5	feel 206:17	100:18 103:14	filed 6:24 8:6	182:22 191:8
feature 94:14	246:21 257:7	104:6 110:18	28:23 46:15	192:23 196:7
112:3 117:18	feet 28:3 30:20	114:9,18,20	63:20 65:2	201:1 205:5,14
features 37:4	55:2 57:4,6,11	119:23 121:13	70:24 79:6	217:1 219:7
39:11 49:20	57:15 59:17	122:2,3,16,19	90:3 144:7,9	227:23 233:1
53:17 54:1,9	60:6,9 61:1	124:1,23,23	148:14 164:4	253:2 254:20
61:18 62:9	62:12,24 63:7	125:2,5,20	195:9 229:13	255:7,16 258:2
67:18,24,24	68:2 70:9 73:8	182:16 183:10	229:16 233:7,8	259:5 266:12
70:7 91:21	73:22 74:14,17	183:17,18,19	247:23 267:1	firsthand 50:10
92:4,7,17	75:3,5,7,10	183:23 184:11	269:12	fiscal 172:2,17
106:18 111:21	81:1 83:20	185:5 186:19	files 249:5	172:21 173:5
198:1	87:17,20 88:5	190:2,4,6,8,15	filing 8:8 235:12	fish 14:21,22,24
fecal 28:5 29:9	88:13,24 89:1	190:16 194:8	269:15	31:14 189:23
44:7	92:2,10 94:22	194:10 208:7	fill 37:11	193:16
federal 20:13	96:11 98:4,8	209:14,15,18	filled 58:6 62:10	fishery 16:7
35:12 140:11	99:7 103:23	210:6 235:3,3	130:20	fissured 55:22
140:21,24	104:10,17,18	239:15 240:19	fills 186:24	56:2
141:2 156:3,3	104:22 105:24	253:10 254:23	filter 133:10	fissures 12:16
156:11 158:1	106:9 108:13	255:19 262:11	208:9	fit 30:12
159:2,7,10	109:14 110:4	field-by-field	filtered 133:22	five 12:12 15:17
160:16,17,24	114:10 115:4,4	183:21	final 8:3 40:8	15:22 27:11
161:23 162:10	120:4,12,14	fields 47:20	79:2 269:3	53:16 89:16
162:18 163:2,5	123:9,14,15,16	50:13 62:11	finally 15:11	114:10 221:16
163:6 164:13	124:7 125:23	77:22 114:18	130:3 152:6	flew 118:1,3
164:14 166:9	125:23 126:16	115:2 118:17	250:6 251:23	flexibility 46:1
166:12,16	128:20 129:7	122:4,12	financial 26:6	flight 221:22
168:6,7 170:8	130:21 133:5,6	123:19 131:3	38:10	flipping 204:16
170:9 172:2,17	133:11,24	183:1 184:5	find 74:23	flood 87:5
173:4 177:24	134:4,10,11	194:5 240:9	141:21 163:23	flooded 200:13
188:1,6 194:20	149:1 195:5,6	261:1,3,4,19	217:9,14 226:1	213:10
I				

	 			
flooding 149:8	166:18 169:3	forgot 103:11	Fox 1:11 2:4	83:21 84:21
213:2,7,20	169:17 170:10	forgotten 70:20	3:13 6:1,3 12:6	89:9,11 115:18
floodplain	173:10 176:2	Fork 228:21	17:8,11 21:10	115:20 117:20
200:12,18	177:10 178:12	form 12:22 13:1	24:7 26:11,19	120:7 123:14
213:1,6,11	182:11 186:5	13:7 14:14	26:21 32:20	136:20 137:5
214:3,8,12	188:11,14	83:7,9 89:7,11	36:7 40:7 45:9	frame 43:12
floodplains	190:22 192:20	94:21 96:15,19	46:5,21,24	193:11
198:15 200:15	210:15 212:14	105:9 110:19	47:5 48:20	free 121:7
214:16	214:2 216:19	118:24 122:24	49:1 63:17	206:17
floods 214:8	217:20 218:22	133:16 206:24	68:4,9,12	frequent 142:1
flow 14:16 52:15	219:2 227:9,15	formally 172:19	79:15 85:19	frequently
59:24 60:19	230:4 234:1	format 33:14	101:2,23	150:12
76:13 94:23	239:11 247:17	formation 51:8	113:15 126:5	freshly 124:24
103:5,6 206:15	252:22 265:15	59:9 75:8	131:7 132:17	freshwater
239:5,5 240:17	follow-ups 8:13	104:16,20	134:20,23	53:13
flowed 50:15	9:2 79:14	105:6,20	137:18,22	Friday 267:24
flowing 83:22	101:17 131:11	106:17 133:15	138:4,24	front 26:13
flows 37:6 52:7	131:12 139:10	formed 33:24	139:14,21	45:21 46:1
fluted 54:3	192:18 216:16	36:17 83:24	153:5,18,24	frost 251:20,23
fly 163:24	followed 29:3	84:2 89:10,13	166:4,19	254:13
221:17,21	248:1	148:1	178:11 179:21	frozen 15:19
flyover 144:4	following 15:20	forming 74:20	180:2 181:21	50:11 141:9
flyovers 221:13	39:17 50:8	89:12 92:12,12	185:21 186:6	151:14 181:6,9
foam 28:3	52:16 55:12	104:24	188:10 190:21	
focal 110:9	61:5 101:21	forth 39:6	191:1 192:17	
focus 16:17 76:5	146:15 152:8	209:17	199:9,22	250:9,11,13,15 250:20 251:2
91:23 95:24	157:17 175:1	forward 138:11	204:20 212:18	251:24 252:7,9
97:14 107:6	186:23 187:7	164:9 222:5	216:10,15	252:9,15 253:7
111:3 228:5	199:20 208:24	229:19 232:7	218:4,7,21	254:1,7,21
focused 78:16	217:10 245:18	269:24 270:2	219:1,4 223:16	fulfill 175:18
107:5	follows 64:10	found 14:16	223:23 227:8	219:14
focuses 105:20	121:6 154:5	28:4 29:9,15	227:12 235:22	fulfilled 171:13
147:19	165:17 180:9	32:2 39:7 42:9	244:17 249:7	174:2,5
focusing 132:4	187:16 191:9	43:9 69:17	249:10 252:23	full 127:21
136:11	192:24 219:8	73:19 75:18	259:11 263:17	181:24 185:16
FOIA 231:13	227:24 235:16	85:1 143:6,9	263:20 264:5	205:6,14
folks 6:11 30:1	253:3 255:8	144:12 148:10	264:10 265:11	234:10 250:12
follow 17:1	food 19:10 192:1	151:19 190:12	265:14,17	fully 46:16
31:20,23 39:5	192:5	225:13 231:24	266:5 267:9	210:9
39:14 157:7,8	foot 57:3 124:20	235:2,5 247:1	268:4,9,17,21	Fulton 29:8
187:9 203:15	footnotes 32:16	250:11 262:1	fracture 83:17	fundamentally
204:3 205:20	forced 32:2	four 7:17 9:9,10	89:18	181:3 207:15
206:9 230:22	39:23	25:6 55:10	fractured	208:20 253:19
257:18 264:9	forces 83:13	96:11 191:20	107:17 129:4,9	funded 234:14
follow-up 9:1	Ford 52:10 91:9	217:3 221:10	133:3 134:10	Funk 152:7
63:24 64:3	91:17,22	228:11	fractures 51:5,9	further 51:7
126:7 132:19	foregoing	fourth 7:12	51:12,19 52:3	52:22 58:4,13
134:22,24	271:11,11	11:14 17:19	57:10 59:8	59:16 62:7
137:19 165:13	forgive 48:22	240:12	61:17 83:14,17	137:19 218:5
		ACCUMUM CONTRACTOR OF THE STREET OF THE STRE		TOUVAS LABORATORIO CONTROL DE LA CAPACION DE LA CAP

				raye 200
222:6 227:8	geologic 39:11	28:1 29:1,22	183:10,11	82:14 94:17
249:8 255:4	81:10	33:1 36:12	185:16 189:8	102:24 129:5
265:12	geological 47:16	73:24 79:24	190:8,9 193:5	129:10 133:3,8
Furthermore	48:8 49:13	80:13,14,16	196:19 197:8	133:9,12,13,16
146:2	50:22 53:23	88:23 98:11	197:11 199:11	133:18,19
	63:14 65:5,7	100:13 102:18	207:8 209:18	134:1,2,7
G	65:18 69:24	110:12,12,20	210:9 220:18	gray 54:19,20
G 3:13	71:20 75:15	118:10 120:15	231:12 237:10	55:3 69:3
galena 74:7	80:8 85:1	122:9 125:23	239:9 240:21	82:17,22 88:11
116:7,18	117:21 123:11	129:23 130:24	242:4,5,6,8	129:10
gallons 15:16	137:11	132:19 133:17	244:12 251:4	great 42:15
GAO's 143:16	geology 37:20	133:19 135:24	259:6 262:11	190:4 196:18
gaps 88:21	47:18 48:4,16	136:24 138:1	Goliath 41:19	greater 39:8
gas 23:13	49:8 66:1	138:22 141:20	good 6:1 10:23	55:14,19
gather 167:9,12	79:24	153:7,14 161:7	21:16 22:9	104:17 108:2
gathering 42:9	georeferencing	166:20 184:23	24:13 32:20	124:24
gears 129:17	118:5	185:6 186:6	34:5,18 64:13	greatly 32:23
general 18:2	getting 74:20	187:11 190:5	64:14 74:10	green 87:20
19:21 72:16	78:22 104:24	193:4 199:16	77:5 79:21	115:24 118:12
91:20 153:13	111:24 125:3	199:24 202:7	84:6 98:2	119:2
153:21 223:7	175:12 180:24	205:6,9,17	109:11 111:6	grikes 54:4
235:7,13	GIS 220:3	210:2 212:18	126:12 131:7	ground 12:17
General's	give 35:8 39:19	219:5 221:20	132:17 133:15	15:19 50:11
148:15 248:3	84.23 98:3	231:21 238:7	142:6 155:4	78:22 112:2
generalization	100:3 108:10	244:19 249:4	161:12,13	115:5 118:12
52:23	119:5 181:23	252:23 255:4	163:20 180:4	124:6 141:9
generalize 89:1	226:1 245:16	God 25:6	186:23 191:1	149:24 151:15
generally 9:17	251:9	goes 100:23	198:4,6 216:12	181:6,9,12,18
56:17 70:8	given 115:23	130:2 227:2	218:7 231:16	182:2 183:1
72:21 105:5	118:24 150:17	249:2 254:4,5	263:20 265:14	225:23 243:10
127:18 164:1	152:3 157:21	going 11:20,22	265:17	250:9,11,13,15
172:16 186:8	161:21 183:16	12:1 30:1,4,14	govern 207:2	251:3 252:7,9
262:9	195:9 267:23	44:11 64:22	governed 9:19	252:15 253:8
generated 23:13	gives 115:7,8	78:24 79:3,23	government	254:2,7
37:19 207:7	226:6,7	80:10 83:3	26:4 143:5	groundwater
generating 14:4	giving 102:12	85:12 90:1	166:9 170:8	37:22 47:18
generation	103:12	96:12 98:5,5	Governor 16:11	48:5,10,11,13
11:14 17:19	glacial 51:1	102:22,24	grab 101:10	48:16 49:17
21:20 23:12	56:16 61:3	103:1,3,4,17	gradually 52:2	50:1,4 51:2
25:7 34:17	82:14 86:11,19	103:24 104:20	grain 20:20	52:4,6 53:21
51:15	86:24 87:14	106:13 109:17	Grand 2:12	56:21 57:1
generations 25:6	88:5 94:22	112:19 120:8	grandmother	60:19 63:1,13
34:4	glaciers 86:18	122:23 123:15	44:24	66:1 77:10,24
gentleman 93:17	86:20	123:16 125:7	grant 269:1,17	83:22 93:19
192:8	Glosser 2:8 6:18	126:1 130:19	granular 56:15	94:23 108:19
geochemical	go 7:24 8:23	136:5,5 153:14	grass 19:2	110:6 114:14
59:1	14:11,24 16:21	153:21 158:19	196:12,15	122:22 127:8
geochemist	17:15 21:14	158:20 172:12	208:9	127:15,19
47:15 48:7	24:11 25:7,17	181:8 182:23	gravel 66:12	128:10 129:2
Participation and Conference in the sales	and the state of t	and with more and programmed the last		

134:12 136:5	233:2 250:16	83:23 85:22	266:5,6,21	Holbrook 2:7
150:6,20	254:9,16	182:14,14	267:9,10,13,14	6:13 266:23
243:21 249:20	halide 128:3	267:18,24	267:20,22	hold 11:3 13:14
group 36:16	hand 32:22	268:11,19	268:3,4,9,17	15:23 25:9
47:7 148:1	40:10 117:3	hearing 1:11 2:4	268:21 269:3,9	201:24 213:16
255:14	205:1 227:14	4:3,24 6:1,3,4	269:12,23	
group's 175:13	Handbook	7:3,4,6,8,10,12	270:4	holding 21:18
182:18 245:9	151:7	7:13,21 10:21		24:15 33:10
groups 3:10	handedness	12:6 17:8,11	hearings 21:18	44:4 106:12
7:16 8:19 9:1	26:4	·	24:15 30:9	225:2
22:16 27:19	handful 108:16	18:11 21:10	33:10 138:6	holes 54:6 58:16
35:9 101:15		24:7 26:11,19 26:21 32:20	heart 33:13	130:5
121:2 126:14	handing 26:14 handle 30:4		heated 83:10	holiday 268:13
135:5 142:22		36:7 40:7 45:9	heavy 26:3	home 15:7
1	161:15 193:16	45:16 46:5,21	heck 230:11	homeowner
146:14 147:14	212:7	46:24 47:5,5	heed 45:6	12:12
148:9 153:11	handling 214:7	48:20 49:1	held 101:22	homes 22:6
163:24 200:2	236:12,22	63:17 64:6	152:21 153:1	36:15 71:16
239:13 240:15	237:8	68:4,9,12	199:21 271:10	hope 45:5 231:9
254:17 255:21	happen 38:19	79:15 85:19	Hello 154:8	235:11 259:19
263:7 266:10	149:3 242:7	86:2 98:7	help 16:18 19:3	hormones 240:3
268:10	happened 15:12	101:2,23	20:15 26:14	horrendous
grown 12:1	16:1	113:15,15,20	27:19 28:10	41:20
growth 27:9	happening	126:5 131:7	30:5 41:3	hose 130:17
guess 99:23	187:10	132:17 134:20	¹ ⁻ '79:24 193:18	hospital 29:7
123:9 158:20	happens 233:6	134:23 137:18	helped 69:24	hour 60:20
158:23 161:18	249:4	137:22 138:4	helpful 138:9	104:2 130:18
162:8 175:4	happy 269:1	138:24 139:14	helping 71:14	251:21
178:19 187:15	hard 18:15	139:21 153:5	140:10	hours 76:3
231:3 238:7	82:11 120:23	153:18,24	hereinafter	101:5 199:13
guidance 157:10	241:22	166:4,19	163:3	221:17
158:12,24	harm 25:4	178:11 179:21	Hey 187:19	Howard 4:4
159:1,8,14	harvesting	180:2 181:21	high 25:3 29:9	11:10,13
161:23 162:6	25:23	185:21 186:6	52:12 55:14,19	Hudson 4:4,9
guide 88:22	Haven 21:23	188:10 190:21	91:11 93:15,20	11:10,13,13
guidelines 39:5	HAY 2:17	191:1 192:17	93:23 94:2,2	12:6 26:13,17
Gulf 262:16	Heacock 240:14	199:9,22	115:5 261:12	26:20,21,24
gut-wrenching	240:24 241:4,9	204:20 212:18	264:17 265:7	27:1 32:22
41:17	head 6:16 13:1	216:10,15	higher 152:3,4	huge 32:15
guy 193:18	29:6 30:20	218:4,7,16,21	Highland 42:14	human 56:9,12
	203:21 225:24	219:1,4 223:16	highly 43:6 44:7	58:6 62:10
H	headed 128:1	223:23 227:8	56:3	128:6
H 5:4 165:15	headwaters 16:3	227:12,13	hills 12:14	humans 34:20
191:7 219:6	health 192:7	235:22 240:17	hire 109:24	hundred 28:5,6
hairline 83:21	Health's 219:24	244:17 249:7	hired 110:17	111:9 149:1
half 75:24 76:2	220:6,9	249:10 252:23	hog 17:23 18:13	240:19
87:2 100:20,22	healthy 147:5	259:11 263:17	31:5,11 222:16	hundreds 16:10
102:14 103:13	hear 30:1 64:6	263:20 264:5	hogs 11:17	37:18 52:2
103:18,22	heard 10:14	264:10 265:11	33:20 155:12	108:13 187:3
104:5 130:18	11:4 67:8	265:14,17	155:15	Hunter 21:23
		ŕ		
				I

husbandry	246:24 248:10	81:4,12,13,20	261:16,24	127:3 142:3
33:22	248:13 249:2,3	82:2 84:15,18	262:4,13,17,21	149:17 153:1
hydraulics	250:18 251:15	84:19,19,20,20	264:18,20	158:6 189:12
52:14	IEPA's 147:24	85:1 86:12,16	265:1 271:1,9	189:16 207:24
hydrogeology	219:17 221:3	86:21,23 87:2	271:18	208:1 262:20
48:5,16 49:8	247:3 250:15	87:4,12,12	imagery 49:19	imposed 42:6
66:1	251:7 264:22	88:9,9,10	109:18	imposed 42:6
hydrology 47:18	ignore 124:18	90:11 94:3	imagine 44:22	imposing 17.4
52:11 91:10	ignoring 111:21	96:22 98:18	118:24 119:4	42:17
	ILCS 69:17	108:10,14	immediate 6:12	impoverished
<u>I</u>	217:21	113:18 116:7,8	6:20	41:3
ICCAW 41:18	ILL 1:7 6:7	116:18 118:2	impact 22:13	improve 17:6
144:7 221:18	Illinois 1:1,14	127:16,16,17	192:6 260:22	20:7 25:20
ice 151:14	1:15 2:2,3,6,11	128:2 130:15	261:16 264:14	41:3 207:7
idea 27:15 30:9	2:13,19 3:4,8	131:18,20	264:16 265:5	improvement
99:24 161:20	3:13 6:2,22,23	140:18,19,24	266:13,17,24	34:2 151:18
163:20 198:5,6	11:15 12:13	142:3,13,14,24	impacted 41:9	inaccurate
213:3 239:4	14:5,21 15:9	143:15,17	impacts 265:8	143:7
241:7 242:1	17:7,17 18:1,1	144:2,12,15,17	impaired 43:6	inadequacy
248:24	18:6 19:13	145:1,1,14,17	44:7 265:2	178:21
identifiable	20:19,23 21:1	145:20 146:7,9	impermeable	inadequate
96:15	21:21 23:18,22	147:5,17	129:20,23	141:24 149:4
identification	24:2 27:1,2,6	148:14,24	impetus 158:17	175:22 179:1
- 85:17 112:23 ₋	27:16 28:18	151:6 154:23	implement	179:11,16
identified 61:6	31:6 32:12	156:4,9,9	137:8 159:6,7	incentive 225:3
63:5 142:9	35:18 37:1,3	157:8,16	159:8 201:3	inch 75:24 76:2
identify 15:4	37:24 38:14,17	159:21,21,22	implementation	100:21,22
96:16 128:9	38:21 39:8,16	159:23 160:13	145:4 146:6	102:14 103:13
143:1 144:6	40:22 41:6,9	162:21,21	implemented	103:18,22
164:10 183:1	41:10,12 43:3	163:7,11,12	156:4 159:3	104:6 120:13
184:5 209:14	43:21 45:3,5,8	164:3 166:14	253:10	123:8 250:16
209:15,16	47:15,17,23,24	167:22,23	implementing	251:22 254:9
IDOA 220:1,7	48:1,2,7,9	169:23 170:2,3	140:23 156:16	254:16
220:10,11,24	49:13,16,20	170:15 172:8	implication	inches 76:8,9,9
221:7	50:16,19,21,24	172:18 173:15	259:24	92:20,23 98:22
IEPA 15:12	51:2 52:20	174:11,14	implications	98:22 99:21
16:16 39:19	53:9 54:17,18	176:24 177:12	259:18	100:11 102:16
48:1 141:1	54:23 56:1	177:23 178:3,7	implying 241:11	102:18 107:3
147:4,11 148:5	57:20 59:7	181:7 193:11	importance	107:11,24
148:12,13	60:5,12 61:4	200:15,18	141:12 197:21	120:5,16 129:4
150:12 152:24	61:14 62:9,22	212:1 216:21	206:21 207:22	129:6 133:2
170:6 172:9	63:11,14,16	217:4,6,21	important 18:5	249:17,19
176:6 196:23	65:18 67:10	219:24 228:12	18:17 20:19,24	250:16,21
198:21 215:23	69:8,8,14,20	229:2 234:4,12	21:6 22:7 23:8	254:13
219:22 220:23	69:21 70:11,15	234:15 237:15	23:17 33:19	Incidentally
222:6 223:4	70:16 71:19	238:2,4 240:14	55:7 56:1	114:23
224:10,13	72:24 75:15,17	243:16 244:10	61:21 70:24	incipient 62:20
231:13 235:10	80:3,5,7,8,13	248:19,21,21	71:4,7 72:21	include 48:18
235:11,15,16	80:14,15,17	250:4 260:2	73:1,17 126:20	53:16 54:2
PLEASE TO SHIP HE BETWEEN THE STORY OF THE S			- VANGUA - CONTRACTOR	

				Tage 231
55:11 56:9	143:23 156:19	32:13 38:22	233:5,6 234:3	138:16
62:17 72:17	171:22 180:14	42:10 116:20	234:6	interrupt 101:3
82:13 90:18,22	227:21 228:15	137:6 143:8,11	inspector 185:6	199:11 204:21
148:12 220:10	indicated 63:22	143:13 144:3	198:11	206:15 223:17
263:4	65:3 67:6,16	144:19 145:3		
included 11:23	69:3 70:15,23	145:22 146:5,9	inspectors 140:12	interruption 48:23 68:13
79:7 144:9	79:16 101:16	146:16,18		
223:20 237:1	131:10 162:19	147:3 166:10	inspired 41:23 instance 50:14	introduce 6:11
250:17	163:13 199:10	170:9 172:24		Introduction
includes 50:1	199:24 233:5	175:8 194:10	129:6 187:15	47:14 50:17
52:21 69:4	268:18,22		203:19 246:3	Introductory
including 49:20	indicates 87:7	207:4,5 208:16 224:11 231:10	instances 186:22	4:3
50:3 54:4	1		198:18 211:5	inventories
I	229:13,14	informed 37:20	225:21 226:9	164:21 168:9
146:17 149:4	230:15	ingesting 56:22	Institute 47:16	203:4
160:7	indicating 7:22	initial 258:7	48:8 63:15	inventory 27:21
incomplete	47:8 190:22	initially 123:23	insufficient	142:7 144:20
169:7 175:23	231:10,20	124:1 184:1	62:24	145:18,23
220:7	248:4	254:22	insure 202:24	161:12 163:11
inconclusive	indication 46:10	inject 253:15,22	intact 83:12	163:16,22,22
171:23	266:6	253:22 254:14	intakes 196:11	164:3,19 165:7
inconsistent	indicative 55:17	injected 18:22	196:15	166:10,12,13
19:17 143:7	indicator 57:21	injection 181:18	integral 133:8	166:14,15,24
incorporate	61:16 88:22	181:19 182:3,6	146:6	167:5,9,12,13
253:13 255:3	96:1 111:2,6	253:14	integrator 42:12	167:18 168:17
incorporated	indicators 57:24	innovators	integrity 106:14	168:18,23
182:7 253:16	61:22 62:16	33:21	intended 10:2	169:4 170:4
253:23 255:2	63:6 95:17	inputs 20:21	146:2 248:12	173:23 174:15
incorporation	111:7 127:22	127:15	263:8 265:23	174:22,24
76:16 181:18	128:4	insist 158:20	intent 35:16	175:2,6,11,18
182:3	individual 175:8	inspect 155:21	107:6 108:5	175:20 176:7
incorrect 59:12	individuals	inspected	145:18 161:8	176:13,19,19
249:3	39:22	155:22,23,23	175:16,17	177:2 178:17
increase 106:17	Induced 113:17	216:23 234:7	237:12	178:20,23
increased 30:18	industrial 13:18	248:23	interest 11:1	179:1,5,10,16
149:20 238:12	13:20 36:18	inspecting	34:5,18 42:7	179:19 203:6
increases 151:15	37:2 41:11	155:19 234:16	48:22	207:4,5 219:16
incredible 44:23	164:8,8	248:22	interested 254:8	219:17,22
independent	industry 30:5	inspection	interests 36:4	220:19 223:11
156:17 170:8	35:6 160:7	148:13 156:7	interim 145:18	invested 22:11
170:20 171:12	211:11	186:3 217:6	146:3	23:12
174:8	infiltrating	234:18 235:10	international	investigation
independently	51:18 83:16	248:14 249:4	35:21	71:4,7 73:10
167:14	infiltration	inspections	internet 112:16	143:3 144:11
Indiana 29:15	58:14 251:19	141:7 144:21	113:10	150:14 235:15
80:9 140:18	infiltrations	152:24 154:20	interpretation	235:16
154:23 212:24	251:23	190:12 208:23	150:11	investigations
indicate 9:8,16	information	211:16 217:4	interpreted 38:1	16:18 61:8
65:6 70:24	9:20 14:8	225:14 231:17	38:14	71:1 216:21
93:13 96:6	16:15,17 30:15	232:17,23	interrelations	232:3,4,6
				, , , -
PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF TH				•

233:19	Jessica 3:5	57:22,22,24	123:11 137:10	111:5,14
involved 11:18	126:12	58:3,12,14	keep 26:3	116:12 118:11
35:2 38:24	jewel 16:9	59:12,21 60:7	111:19 158:7	118:15,23
140:9,12	Jo 36:16 68:18	60:15,16,19,23	200:8	119:7 120:22
involves 184:13	68:22,23 69:4	61:6,9,16,16	keeping 189:15	121:16 122:4,6
involving 61:8	71:11 72:3,8	61:23,23 62:1	Kelly 128:1	122:8 123:2,2
Inwood 28:3,19	74:8,13 77:12	62:5,16,21,22	KEN 218:16	123:7,8 124:8
29:11	77:15 78:18	63:6,7 66:2,4	Kendall 4:16	124:19 125:24
ions 51:23	80:17 86:15	66:12,14 67:9	7:17 17:18	129:16 130:11
IPCB 39:19	94:5 108:23	67:24 68:18	142:12	133:18,20
irresponsibility	109:3 118:1	69:2,14,21	Kenneth 229:3	136:6,7,23
15:16	137:15 267:15	70:11,14,18	Kentucky 80:9	137:3,5,5
irresponsible	268:2 269:4	71:2 72:11,23	100:4 127:18	143:18 144:1
14:13	270:3	73:5 74:2,12	kept 15:23	161:8,11
Island 222:1	Joanne 2:14	77:16 89:21,24	key 158:23	163:21 164:24
issue 30:19 36:4	79:21	90:6,6,9,15,17	kids 17:18	165:23 166:23
72:1,6 139:22	job 211:23	90:21 91:6,9	kill 14:22,24	172:23 174:12
166:5 186:3	238:22	91:18,21,21,23	31:14 189:23	183:9,15,20
202:8,8 214:3	joint 92:8	92:1,4,6,7,7,17	193:16	187:2 189:5,6
266:13 267:11	161:23 202:9	92:21 93:1,17	kills 14:21	189:7 190:14
267:19	joints 51:19 52:3	95:18,18,24	kilometer 94:5	192:10 195:12
issued 140:17	52:17 61:17	96:1,4 97:1,4	108:13	197:6 200:20
160:12	89:7,8,12,15	97:17,22	kilometers	203:7 206:14
issues 10:22	89:16	104:10,19,23	55:13,14,15	208:10 210:21
46:6 141:7	Judging 118:23	105:16 106:6	93:21	211:14,23
231:1 266:8	judgment 46:13	106:17 107:14	Kim 3:12 44:4	215:7 217:8,10
269:22 270:8	jumped 180:4	107:16 108:4,5	kind 60:17 95:7	222:8,11,14,19
issuing 140:19	212:15	108:8,22 109:3	119:14,18	230:10 233:6,7
————	jurisdictional	109:5,10,22	135:19 143:23	237:21 239:24
J	162:10	111:2,2,11	147:3 189:1	240:1,6 241:3
J 1:11 2:4,14	Justice 28:23	114:5,14 116:4	211:13 223:9	241:22 242:2,5
227:22 255:6		116:7,17,21,24	228:7 239:10	250:3 251:10
James 4:17,22	<u>K</u>	117:5,17	260:22	252:8,12 253:9
7:16 31:17	K 165:15 191:7	126:16,17	kinds 177:5	253:21 254:11
138:7,23 147:9	219:6 271:3	127:9,15	187:9	255:14 256:20
147:10,15	Kammueller	128:20 129:19	knew 27:17	258:16,16
186:5,6,8,16	31:18 32:3	129:24 131:4	195:14 222:8	261:12 262:24
227:19 228:4,5	Karen 4:9 26:24	132:4,6,11	know 11:11 14:6	265:4,5
232:10,22	karst 12:14 16:2	134:10,13,14	18:21 43:23	knowing 242:3
234:2,22 236:2	39:6,11 47:18	136:11	49:5 69:20	knowledge 67:3
244:15,17,20	47:18,22 48:5	karst-like 67:18	73:11,14 76:24	170:24 173:18
247:20 248:8	49:20 50:1,3	karstic 53:6,8	78:21 81:12	178:16,18
257:5 260:15	50:11,16 51:13	karstified 49:16	89:22 94:13	179:4,9,15
263:4,23	52:9,14 53:2,5	55:1,22 59:6	96:19 100:1,4	194:5 200:17
264:14 265:19	53:7,17,22	60:10 70:6,8	100:20,24	201:2,5 244:19
January 254:3	54:2,9,11,18	73:9,15 74:19	103:21 104:5,8	knowledgeable
Jeff 4:10 33:5	54:20,23 55:10	77:9 108:15	105:19 106:13	37:13
Jennifer 2:7	56:3,5,21 57:4	Keefer 69:24	107:7 109:9	Knowles 3:12
6:15	57:6,8,16,20	75:14 103:20	110:1 111:3,4	175:16 186:12
HAR OF SPORTS (ALTER STONE SPORTS)	ONLY DE MINISTER PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF T			

197:14 206:13	37:21 39:9	lands 41:2 55:18	LaSalle 17:20	191:3,5 192:19
206:20 210:12	42:13 43:24	147:20	140:2 155:7	192:21 195:20
212:14,18,21	56:2,9 57:5	language 239:21	last-minute	200:7 210:17
215:11 216:9	59:14 63:1	246:18 247:6	209:24	214:4 216:11
219:4,11	70:3,4,6 78:3,4	250:3,4 255:13	latest 34:2	216:16 218:5,8
223:16,24	78:9,12,24	255:20	latitude 150:10	221:8 224:23
227:7 232:8	98:15 102:13	large 11:24 12:2	law 3:3 19:20	225:11 227:9
243:23 244:12	105:4,5,10,12	13:19 14:7	35:16 43:10	227:14 231:4
244:23 257:3	105:17,18	16:24 27:22	69:20,22	253:8
259:24 260:8	106:4,21	31:6 43:10	126:13 156:10	Leder's 204:23
268:16,20,21	107:23 108:2	44:23 52:5	157:9 158:2	left 6:12,15,21
known 12:14	109:10,17,22	54:3 55:21	160:17 162:18	9:7 12:9 36:11
43:7 223:3	110:3,18,22	60:22,22 63:4	162:21 163:6,7	102:11 200:7
knows 13:4	112:5,7 115:1	63:4 69:2,2	163:16 217:21	legal 14:23
143:17 212:1	124:23 125:7	70:4 72:2,7,22	230:21	231:1 233:13
243:18	128:19 129:3	77:21,21 78:2	lawful 188:8	259:20 260:11
	132:1,9 135:6	78:11,11,13	laws 12:3 16:18	legend 87:7,22
L	135:6,13,20	83:18 98:10	42:4	legislative 33:13
L 64:8 154:3,3	141:13,23	108:12,16	lawyer 229:24	length 120:2,3
165:15,15	146:21 149:14	116:1,4 120:6	layers 80:23	120:19 123:20
180:7,7 191:7	151:15 152:11	120:9,12,13	leachate 13:22	lessons 42:23
191:7 192:22	152:15,22	122:7 129:18	15:17,22 150:5	LeSUER 3:7
192:22 219:6,6	181:6,8,11	137:4 142:24	241:17 242:13	let's 49:9 78:3,5
253:1,1	183:5,10,11,19	143:18 144:3	- 242:19 243:9	88:8 101:11
L-E-D-E-R	184:5 185:7,17	146:4,8 149:23	243:20 244:6	111:3 128:8
140:2	186:14,14	152:8,13,16,16	246:22	228:10 244:22
La 155:10	190:8,10 193:7	155:23 167:15	leachates 29:15	245:4
labeled 68:17	193:13 194:14	167:24 169:9	lead 2:7 6:14	letter 31:19
lack 96:5 179:4	194:16 195:15	170:7 178:2,8	152:4 189:6	183:15 254:7
179:9 181:4	196:9,14,20	200:24 201:2,8	leading 15:19	266:22
lacking 61:2	197:17 203:8	201:15 202:12	29:16 33:20	level 93:14,15,15
lagoon 104:14	206:22 207:10	203:15 204:3	leads 16:4	106:3 143:16
104:22 105:1,3	207:10,16	206:8 222:8,11	leak 106:13	166:16 170:9
105:7,11,16,23	208:6 209:20	223:11 224:3,7	lean 139:23	levels 29:9 93:14
106:3,5,15	209:22 212:6,8	225:4,15 234:4	learn 41:2,5	207:5 210:7,9
112:12 186:24	213:5 215:1,3	255:18,21	learned 37:1	262:2 264:17
198:8,19 207:5	215:7,8 225:22	256:1,3,16	38:16 41:8	liability 42:14
213:21,22	225:24 226:4,5	257:1,7,15,22	42:2,23	liDAR 49:19
222:17	226:12,19,21	258:3,9,24	leaves 25:11	109:18
lagoons 44:5	235:3 237:13	259:1,3,6	leaving 138:10	lieu 166:12
106:7 112:13	237:16 238:5,9	large-scale 37:2	246:22	life 13:13 21:22
198:16 214:24	238:16 240:9	39:13 54:13	led 226:9 232:6	34:20
222:21	240:20 252:11	largely 210:5	258:22	lifelong 24:18
laid 257:6	254:1,24 255:5	larger 23:22	Leder 4:15,18	lifetime 25:16
268:23	257:3 260:23	83:18 136:18	7:16 138:8,22	89:17
lake 22:5,6 lakes 264:20	260:23 261:15	136:22	139:20,21	light 54:20
land 33:23 34:4	land's 54:21	largest 18:2	140:1,1 154:8	87:19 253:8
34:14,19 37:17	landforms 52:11	20:20 28:2,17	180:2,3,14	likelihood 74:20
1 27.17,17.37.17	91:10	29:13 123:15	181:21 188:11	75:11 78:22
	<u> </u>			<u> </u>
A THE PROPERTY AND ADDRESS OF THE PROPERTY ADDRESS OF THE PROPERTY AND ADDRESS OF THE PROPERTY	A CONTRACTOR OF THE STATE OF TH	AND STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE P	PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF TH	

91:20 104:16	42:23 57:7	living 34:4 35:17	64:21 66:12	261:10
104:24 105:20	58:21 88:9	44:9	78:3 87:23	losses 152:4
limestone 52:21	89:23 94:17	LLCs 42:20	88:18 95:24	lost 38:8
52:24 116:8,13	126:17 130:10	LLP 2:17	96:16,18	lot 32:7 62:3
limit 11:7 152:2	130:11 133:20	LMFA 16:22	103:19 109:12	83:4 108:15
152:5	182:23 211:23	19:24 20:3,5	109:14.15	117:16 122:8
limitation	214:14 251:22	31:20 38:1	111:7 113:3	125:15 190:12
121:20 263:5,7	258:4	93:5 148:6	114:7 128:3	192:11 211:5
limited 42:13	Liu 2:9 6:21	151:20 152:8	174:21 176:12	216:20 219:12
156:15 263:1	live 10:9 14:12	152:10 200:19	177:10 183:16	230:12 232:2
268:14	22:8 24:23,24	216:24 217:12	183:20 184:23	232:17 233:5
limits 38:3	lived 21:21	220:11	189:24 190:2	238:2 239:21
Lindsey 55:5,8	livelihood 24:6	local 33:19	194:7,8 195:22	246:20 247:4
55:16 93:17	lives 41:3	187:2 221:24	205:15 217:11	254:10,12
line 13:11 89:4	livestock 11:24	locally 56:1	222:18 231:4	262:4
101:7 117:19	18:5,14 19:6	locate 214:16	250:1 258:16	Louis 116:8
131:13 196:7	19:12,19,22	located 14:6	258:17 259:9	low 55:12,20
199:15 200:1	20:16,18,21	36:16 50:20	264:22 269:24	93:14,19,23
lineaments	21:5 22:3	143:1,19 144:1	270:2	104:18 234:17
49:23 54:6	24:21 27:6,8	148:24 161:13	looked 67:22,22	248:14
62:19	33:18 35:15	198:9,15 213:1	84:17 93:19	lower 151:12
liner 106:10	36:5 41:11	213:6 214:7,11	105:11 109:15	152:21
lines 54:7 62:20	43:15 68:21,23	221:18 222:9	117:8 118:21	lowered 251:20
102:19 112:17	69:10,16 78:1	241:19 242:21	118:22 127:14	lunch 101:24
113:17 114:11	78:7 98:15,19	243:14 244:8	135:17 141:7	102:3
114:12,20	99:2,5 103:13	245:14,20,22	141:10 187:6	
115:3 148:8,12	104:6 105:12	246:12 247:12	190:15 253:12	M
205:7,16	110:4 128:14	location 13:22	254:20 255:24	M 2:14 64:8
link 112:16	135:6,12	43:2 49:15	262:15	79:19 126:10
261:21	141:21 142:1	143:12 236:9	looking 68:16	131:14 132:22
liquefaction	144:18 146:21	270:4	73:12,13 75:16	135:2 166:21
89:9		locations 117:24	78:20 109:2	169:1,15
liquid 12:18,21	149:12 150:13	142:8 146:10	115:8 116:13	170:17 173:8
12:24 18:21	150:22 151:13	161:10 225:19	116:19 117:6	173:19 175:24
50:10 57:5	156:10,13	236:8	118:23 119:18	177:7 178:13
63:1 76:10	157:7,9,17	loess 51:1	121:17 123:4	180:11 182:9
100:21 102:24	162:9 186:9	logs 88:20	193:17 243:6	188:12 191:11
103:1,19 125:7	196:9,20	long 11:2 39:22	248:17 251:10	193:2,20
128:19 129:3	200:14 203:1	43:17 47:2	258:20	197:13 199:1
135:12	207:6 210:21	57:21 89:14	looks 66:19	200:4 206:19
Lisa 28:20	214:5,6 217:22	95:17 120:14	114:17 115:10	210:13 212:20
list 25:3 29:24	234:10,13	122:18 135:21	117:18 190:4	213:24 215:10
47:2 146:16	235:2,4 236:8	182:6 200:8	208:3	216:17 217:18
152:1	236:11,12,21	201:19 232:10	loophole 43:9	219:10 227:22
listed 221:7	236:22 237:7,8	236:6	Lori 1:12 271:6	230:2 233:23
literally 187:3	240:17 246:20	longer 40:16	271:17	234:20 236:3
literature	248:15,19,20	74:8 120:21	lose 232:14	253:5 255:6,10
148:16	255:19 260:18	longtime 32:3	losing 60:2	264:12 M.S 63:13
little 40:16,17	260:24	look 28:1 44:3	loss 94:22	171.60 03.13
ı		1	1	I

ma'am 65:9 71:5	maintenance	22:24 25:12	57:5 63:2	match 27:9
71:8 95:20	203:6 208:4	150:4 189:20	77:14,22 78:15	material 57:16
119:13 120:17	major 50:22	212:3	78:17 103:1	60:9 73:12
machine 271:10	51:2 61:13	Manning 2:20	126:23 127:5	74:9,14,18,18
macropore	majority 229:9	44:16 47:4,6	129:20 149:22	74:24 81:7
62:21 119:16	making 23:16	64:1,5,12,15	150:3,12,18	88:5 100:11
120:1,20,24	43:14 145:13	68:14,15 79:12	207:10,16	104:19,23
121:3,6,21	159:14 174:10	79:16 89:22	209:19 211:18	105:24 106:10
122:13,15	235:11 245:1	101:16 124:11	215:6 224:12	107:3,7,11
123:3 130:19	246:20 248:9	131:9,12,15	225:1,3,18	108:1 128:21
132:2,10	259:17	132:15 137:18	241:14,17,18	249:18,20
134:17 136:13	man 62:3	137:21 153:9	242:20,21	materials 55:2
136:17,20	manage 18:19	153:13,19,20	243:13 244:6,7	56:8,15 61:1
macropore-free	19:9 208:21	154:1,7 162:16	245:22 246:12	62:23 63:7
122:20	247:5	165:14,22	246:23 247:1,5	70:9 73:8,9
macropores	management	166:3 169:2	247:12 248:10	76:13 81:11,12
54:14 57:10	17:5 19:7,19	176:1 179:21	249:15,16	82:13 92:2
58:15 59:23	20:9,11 22:12	179:24 180:3	254:14 261:8	104:10,17
75:3,9,12,22	22:20 23:9	180:12 181:22	map 44:3 49:20	111:19 215:5
76:11,17,21	30:8,10,13,24	182:1,8,12	54:17,22,24	matrix 75:21
97:20 98:5	43:15,20 44:2	185:21 191:2,4	66:19,20,21	103:4,6 120:7
100:12,14	69:11,16 78:8	191:12 192:15	67:1,2,16,21	matter 1:3 6:5
102:24 111:22	141:18,23	192:18 193:3	68:6,16 79:4,6	25:21 68:2
119:11,12	146:24 148:6	199:2 215:10	79:7,8,10	103:9 104:1
120:4 121:23	152:9,18	216:14 217:19	82:16 83:1	192:19 229:19
122:11,23,24	156:13 157:2,8	218:3,12,15	84:22,24 85:2	Matthew 4:11
124:2,11,19,23	157:10 158:14	227:11,17	85:4,6,7,23	36:14
125:3,5,23	183:2 184:4	228:2 230:17	86:9 87:6 88:2	maximum 252:1
130:4,11,17	186:23 187:5,8	231:2 232:12	88:12,19 93:13	mayor 36:24
131:3,17,23	190:1 200:24	232:16 233:4	93:23	38:5 40:5
132:4 135:7,14	201:4,9,16	233:17,22	maps 118:6	McHenry 3:8
135:16,23	202:3,13,16,17	234:21 235:19	marble 52:24	McLean 15:1
136:1,22 137:1	202:18 203:5	235:23 247:17	March 6:24 7:1	McPhillips
137:2,4,12	207:1,3,21,22	247:20 249:8,9	266:22	36:23 40:4
macroscopic	207:23 208:8	263:21 264:1	margin 80:15,17	mean 80:5 82:9
56:8	208:11,12,20	267:18,20	86:24	83:6 85:5
Madigan 28:21	209:1,7,9,13	268:7,22	margins 50:21	86:14 88:4
magnesium	214:6,24	269:19	80:14 83:18	89:23 95:10
51:22	216:22,24	Manning's	marine 83:9	96:17 97:9,13
magnitude 38:2	217:7,12,15,23	95:15 192:18	mark 85:12	104:15 111:14
mailbox 269:9	221:6 226:4,13	manure 12:21	marked 5:5	116:22,23
maintain 22:15	227:1 228:20	12:22,24 13:7	85:15 86:3	118:24 120:5
71:14 149:13	236:11,21	13:21 18:17,22	112:21 113:3	120:21 125:14
maintained	237:7 257:11	20:2 22:23	113:21	130:20 135:9
150:4 187:20	257:16	23:6,10,14,16	marketplace	167:19 181:10
maintaining	manager 140:7	25:11,13,17	35:21	185:15 189:21
35:4 143:10	managing 44:24	28:18 29:5	marking 112:19	193:14 202:3
maintains	manmade 22:6	31:4 37:18	mass 13:21	208:10 213:9
106:14 220:5	manner 18:16	50:5,10,12,14	massive 78:22	214:20 217:13
, = ,				
Between Commissions on the miles and the control			ALCOHOLIS DE LA CONTRACTOR DE LA CONTRAC	

				<u>_</u>
226:24 232:22	10:2 18:10	Mike 229:3	199:17	269:2,17
235:6 236:17	33:8 36:20	mile 43:18 44:6	mirror 35:12	motivation
248:13 253:16	40:6 142:17	44:9 94:4	mischaracteri	39:20
253:20,24	221:18	miles 37:11	132:13	mouth 16:7
254:5,16	memorized	44:10 60:20	misidentifying	move 9:18 32:24
256:24 259:5	69:23	198:16	58:11	83:3 89:3
261:7	mention 76:7	milk 22:11	missed 220:15	113:13 119:10
meaning 121:9	156:12	24:20	missing 152:1	147:7 193:5
means 32:6 70:2	mentioned	milking 21:24	Mississippi	197:11,15
94:10 110:10	18:12 75:2	22:1	50:20 80:16	206:13
183:15 206:3	80:3 97:2	millimeter	87:5	moved 85:14
271:9	108:11 110:11	136:14 137:4	Missouri 100:5	229:19 232:7
meant 96:20	119:11 136:14	millimeters 28:5	127:17	movement 51:10
133:5 196:4	179:2 203:10	89:18 119:17	mistaken 106:8	55:23 56:6
measuring	209:7 221:9	119:24 120:12	204:22	75:19
123:18	258:8 264:14	120:16 121:24	Mitchell 251:24	moves 52:4
meat 27:7	Mercantile 2:17	136:21	mitigated 136:2	moving 6:17
mechanism	merely 82:10	million 89:13	mix 33:17 45:1	46:1 53:1
169:6 176:13	269:13	millions 37:18	model 111:15	89:10 194:12
230:20	merits 10:4	mind 10:11 26:3	modes 75:19	237:13 247:16
medium 55:13	Messes 27:14	43:13 158:7	modes	multiple 22:22
55:20 78:14	met 171:15	196:19 204:16	159:16,20	43:16 44:5
93:15,20,23	176:20	232:19 237:6	194:24	110:21 148:8
94:2 202:14	metais 240:4	mine 44:21	moisture 114:22	148.12
meet 17:14 24:4	meters 51:1	128:1	moment 6:10	municipal 1:14
144:13 145:15	58:17 130:7	minerals 51:21	9:14 221:8	59:2 127:20
146:12 158:13	methane 23:13	minimal 22:13	223:17 264:10	municipalities
177:2,4 203:24	method 197:10	38:10	money 30:5 45:1	53:15 60:15
259:9	197:10 254:15	minimally 42:6	monitor 181:10	murky 161:24
meeting 171:21	Mexico 262:16	minimize 240:3	226:8	mysteriously
178:19 179:1	Michigan	242:3	monitored	15:23
205:24 217:9	140:18 154:23	minimizing	181:13	
meets 16:22 73:5	188:21 231:5	23:15	monitoring	N
202:17,19,22	254:19,20	minimum 63:6	210:7,8	N 2:1 3:1 4:1
mega-dairy 37:2	microbial 51:16	133:24 136:17	monthly 16:10	64:8,8 79:19
melt 50:12,14	microphone	144:14 148:22	months 173:16	79:19 126:10
51:11 83:16	10:23 11:11	149:9 150:19	175:1 210:19	126:10 131:14
member 2:7,7,8	17:13 26:14,22	183:5 195:11	211:18,20,21	131:14 132:22
2:8 6:14,15,17	32:23 40:10	199:8 210:19	morning 6:1,12	132:22 135:2,2
6:18 147:24	45:22 138:12	211:9 212:13	6:20 21:16	154:3 165:15
188:13 190:19	139:23	215:15,24	22:1 24:13	166:21,21
210:14 214:1	microphones	240:7	26:10 64:13,14	169:1,1,15,15
252:21 253:6	10:9	Minnesota	79:21 128:17	170:17,17
255:11 257:9	mid 75:15 83:14	54:15 57:18	266:1	173:8,8,19,19
258:15 259:13	middle 32:22	140:17 154:22	mother 12:12	175:24,24
259:21 260:9	Midwest 188:17	minute 165:3	motion 10:5	177:7,7 178:13
260:13 263:16	midwestern	minutes 11:7	85:22 86:1	178:13 180:7
263:19	50:2	40:17 85:11	113:16 235:14	180:10,10,11
members 2:6	migration 56:15	93:7 168:6	268:11,23	180:11 182:9,9

188:12,12	112:1 153:21	248:5	57:9 59:2	182:15,16
191:7,10,10,11	191:14 230:15	needs 11:24	126:18 128:4	notify 181:8
191:11 192:22	231:11 238:23	16:14 19:24	nitrates 126:22	188:24,24
193:1,1,2,2,20	near 15:7 21:13	25:15 39:19	127:4 133:18	209:23
193:20 197:13	29:5 43:3	143:13 152:5	nitrogen 150:23	November 27:12
197:13 199:1,1	45:21 53:17	161:15 183:20	151:1,4 224:9	267:15,22
200:4,4 206:19	56:2 111:18	184:18,21,22	224:17 260:17	268:6 269:4,5
206:19 210:13	221:18	185:17 193:7	261:14 262:17	269:13 270:1
210:13 212:20	nearby 50:6	193:13 253:23	262:18	NPDES 17:4
212:20 213:24	57:2 60:11	negative 264:16	NIU 191:17	140:14,15,17
213:24 215:10	151:17 222:24	negatively 265:8	non-binding	140:19,23
215:10 216:17	nearly 42:17	negotiate 172:20	30:11	143:3 144:9,13
216:17 217:18	necessarily	neighbor 28:24	non-farmers	144:24 145:7
217:18 219:6,9	176:23 197:9	31:9	34:11	145:11 146:6
219:9,10,10	202:15 254:17	neighbor's 13:9	non-fractured	156:17 159:17
230:2,2 233:23	necessary 57:17	neighbors 11:23	107:17	163:6 172:15
233:23 234:20	104:11,12,13	12:4 22:9,14	non-karst 54:19	187:16,17,17
234:20 236:3,3	133:11 143:8	25:5,19 31:24	56:14 106:8	188:9,22
253:1,4,4,5,5	144:5 164:21	neither 45:16	107:16	189:13,14,17
255:9,9,10,10	need 12:2 24:2	86:2 113:20	nonprofit 36:16	224:24 257:23
264:12,12	27:7,9 30:2,7	222:12 227:12	north 2:12 87:4	NRCS 157:11
name 6:3 11:13	30:18,23 31:12	266:5 267:9	116:17 228:21	158:15 219:19
12:11 17:16	32:6 46:23	269:23	north/south	nuisance 25:17
21.16 24:17	102:7 111:7	Nelson 4:6 17:12	222:23	number 6:8 24:1
26:24 33:4	116:20 142:6,7	17:15,16,16	northeastern	85:20 93:20
36:14,23 40:20	142:23 151:7	21:10	84:20	98:2 100:7,8
47:14 79:21	158:7 160:4,8	net 252:2	northern 23:22	120:24 134:4
140:1 142:12	161:6 163:22	network 3:15	40:22 80:16	140:13 143:11
147:15 169:20	172:19 175:21	60:18 136:23	127:16 142:13	146:19,20
170:12,14	181:13 182:4	147:17	northwest 12:13	148:2 149:3
192:8	182:24 184:6	never 41:4 96:19	39:8 116:17	151:23 155:14
named 93:17	185:6,11	117:3 130:19	northwestern	155:23 186:21
names 42:16	186:13,14	242:7 248:23	37:2 86:16	198:7,14,17
146:17	205:21 209:22	new 68:22 69:10	87:12 113:18	205:11 207:2
nano-scale	213:3 233:21	71:3 79:6	127:17	208:13 225:21
54:14	234:12 242:12	194:9 202:19	nose 62:2,4	226:8 232:4
narrow 16:17	243:19 246:8	214:5,15	notary 1:12	233:19 251:3
national 35:19	246:10,13	236:11,12,17	271:18,23	251:10,17
48:13 140:10	252:16 257:7	236:21,22	note 9:6,17,24	numbers 82:5
141:15 147:19	261:7 262:5,7	237:7,8	46:7 218:8	177:13 241:21
160:19	269:22	newer 67:2	notes 271:12	numerous 29:4
nationally 27:4	needed 27:24	79:10 242:10	notice 109:13	60:2 264:20
native 34:16	106:3 146:10	news 133:16,17	184:11 210:6	nutrient 17:5
natural 19:1,9	150:8 241:16	night 27:12	noticeable 59:14	20:11 22:20
21:5 37:4,8	242:19 243:8	nine 7:21,24	noticed 23:20	100:9 146:24
38:7 62:17	243:13 244:5	45:12 53:11	65:2,23 191:24	157:2,8 158:14
nature 13:23	245:2,4,5	67:14,15 72:23	notices 230:12	184:4 186:23
25:24 53:5	246:18,19,21	266:1	231:14	187:4 190:1
68:24 72:16	247:7,22,22	nitrate 55:17	notification	200:23 201:3,8
AND THE RESERVE OF THE PERSON	A PARTICULAR PROPERTY AND A PROPERTY	AND THE RESIDENCE OF THE PARTY		The state of the s

201:15 202:3	236:3,3 250:22	224:11,14,24	219:1,4 223:16	241:13 244:4
202:13,16,17	253:1,4,5,5	225:12 226:11	223:23 227:8	249:1 251:6
202:13,10,17	255:9,10,10	227:5	227:12 235:22	252:21 255:16
203:5 207:1,14	1 ' '	offer 7:22 11:9	244:17 249:7	
	264:12,12		I	257:10 260:9
207:21,22,23	271:3,3	33:14 45:14	249:10 252:23	263:1,12
208:8,11,12	o'clock 1:16	139:3 265:23	253:18 259:11	Olson 2:14
209:1,7,9,13	oath 218:11	265:24 266:3	263:17,20	79:20,21 85:12
217:7,15 221:6	object 165:22	offered 20:13	264:5,10	85:20 86:3,8
226:3,13 227:1	199:13 244:12	270:8	265:11,14,17	101:2 102:3,6
240:4 257:10	objection 85:24	offering 81:2	266:5 267:9,13	102:10 113:1,8
257:16	113:19 268:15	142:21	267:21 268:4,9	113:13,21
nutrients 13:8	268:16,18,20	offhand 104:8	268:17,21	114:3 126:5
18:20,23 22:22	268:22,24	134:18 136:20	270:4	132:18,20,23
25:21,22 44:2	Objective	office 143:5	official 32:3	134:19,21
99:9,17 105:13	177:14,21	148:15 181:7	Oh 81:6,9 82:21	137:19 166:18
133:17 203:1	objectives	182:16 184:11	89:17 103:11	166:19,22
207:18 224:16	170:21	209:8 248:3	162:8 166:3	175:5 178:11
238:22 239:7	obligation	officer 1:11 2:4	Ohio 140:18	178:14 179:20
	226:21	4:3,24 6:1,4	154:23 200:11	190:21,23
0	obligations	7:10 12:6 17:8	okay 13:17,19	192:20 193:21
O 64:8 79:19,19	146:13	17:11 18:11	40:14 47:12	197:11 199:9
126:10,10	oblique 114:8	21:10 24:7	49:6,9,14	199:24 200:5
131:14 132:22	obscured 59:14	26:11,19,21	66:17 67:12,20	201:23 202:4
132:22 135:2,2	observable 96:8	32:20 36:7	70:2 72:20	204.20,24
154:3 166:21	observations	40:7 45:9 46:5	79:10,12 81:21	205:4 206:16
166:21 169:1,1	119:23	46:21,24 47:5	81:24 82:4,8	216:15,18
169:15,15	observe 222:2	48:20 49:1	85:5 87:19	217:16 218:16
170:17,17	observed 77:23	63:17 64:6	88:24 99:3	218:20 230:3
173:8,19,19	240:18	68:4,9,12	103:11 110:12	235:24 236:4
175:24,24	obvious 58:2,8	79:15 85:19	111:3,16,18	244:2,21,24
177:7,7 178:13	59:18 108:8,23	101:2,23	113:7 115:11	247:16,19
178:13 180:7	obviously	113:15 126:5	124:5,18 125:6	249:10,12
180:10,11	120:22 229:20	131:7 132:17	125:11 126:2	252:19 260:6
182:9,9 188:12	268:18	134:20,23	134:9 136:15	263:22 265:15
188:12 191:10	occupies 80:8	137:18,22	153:20 155:1	265:16
191:11 192:22	occur 89:9 99:7	138:4,24	162:16 163:9	Olson's 85:22
193:1,2,2,20	occurred 14:7	139:14,21	163:19 165:3	113:16
193:20 197:13	15:20,21 67:23	140:3 153:5,18	174:17,17	on-site 221:7
197:13 199:1,1	240:20	153:24 166:4	175:12 177:15	once 26:9 31:18
200:4,4 206:19	occurring 223:3	166:19 178:11	178:5,10	102:24 181:24
206:19 210:13	246:6	179:21 180:2	183:18 184:19	199:11 215:13
210:13 212:20	occurs 91:3	181:21 185:21	184:20,22	221:15,15
212:20 213:24	October 1:16	186:6 188:10	190:4,6,16,17	one-quarter
213:24 215:10	7:7,9,11 15:20	190:21 191:1	194:12 196:6	43:18
215:10 216:17	46:9 47:13	192:17 199:9	197:11 200:22	ones 84:9 120:6
216:17 217:18	90:3 148:11	199:22 204:20	205:13 212:16	122:7,8 123:7
219:9,10,10	267:17	212:18 216:10	212:22 214:17	220:5 221:18
230:2,2 233:23	odor 23:15	216:15 218:4,7	221:9 226:11	221:20 222:7
233:23 234:20	off-site 224:5,8	218:16,21	237:5,13,24	223:12 265:6
	11 5140 22 1.5,0	210.10,21	201.0,10,27	223.12 203.0
		l	1	<u> </u>

0.700.15	150 (150 10			1
open 9:7 22:15	178:6 179:18	70:23	overlying 50:23	45:24 46:5,20
34:13 155:24	197:3 201:7	Orwellian 53:4	51:17 57:16	46:22 47:9,12
196:10,15	214:21,21	ought 68:21	58:12 60:9	47:13,14 48:20
opener 42:11	238:14	157:24 183:3,4	63:7 73:8,13	48:24 49:6
opening 111:22	opportunity	185:13 189:9	74:24 95:1	53:19,19 55:4
111:24	18:7 21:8,19	193:14 223:12	104:19,23	59:5 63:13,17
openings 83:18	24:15 26:10	outcrops 49:23	oversaturated	64:13 65:17
operate 17:19	33:5 63:9	54:4 62:18	235:4	68:6 69:7
18:12 20:4,17	142:3,17	109:12	overtopping	79:18,22 102:4
operated 16:23	147:12 181:23	outlined 61:11	213:21	113:2,9 114:4
17:22 34:3	183:16 240:22	118:3 144:15	owner 226:5	126:8 131:16
operates 42:18	262:24 266:16	outlines 150:21	owners 146:18	134:24 135:4
1 - 1	oppose 38:7	outside 143:17	178:1	137:20,22
	opposed 78:4	outstanding	owns 42:18,21	138:3
18:14 19:6	94:13	238:11,17	P	paper 93:16
	Optimally 63:3	over-applicati		papers 26:17
	option 269:15	28:13 29:4	P 2:1,1 3:1,1	47:20 148:3
33:11 248:15	269:15	over-applying	64:8	paragraph 80:4
	options 247:7	31:15	P.C 3:7	81:17 172:18
1 - 1	Oral 4:13,15,16	overabundance	P.O 2:12,18	205:6,15
37:17	4:17	13:8 264:15	pad 129:21	240:12,13
	orally 67:9	overall 261:11	150:8,16,19	250:12 251:17
	order 7:1,10,23	261:12 265:9	241:16 242:13	252:6
12:19 13:20	10:21 19.12	overapplied	242:15,19	parameter 55:7
34:12 39:14	81:1 102:2	226:10	243:8,13,17	pardon 68:13
43:10 50:6	128:18 138:22	overburden	244:5,11 245:2	123:24 157:19
141:21 142:21	139:4,16 147:3	60:6 74:18	245:12,15,21	234:6 250:21
144:4 146:10	158:11 159:16	81:5,11 87:10	245:24 246:3,4	251:4
147:21 148:22	161:15 169:7	87:11,16,21	246:8,10,14	Park 16:5
148:24 149:2	175:19 203:24	88:3,13 92:11	247:8 248:4	part 8:13,24
149:13 155:22	219:14 228:21	92:20,24 94:11	249:16	20:19 25:23
192:11 202:12	229:23 231:6	96:14 98:4	page 112:16	26:4 45:11
202:12 224:3	248:6 267:14	99:7,22 104:16	113:10 114:8	53:13 54:12
225:16 234:13	270:5	108:18	156:20 160:3	86:16 87:3,5
I I	orders 148:15	overflow 186:18	172:11,12	95:23 97:21
operator 42:12	228:22 229:12	198:8 210:9	177:11,13	120:7 133:8
157:17 186:9	248:1	211:7 213:17	180:13 205:12	137:24 139:8
1	Ordovician	overflowing	210:17 228:10	139:18 140:8
operators	50:20	15:24 149:5	229:1 234:22	150:3 155:4
	organic 25:20	187:1	240:11 250:11	159:17 171:16
	organization	overflows	251:15 261:20	177:17 180:22
opinion 56:23	18:2 147:18	198:19 213:13	263:3	183:19 190:7
r I	original 46:8	overlain 55:1	pages 4:2 177:13	209:21 227:10
96:21,24 121:5	47:20 48:15	70:8 92:1	260:15	233:1 236:20
122:13 124:22	49:4 66:20	overland 239:5	paid 16:12 71:21	248:6,7,17,18
133:23 134:9	68:10 74:3	240:17	71:23	257:6 258:11
136:7,10	79:5 90:2	overlay 97:23	panel 8:20	263:21
162:12,14	267:13 270:4	98:8	Panno 4:13,14	participants
166:5,23 167:4	originally 65:3	overlies 74:7	7:14 8:4 45:17	8:10 9:3 46:12

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
46:16 47:1	pathways 52:3,5	periodically	254:16,18	221:10,13
269:1,8,16,19	55:21 62:13,21	96:20 221:14	persons 7:21,24	222:5 223:19
270:6	77:9 96:12	periods 31:5	9:10,10 45:12	ľ
participated	105:8,21 112:4	pernous 51.5	146:22 266:1	223:20
140:22 154:19	114:14 125:16	152:16 258:9		phrase 259:15
particular 49:3	patience 63:18		perspective	physical 56:18
65:5 67:21	patterns 62:19	permeability 59:8 74:4	34:22 150:24 165:21	pick 17:14 139:24 199:15
70:14 139:16	Pearl 21:21			1
162:18 171:14	23:24	permeable 112:2 124:17	pertinent 148:3	picture 114:16
173:4 190:2	Pecatonica 37:6	124:21	petition 144:7,9 144:12	117:3,6
209:14,18	37:15,23		Petitioner 2:15	pictures 116:19
217:24 221:20	peds 75:24	permeating 239:5	1	· ·
221:22,23	112:3 124:16	permission	pets 29:7 PEW 14:17	pigs 44:9
252:6 261:2	peer 47:19 48:14	181:15 182:19	1	pile 29:20,21
particularly	pending 228:12	184:13 188:19	phenomenon 114:24	80:10
10:11 61:2	pending 228.12 penetrate	209:6,23		piles 129:20
62:11 63:19	254:13	1 '	Philip 4:6 17:16	pilot 118:2
74:13 83:7		permit 13:17	phone 27:12,13	171:5 173:17
141:9 149:17	people 22:8 31:1	17:1 160:4,9,9	27:24 28:14	174:12,21
156:23 157:1,7	41:9,15 61:24 95:24 101:10	160:22 162:22	183:14 190:4	175:2,10
197:7 198:14		163:2,6 187:16	phosphorous	176:11
217:10 223:13	118:19 148:18	187:17,24	260:17,19	pin 231:1
1	186:22 187:4 190:13 229:2	188:9 189:14	261:14 262:2,5	place 7:5,6,8
225:14,15 parties 35:8		189:17 224:24	262:7,9,11,17	16:9 20:10
146:23 208:14	247:4 253:20 265:7	permits 17:4	263.7 264:21	26:6 45:19
228:23	Peoria 27:1 28:1	51:5 140:15,17	264:22 265:5,8	71:11 94:6
partings 51:5	29:5 31:18	140:19,23	phosphorus	106:15 150:18
61:18	32:11	152:21,23 160:12 164:3	150:23 151:2,4	187:8 189:11
partner 44:15	percent 23:4,20	188:22	151:8,10,11	193:17 225:10
44:19	23:23 50:18		224:9,17	256:21 264:16
parts 47:24	53:4,11,11	permitted 60:23 143:12 152:17	260:20 261:3,6	placed 121:20
61:14 63:11	54:21 67:10,14	257:2 259:1	261:10,13	149:23 175:7
80:9 108:14	67:15 72:23		262:10,18,22 264:15,17	places 87:17
197:23 212:23	81:15,16,20	permitting 140:15 144:21	265:1	88:14 226:1
partway 232:14	82:3,7,17,17	172:15		256:22
240:13 251:16	82:21,23 91:3		photo 209:13 photograph	placing 105:16
251:18	108:2 109:4	perpetuate 34:11	photograph 114:9	plain 87:5 plan 22:21,24
pass 11:2 47:10	222:2 224:4,8	persistent 34:9	photographed	30:10,24 157:8
93:8 112:19	224:15 234:17	person 10:17	photographed 118:1	170:21 171:3
passed 220:11	237:15,20	122:15 155:7	photographs	170.21 171.3
passes 100:10	238:5 262:1	183:16 184:22	28:19,20,21	172:3,0,9,13
passionately	percentage	188:4 243:18	32:10,12 114:5	1
192:10	108:7,22 109:1	244:20,22,23	115:19 118:5,8	173:4,6,11 174:18,22
Pat 16:11 24:19	108.7,22 109.1	245:1	122:5	174:16,22
pathogen 240:3	perfectly 100:1	personal 54:15	photography	183:2 184:1,4
pathogens	perform 22:22	57:18 178:15	49:19 109:18	190:1 194:10
238:23	period 30:3	personally	117:24	201:4,9 202:13
pathway 58:7	174:5 189:3	212:12 214:13	photos 28:10	202:16,17,18
77:13 132:5	221:16	214:22 230:10	143:20,21,23	203:5 207:22
		21 1.22 250.10	1 13.20,21,23	203.3 201.22
	I		1	<u> </u>

				
207:24 208:1,2	79:16 88:6	pore 121:7	potential 49:17	241.1.246.22
208:12 209:2,7	110:9 133:14	pores 83:24	75:8 77:9,12	241:1 246:23 250:18 261:20
209:9,13	136:10 162:7	Pork 160:19	126:21 127:3	268:2 269:24
216:23,24	170:5 187:7	228:21 234:15	207:14 222:4	
217:7,12,15,23	227:18 229:11	porosity 51:4,7	ľ	pre-filing
219:17 221:3	230:11 248:16	52:13 59:7	240:3 252:1,3	143:22 267:16
221:22 226:4	250:24 252:13	1	potentially 63:3	pre-treatment
226:13 227:1	265:22	83:4,5,24 84:8	248:4	164:7,10
257:16		84:12,16,21	poultry 155:22	preamble
	pointed 269:20	89:5 90:19,23	225:15	203:11 204:6,8
plane 51:5	pointing 223:19	91:5,12,14	pound 155:15	204:22
planes 51:10,19	237:11	92:16 96:7	pounds 151:3,8	precipitation
52:4,17 116:9	points 52:7	115:17,20,22	260:18,19	100:17 211:19
planning 30:2	72:10 228:8	portion 60:4	pouring 98:12	precise 88:21
plans 20:9 30:8	policies 41:7	87:4 98:23	power 254:11	preclude 54:10
30:13 42:13	147:22	194:12 230:14	practice 25:24	predeterminat
43:20 44:2	policy 3:3 22:16	245:23	201:9,9 202:19	10:4
141:19 146:24	34:13 126:13	pose 8:14 141:22	207:24 208:8	preexisted
152:9 157:2	126:20 127:3	143:20 224:22	208:11 224:21	156:11
186:23 187:5	politics 42:3	265:7	practices 14:14	prefer 223:10
200:24 207:1,8	pollutant 239:16	posed 10:1	19:1,5,8 34:7	Preferably
207:21 221:6	pollutants 43:6	position 48:7	34:14,19 35:1	119:19
257:11,11,17	56:19 111:10	72:9 128:18	35:17 76:16,17	prepared 8:21
plants 34:21	152:4 239:1	158:3 164:20	77:4,5 78:4,10	40:16 45:18
přay 33:19	pollute 39:18	164:23,24	78:13 147:23	138:6,18
please 9:24	polluted 38:16	169:10,12	151:23 152:2	153:11 199:10
11:21 17:15	150:1 151:24	175:20 176:2	156:22 157:24	200:3 217:12
21:14 24:11	polluters 42:6	176:22,23	187:8 201:16	227:20
26:3,15 33:1	pollution 1:1 2:2	177:3 233:13	202:3 203:9,12	preparing 23:18
36:12 40:12	2:6 6:2 12:20	positive 151:9	205:22 206:6	101:13
47:10 48:23	13:2,4,5,9 14:3	possession	207:3 209:1	prescription
72:5 114:4	14:7,11,13	219:19	239:14,22	242:2
132:19 135:1	16:14 18:10	possibility	Prairie 3:15	presence 54:11
166:20 170:13	19:22 21:17	111:22 131:10	47:16 48:8	76:11 102:23
195:21 196:8	24:14 26:1	possible 10:19	63:15 147:16	presence-abse
219:5 221:11	41:11 45:3,6	43:14 58:23	pre-approval	58:10
244:1,19	48:3 142:4	84:10,13	180:20 182:5	present 2:6 3:11
252:23 259:16	143:19 145:2	105:23 122:15	182:12	6:11,19 7:17
260:21	147:20 149:19	201:7,14 213:2	pre-approved	11:11 33:12
plight 42:1	150:13 158:3	213:5 238:4	180:15	52:24 55:22
plowed 96:18	159:22,23	242:9,12	pre-file 7:11	57:24 58:1,8
plowing 58:6	163:3,13	243:12 244:5	9:13 267:16	·
62:11	176:17 195:2	possibly 112:13	269:2,8	59:18 62:14,22
plug 133:13	228:13,17	123:19 174:16	pre-filed 7:13	80:11 100:14
plus 111:9 115:7	262:18	242:23 245:4	8:3,18 9:11	114:6 116:21
234:17	pond 15:23	poster 29:13	46:8 129:11	117:1,1,5
point 44:12	74:21	poster 29:13 postmarked		130:15 131:19
45:17 46:2,18	Poor 141:22	269:13	138:7,17 139:1	135:7,14
55:8 63:22			144:10 148:18	147:13 265:23
66:10 70:21	poorly 38:18	potassium	166:6 223:21	266:2
00.10 /0.21	populate 144:20	133:19	240:15,16	presentation
				<u> </u>
THE RESERVE OF THE PARTY OF THE	AND THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NOT THE OWNER, THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NOT THE OWNER, THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NOT THE OWNER, THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NOT THE OWNER, THE OWNER	WHAT STATE IS NOT THE REAL PROPERTY OF A STATE OF	TO BUILD HAVE BEEN AND THE STREET, AND THE WAY TO STREET,	A DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPERTY

29:14	143:2	10:22 46:6	products 56:11	properly 39:6
presented 148:8	priority 25:3	230:20 266:8	professional	properties 31:1
230:9	185:14,19	267:11 269:21	48:6,11 56:23	property 13:9
presenting	193:15,15	procedures	63:14 121:5	13:13 28:13
231:10	pristine 16:4	208:5	228:20	29:6
preserving	128:10,10	proceeding 6:5	professionals	proposal 6:24
34:19	Pritchard 33:3	6:8,14 9:18,23	22:19	7:2 10:5 26:2
president 11:15	36:6,9	46:12 113:22	professor	96:22 98:15
17:24 36:15	Pritchard's 33:6	163:12	142:13 191:15	121:1 122:14
press 261:22	private 50:13	proceedings	profiles 59:1	133:1 164:20
pressure 106:16	59:3 60:14	1:10 101:21	profitable 35:20	165:9 171:2,15
presume 236:18	118:2 127:20	144:17 145:21	profits 42:3	175:9,17
pretend 13:17	221:5	199:20 269:14	program 140:7	182:18 184:16
pretty 128:9	privileged 9:22	270:10 271:10	140:21 142:24	184:17 194:15
135:20 158:8	prized 16:5	process 14:23	144:9,13,14,24	194:16 195:13
prevalence	probably 30:1	35:7 76:4	145:7,9,12,17	195:17 196:1
225:12	44:5,8 53:3	117:23 119:4	146:4,4,7,11	196:23 219:13
prevalent	70:20 80:24	143:10 157:11	155:5 156:17	224:12 237:2
224:20	89:17 94:16	159:21 162:19	159:16,17,20	239:12 243:1,3
prevent 14:3	98:17 106:22	168:17 170:6,7	164:7 167:11	243:5,7,16
38:19 150:4	111:20 112:10	175:21 188:16	167:21 169:8	244:3,11 245:5
187:9 193:19	115:21 119:22	188:18 189:10	170:4 172:9	245:8,9,15
241:17 242:5	121:22 122:17	189:18 229:22	177:4 178:7	246:9,14
242:13,15,19	124:8 125:24	248:7	programs	247:13,14
243:9,19 244:6	130:21 133:16	produce 152:17	140:13 164:6	249:14 252:17
246:6,22	135:23 158:19	252:10	164:11 172:16	259:14 261:6
248:12	189:23 202:20	produced 13:22	prohibit 77:14	264:4,8 267:1
prevention	211:23 212:1	63:2 88:19	prohibited	proposals 209:5
19:22	problem 32:15	producer 33:20	128:21 129:21	propose 165:7
prevents 251:23	48:24 61:13	180:20 225:9	prohibition	236:11 249:15
previous 15:13	95:23 144:6	229:18,21	78:11 110:3	proposed 1:6
49:11 58:23	222:4,4 231:20	247:21 248:4	prohibitions	6:6 18:8 19:13
61:7 74:15	232:24 233:9	261:4	75:4	19:23 20:3
previously 11:15	233:18 248:11	producers 22:10	prohibits 129:3	33:15 35:3,10
89:4 103:8	249:2	32:4 35:15	project 27:5	35:11,24 37:1
194:3 244:4	problematic	36:6 144:19	171:5 173:17	47:22 56:24
price 155:15,16	71:2 197:8	234:15 246:21	174:12,21	57:3 61:13
primarily 75:7	232:5	producing	175:2,11,11	63:10 76:7
221:17 228:6	problems 31:21	252:10	176:11	106:24 128:24
primary 39:24	31:24 50:4	production	prompted	135:5,15 141:3
principle 141:17	60:8 72:11	11:19 12:2	232:24	145:14 148:10
printout 113:9	141:6,8 181:1	33:18 35:1	promptly	149:12 150:2,7
prior 18:20	198:18 211:6,8	141:11,23	101:24 199:23	150:21 151:1
51:18 185:6	213:19 220:20	149:10 197:23	pronounced	151:12,17,20
188:18 268:2	222:3 226:16	200:10 207:4	12:16	151:22 152:12
prioritize	230:8,15	211:21 213:4	proper 12:22	159:2 164:18
144:21 222:9	231:11 261:10	215:4 222:17	18:21 20:11	171:1 198:22
223:11	264:21,22	236:9,13	22:23 145:4	199:4 210:23
prioritized	procedural 9:19	productive 51:3	146:6 226:23	215:19 224:10
		The second secon		

250:5 251:2,24	90:6 238:22	publications	quantities 13:21	153:14,15,16
252:14 255:13	239:20	48:17 61:7	152:17	153:14,13,10
255:20 263:7	provide 16:17	published 47:19	quarries 49:22	160:5 179:22
266:17	21:4 29:18	49:14 237:22	54:5 62:18	191:3 192:19
proposing	38:11 56:17	262:14	73:21 109:12	192:21 200:1,6
146:15 159:22	57:12 62:24	pull 10:12 171:8	quarter 44:6	206:15 216:11
165:8 174:3	63:10 112:15	174:23	question 13:24	216:13 218:5
175:13 247:7	125:15 142:18	pulled 165:8	46:20 65:8	218:13,14,18
249:22 258:24	143:7 166:11	pumping 60:11	79:2 80:2 90:8	218:23 219:2
proposition 90:4	167:23 168:9	pure 37:12	93:12 95:15	224:1 227:9,15
prosecution	174:12 205:23	purple 15:11,19	102:15 103:8	227:19 228:3,5
188:1	210:23 237:18	purportedly	109:11 125:11	235:20 236:1
protect 12:3	239:15 244:18	235:4	127:1 132:8,13	241:1,5 249:11
19:1,4,9 20:7	269:5	purpose 228:14	132:14 161:19	249:13 255:12
20:16 31:13	provided 37:9	purposes 121:16	162:8,17 168:4	263:23 264:3,9
34:10,13 36:3	37:14 159:15	156:2 199:6	169:17 170:1	265:15,19
38:6 39:20,24	173:2 179:8	pursuant 69:10	171:7,14,20	266:9 270:7
128:18 149:20	192:9 220:3	70:13 78:7	175:4 177:10	quick 46:20
150:9	238:20 240:8	159:21 163:4	177:19 178:12	165:12 182:11
protected 14:19	240:14 241:4,9	230:19	181:22 186:21	195:18 213:2
41:6 42:8	243:8	put 9:14 26:17	188:11,14	266:8 267:10
149:10,18	provides 57:7	39:5 88:17	190:22 197:15	quickly 58:19
214:8,9	126:17 142:2	105:23 108:7	200:21 201:1	75:13 77:3
protecting 34:19	150:10 206:4	126:19 189:8	201:12,24	100:24 104:1
39:2 104:13	253:20	241:21 267:24	213:2 216:19	130:8 133:20
protection 2:11	providing 47:21	putting 111:17	224:22 229:4	193:5,6 194:5
6:23 8:12 9:4	49:10 86:4	262:8	230:1 232:15	quickness
21:4 39:15	184:11 228:14		233:1,3 236:7	267:21
40:3 48:1 57:8	252:4	Q	240:16,23	Quinlan 52:13
57:17 61:12	provision 43:14	qualification	241:2,13 242:9	52:21 61:11,24
62:24 92:11	162:21 255:1	65:24	242:18 244:1,9	90:3 91:1,19
104:11,12,15	provisions	qualifications	244:13,16	Quinlan's 90:9
106:3 126:17	152:11 194:23	49:7	256:9 259:4	90:17,21 91:16
140:4 141:16	218:1	qualified 165:23	questioning	Quinn 16:12
149:24 151:19	proximity 43:3	230:1	89:4 199:16	quite 23:14
162:24 170:15	223:12,13	qualifies 158:10	questions 4:14	133:10 149:23
207:13 260:3,3	254:3	qualify 60:6	4:18,21,22	194:6 224:6
266:14	public 1:12 4:4	152:9 158:11	7:19 8:10,11	231:15,17,22
protective 57:1	4:5,6,7,8,9,10	202:21 203:12	8:16,20 9:1,4	238:2 247:23
107:1,2,12	4:11,12 7:23	204:1	10:1,21 45:20	quote 42:16 90:3
108:3,4 110:5	34:12 36:18	qualities 158:9	46:19 63:24	95:16 150:8,9
129:1 132:9	41:6 192:7,9	quality 19:4	64:3,17 79:13	240:10
134:1,11	219:24 220:6,8	34:20 50:4	79:17,22,23,24	
147:22 149:16	221:4 266:20	57:2 79:1	89:21 101:7,12	R
152:5 153:2	266:21 271:18	130:2 141:22	102:4,8 124:12	R 2:1 3:1 79:19
197:4 215:20	271:23	149:18 150:24	126:3,7 131:13	126:10 131:14
216:5 249:20	public's 42:7	215:21 241:23	132:21 136:13	131:14 132:22
252:18	publication	261:10 262:8	137:20 138:19	132:22 135:2
proven 53:2	237:21	264:24 265:10	139:7 153:7,10	154:3,3 165:15
			,	

166:21 169:1	ranges 50:19	46:14,23 81:18	198:7	record 8:1,7 9:7
169:15 170:17	ranks 262:17	93:7 96:24	recall 21:13 85:2	9:22 10:3,18
173:8,8,19,19	265:1	135:11 139:2	93:10 99:3	32:10 33:7
175:24,24	Rao 2:9 6:20	172:12 177:20	133:7 136:19	36:22 40:19
177:7,7 178:13	134:22,23	196:7 205:9,17	237:23	46:6 68:5
178:13 180:7,7	135:3 188:10	232:18,21	recalled 180:8	85:14,24 86:4
180:11,11	188:13 190:19	247:24 257:19	191:8 192:23	113:14,19,22
182:9,9 188:12	210:14 214:1	258:21	219:7 253:2	139:1 173:21
191:7,11,11	252:21,24	readily 18:23	255:7	196:8 199:16
192:22,22	253:6 255:11	reading 63:18	receive 225:3	207:4 232:20
193:2,2,20,20	257:9 258:15	234:11	received 7:12	267:19,24
197:13 199:1,1	259:11,13,21	reads 54:22	27:12,23 46:11	records 187:23
200:4,4 206:19	260:9,13	ready 33:2	265:24 267:4	recycling 23:7
206:19 210:13	263:16,18,19	36:13 40:13	receiver 225:9	reduce 19:3
210:13 212:20	Rapanos 161:21	139:12	receiving 269:10	76:22 111:10
212:20 213:24	161:22	real 182:11	recharge 56:5	142:4 151:23
213:24 215:10	rapid 55:23 56:6	195:18 226:20	56:13 57:12	240:4 262:21
215:10 216:17	76:4 97:23	realistic 193:6	77:2 94:24	reducing 147:20
216:17 217:18	98:1,4,9 99:6	193:11	97:24 98:3,4,9	reductions
217:18 219:6	103:10,17	Realistically	99:6 103:10,17	239:16
219:10,10	125:19	13:6	110:9,10	refer 85:8 95:8
227:22 230:2	rapidly 53:24	realize 31:2	124:10 125:12	96:4
233:23 234:20	58:20 130:9	64:19 70:15	recharged 97:22	reference
234:20 236.3	rate 22:23 99:5	217:20 235:13	recharging	113:10 252:7
253:1,1,5	100:17 102:14	241:20	125:18	references 46:22
255:6,10	102:16,17	Realizing	recite 47:2	47:2 53:18
264:12	104:7 107:1,8	150:15	recognizable	referred 84:22
R12-23 6:9	108:2 150:24	really 31:23	96:2,5	93:17 223:6
R2012-023 1:6	151:1 207:17	42:18 44:12	recognize	referring 68:6,7
raced 50:12	226:23 234:17	73:20 79:23	159:19 160:16	74:6 97:7,9,19
Rachael 17:18	248:14 261:7	96:17 107:8	recognized	98:21 170:20
radius 23:24	rates 60:19	108:12 120:6	16:11 20:10	203:2 215:14
rain 12:18 15:21	98:19 99:1,9	125:14 134:7	156:8 230:21	240:22
76:1,2 103:9	152:3 207:16	164:24 175:11	recommend	refers 73:3 75:7
103:22 252:2	261:17 263:5	193:4 208:10	60:22 129:18	75:9 77:20
254:4	263:10	211:4 213:19	183:8 214:18	174:21 203:19
rainfall 100:23	ratio 12:22	225:15 231:16	263:4	reflect 10:4
rainwater 51:11	rationale 259:17	235:6 239:19	recommendati	41:13 177:24
83:16 94:24	ratios 128:3,3	239:23	68:20 84:24	reflection
raise 17:21	ravine 222:19	reappear 62:15	104:9 212:10	114:17
19:10 139:23	raw 215:4	reason 30:23	214:23 215:18	reflects 63:19
raised 11:17	reached 45:17	74:17,22 76:4	recommendati	143:16
218:14	46:2 59:1	89:1 108:17	60:21 148:17	regard 78:17
ran 254:20	229:21 231:6,6	110:7 181:5	recommended	171:24
Randolph 2:2	reaches 151:8	184:7 246:4	210:18 260:16	regarded 22:8
randomly	reaching 111:11	reasonable 21:3	recommending	regarding 31:4
261:23	242:14	35:14 36:5	182:21	34:24 47:22
range 50:24	read 8:7 32:5	reasons 149:4	recommends	63:10 98:15
234:8	33:6 36:21	165:2 189:12	211:15	103:9 177:19
CONTRACTOR SECURE AND ADDRESS OF LANCE				

180:17 200:23	96:22	relatively 55:21	170:12 232:18	106:6 133:24
209:22 236:8	regulating 143:6	128:10 132:7	271:7	134:11 144:16
250:10 251:2	156:21 157:23	release 28:18	reporting 145:3	148:22 149:13
257:3 267:6	regulation 14:18	released 143:5	167:1,5,10,14	151:23 152:15
regardless 62:12	16:15 26:3	releasing 51:22	167:20,23	159:5,6,8
124:18 152:16	35:13 43:11	relevant 9:21	168:7,7,11,20	160:22 161:22
regards 88:2	164:13	49:18 252:5	169:24 173:24	162:22 163:2,6
118:7	regulations	reliable 197:10	174:1 175:7,10	163:7 201:3
region 16:8	11:21 17:7	205:24	175:14 176:16	202:15,20
27:13 31:18	19:15,15,17	rely 110:18	219:14	203:5 209:1
34:24 39:7	20:1,2,5,15	166:13	reports 38:22	214:5 220:19
50:11 56:12	21:2 24:3 26:2	relying 168:21	148:13 208:6	226:2,7 230:20
73:14 83:14	34:24 35:7,14	remain 35:20	208:24 231:14	240:6 243:4,17
140:16 144:11	35:22 38:15	62:23 227:14	235:10,12	244:11 245:6
154:20 155:2,6	39:5 45:4	remember	247:3 264:23	
156:8,16 167:3	47:23 140:10	103:16 118:20	264:23	245:10,24
171:17,21	141:1,4 142:5	195:6 221:1		requirement
172:9,19 173:2	145:12,15	remote 161:10	represent 27:3 41:24 54:20	91:14 135:11
176:12 179:17	148:5,16	213:14	67:9	137:8 166:10
220:19 222:6	149:12 150:2,7	remotely 198:9	1	167:1,6,14,24
223:7	150:11 151:18	remotely 198.9	representations 42:5	167:24 168:12
regional 38:22	156:11 161:1,3	removal 100:10 removed 99:21	1	170:9,20
61:8 88:16	163:4 164:14		representative	171:13 173:11
181:7 183:9	164:18 178:1	removing 25:22 238:22 239:1	33:3,6 36:9	173:14,23,24
regions 50:2,3	188:6 198:22	renowned 16:7	48:17 49.12	174:2 175:7,10
53:16	211:16 226:3	l	represented 55:3	175:14 176:3
register 30:2,6	239:21 241:21	rep 183:9 repeat 72:5		176:16,21
178:2	248:12 250:4,5	123:21 126:24	representing 18:3 64:16	177:3 188:23
registration	regulator 97:3	174:4,4 201:13	1	189:17 211:9
142:24 145:16	159:10 181:17	243:24 264:6	represents 20:22 72:23	214:10 219:14
146:3,4 167:20	regulators 14:2	repeatedly	request 163:15	requirements 16:23 43:13
167:24 168:17	15:3 57:22	119:12	266:15 267:4,6	141:2,13 143:3
170:3,7 173:14	95:11,18	repetitious 9:21	268:1	145:4,9 146:12
175:21 176:20	regulatory	rephrase 232:15	requested	156:17 157:9
177:4 178:7	38:17 42:8	replaced 129:6	232:21 266:23	157:11,16
registry 144:3	43:13 121:16	replacing 25:21	267:2 269:18	187:22 190:13
173:16 177:18	137:7 146:1	replete 59:20	requesting	197:6,23 199:5
219:24 220:6,9	164:2,19 165:9	reply 39:1	176:21	199:8 202:18
regret 33:12	171:12,12	report 42:20	require 39:8	202:23,24
regular 152:24	184:9 189:9	143:5,16	144:18 150:3	207:8 215:15
221:1	194:15	150:14 221:4	158:2 168:19	215:24 224:11
regulate 14:5	reinforced 106:7	234:14 261:21	169:24 178:1	227:4 255:17
19:21 95:11	related 14:21	261:22,24	203:15,18	256:4,6,15
97:3,4,11,12	92:11 99:9	reported 221:2	207:3,15,18	257:13,23
143:14 241:22	128:11 212:15	223:4 251:19	217:22 261:6	258:1 259:1,8
263:1	228:21	271:9	261:13	requires 106:9
regulated 164:8	relating 172:14	reporter 10:8	required 15:9	145:6 146:5
194:3	relationships	45:23 46:3	16:24 20:21	188:21 260:3
regulates 78:7	218:9	139:12 169:19	39:14 97:24	requiring 16:15
			U / 1 / 1 / 1 / 1 / 1 / 1 / 1 / 1 / 1 /	- vquiring 10.13
Programme resource of the SES of the committee of the description of the second of the		W.C.		l

17:4 177:1	response 45:19	retardation	193:23 197:19	83:7,8,10,15
224:13 249:16	69:19 95:15	56:19	199:15 200:3	83:17 84:1,1,8
262:2	102:20 144:12	retention 252:1	204:12 218:6	rocky 12:14
research 32:16	160:13 184:14	retired 140:5	222:17 233:22	role 22:10 33:19
43:2,9 47:16	221:3 233:20	154:11 217:4	235:20 242:13	78:9 198:11
47:20 48:8,15	266:4 267:2,4	return 191:2	254:5 258:17	room 9:8,15
49:14,18,24	267:7,8 270:6	235:20	265:13	10:9,15 11:5
63:15 66:11	responses	returning	risk 37:16 56:22	266:2
75:14 124:6	265:20	101:24 199:23	58:10 106:20	root 51:16 58:16
142:20 247:24	responsibilities	review 43:22	151:15 180:16	114:13 123:15
Resent 55:5	140:9	47:20 140:24	226:18 242:1,3	130:5
reserve 153:21	responsibility	184:18,21	262:9	
residences 50:13	25:2 145:2	186:2 189:9	risking 261:9	roughly 46:1 114:11 222:2
resident 140:2	187:13 225:5,7	217:23 227:1	risks 76:23	
resident's 50:15	responsible	reviewed 48:14	141:22 143:20	routine 181:11 181:12
residential	18:15 19:7	98:14 148:2	risky 151:1	row 26:13
36:17	27:5 33:22	reviewing		
residents 15:14	34:13 35:1	140:12	river 15:1 16:4,5	row-crop 59:15
34:23 37:5	51:13 69:9	reviews 140:21	37:6,16,23 80:16 147:17	rows 114:12
41:12 45:7	70:17	140:23	rivers 3:15	RPR 1:12 271:6
53:14 56:20	responsibly	revise 260:16	39:10 42:15	271:17
60:13	18:19 19:9	revise 200:16 revised 66:3,21		rule 18:8 19:14
resistance 32:14	responsive	172:20 267:23	43:5 147:16	19:23 20:3,14
resolution 248:7	231.19		264:21	21:1 27:14
resource 39:24		revisions 177:24	road 31:10	33:11 77:13
238:18	responsiveness 35:5	revolution 192:6	49:22 54:5	148:4 150:21
resources 19:2	rest 147:12	rich 56:16	62:18 73:21	151:2,17,20,22
19:10 20:8,16	221:11	Rich-Lane 234:24	109:12 114:19	152:12 156:3,3
21:5 34:15	restricted	Richter 229:3	115:8	158:1 159:2,7
38:7 145:19	180:18	234:23	roads 43:12	159:22,24
147:16 150:9	restriction	rid 225:4	Robert 36:6	165:21 167:17
238:11 264:24		l	robust 180:6	167:19 168:7,7
respect 35:8	135:14,19 restrictions	Ridge 29:12	209:4	168:10,20
42:4 93:20	135:5 194:13	right 6:16,20 12:9,10 21:13	rock 49:16	169:24,24
104:3,21	result 50:5	24:9,9,19 30:3	50:17 51:2,6,8	173:24 174:3,6
129:24 130:24	62:10 78:24	36:10 40:9	51:13,17,20,22 52:12 53:10	194:20 195:3
Respectfully	105:18 140:22	41:5,8 44:13	l	199:3,4 201:11
63:12	169:5 195:1	45:21 47:12	54:3 55:22,24 55:24 59:6,9	201:18 203:14
respiration	206:6 217:6	65:13,16 66:14	60:5 67:23	214:19 215:13
51:16	221:13 232:5	67:15,17,19	77:8 80:23	215:19,22
respond 7:18	resulting 30:21	68:11 72:19	81:2,19 82:3	216:1 224:7 227:4 242:4,6
8:21 102:5	60:11 265:9	73:16 82:19	82:12 83:12	·
135:1 189:3	results 110:19	101:18 103:15	84:2,10,11	251:2,7 256:19
193:11	176:10 185:8	115:13 130:18	87:18 89:10	260:4,6 262:23 269:9
responded	189:11 206:7	132:15 153:22	90:5 91:11,20	1
144:17	resume 101:11	154:1 159:4	91:22 115:23	rulemaking 6:4 6:24 142:2
responding	101:17 102:8	175:19 176:18	221:24	148:1 178:8
251:7	199:14 200:3	181:20 189:21	rocks 52:23 53:1	267:1
responds 189:22	retain 159:16	191:23 193:18	55:1 80:10,11	rules 9:19,20
		171,23 173,10	22.1 00.10,11	1 u1c3 7.17,20
	I			<u> </u>

27:9 29:2 31:4	175:24,24	134:1,2,7	01:4 12 14	122.15 120.0
31:23 35:3,10	177:7,7 178:13	sandy 135:24	91:4,12,14 92:16 96:7	122:15 128:8
35:11,12,24	178:13 182:9,9	Sangamon 15:1	115:17,20,22	129:13,16 130:13,24
36:4 98:19	188:12,12	saturation 51:24	Secondly 183:2	135:23 136:20
149:12 158:1	193:2,2,20,20	save 30:4	seconds 167:17	137:2 139:22
175:13 176:21	197:13,13	saw 28:3 29:4	secretary 42:20	174:14 175:5
194:23 196:24	199:1,1 200:4	100:22 103:24	section 9:20	175:10 183:17
199:4,7 201:4	200:4 206:19	123:14	56:24 106:24	183:21 184:24
204:6,9 214:4	206:19 210:13	saying 27:24	107:15 129:1,2	
214:17 224:10	210:13 212:20	31:19 44:14,19	145:5 160:2	185:1,4,10 199:17 208:13
236:19 240:7	212:20 213:24	80:1,21 83:23	163:1 171:1,15	214:13 216:12
242:1,2 244:14	213:24 215:10	98:8 104:12	174:22 195:21	222:15,18,19
263:4 266:17	215:10 216:17	107:14 110:17	210:22 214:5	222:24 226:16
269:10	216:17 219:10	111:18 115:12	231:14 237:9	231:12 237:3
run 12:19 23:13	219:10 227:22	125:18 131:22	248:17 255:18	260:14 264:17
186:19 226:18	227:22 230:2,2	167:10 168:10	255:22,24	seeing 45:16
running 130:17	233:23,23	176:18 214:20	257:16 258:1,9	86:2 113:20
184:12 187:1	236:3,3 253:5	246:1 256:9,17	258:11,19	227:12 230:13
188:22 222:22	253:5 255:6,6	260:7	263:8 266:14	256:10,12
runoff 50:10	255:10,10	says 71:3 81:18	sections 256:21	264:16,19
56:11 75:12	264:12,12	87:7,15 173:6	256:22 257:6	266:5 267:9
150:2,4 151:11	safe 28:6 115:15	237:11 240:13	258:4,12	269:23 270:2
151:16 186:24	130:1 183:18	243:7 246:18	259:14	seek 188:19
197:9 211:20	185:8 190:10	scare 13:19,20	sector 20:17	209:5,23
239:3,6 240:3	194:3	14:7 23:22	sediment 57:7	seeking 148:9
240:5,9 241:17	safely 183:22	36:19 234:13	58:17 83:10	157:12 176:16
242:19 243:9	184:5 190:18	school 22:17	94:11,14 95:1	259:3
243:20 244:6	207:11 212:8	40:24 60:11	96:10,10	seen 25:16 31:7
246:22 252:1	saith 64:10	science 35:10	108:20 126:16	84:9 93:6
252:10,10	154:5 165:17	119:21 134:17	130:6 240:5	112:13 117:3
262:12	180:9 191:9	scientific 148:3	sediments 50:23	120:4 131:22
runs 222:23	192:24 219:8	148:16 251:3	60:1 94:15	135:18 136:16
rural 11:23	227:24 253:3	252:15,17	see 29:22 44:11	141:6,8 176:4
13:13 14:12	255:8	scientist 118:18	44:12 49:9	186:21 187:2
33:17 41:12	Salle 155:10	119:9 147:16	58:23 67:7	198:12,13,15
45:7 60:14,16	Sam 65:17	scientists 37:14	73:7,14 77:24	198:17 216:22
192:7	samples 22:21	48:12	78:19,19 86:23	217:5 225:21
Ryan 32:1	28:4 59:3	scope 255:17	88:8 89:18	250:4
S	73:17,19 118:7	se 96:12 250:14	91:2 92:14	seepage 105:7
S 2:1 3:1 5:4	127:19 128:12	season 255:4	93:8 94:6,15	129:23
1	130:16 262:1	second 7:6 8:8	94:16,18,21	self-determina
64:8 79:19,19	sampling 50:1	37:8 65:22	96:19 97:8	160:4
126:10,10	Samuel 4:13,14	114:8 120:8	98:3 100:15	selling 225:18
132:22,22 135:2,2 166:21	7:14 47:13,14	250:12	109:18 110:15	sending 183:14
166:21 169:1,1	63:13	secondary 51:4	110:21 114:11	Seneca 17:17
169:15,15	sand 66:12	52:13 59:7	114:17,21	senior 40:21
170:17,17	82:14 129:5,9	83:3,24 84:8	115:1 116:9,14	47:15 48:7,18
173:19,19	133:7,9,12,13	84:10,11,15,21	116:17 117:18	sense 8:19 24:4
173.17,17	133:16,18,19	89:5 90:19,23	117:19 122:2,5	43:1 46:14
PART AND PARTY AND THE PARTY A	ALEXANDED SALTA AND DE DESCRIPTOR AND A SERVICIO DE SERVICIO DE LA COMPANSIONE DEL COMPANSIONE DE LA C			-4-4-4-3-10-2-10-2-10-2-10-2-10-2-10-2-10-2-10

				
138:14,19,20	195:4 196:24	32:21 33:1,4,5	152:17 156:18	sir 46:21 119:3
147:2 152:20	198:7 199:5	36:7	188:5,18	154:21
157:21 174:20	227:3,3 236:14	sheet 9:8	simple 10:19	site 43:19 70:18
193:23 268:23	238:15 240:7	shelf 187:5	simple 10:19 simpler 84:4	
sensitive 10:11	245:12,23	217:8	126:19	73:2,11 74:23
39:11 53:22	246:2,8	shells 83:9	I .	88:16,17 91:8
58:2	setbacks 30:18	shit 44:21	simply 147:2 207:23 222:11	183:3 194:9
sensitivity 53:21	39:9 141:11	short 27:20		208:4,5 226:4
sent 38:21	150:19 194:17	i e	224:13	227:2 228:7,11
114:12	194:19,20	101:20 120:19 120:23 152:11	Simultaneous	229:1 239:16
sentence 49:7	194:19,20	I .	142:6	site-specific 61:8
58:22 60:7	197:17,17,21	185:11 199:18	Sincerely 36:6	71:1,4,6 72:15
61:5 65:23	197:17,17,21	shorthand	single 248:10	72:17,18,24
	200:10 203:3	271:10,12	sinkhole 55:6,9	98:20,24
172:12 205:8,9		shoulder 41:18	55:12,20,20	siteable 83:15
205:16,18	207:18 209:17	show 30:14	62:1,5,13 75:8	sited 38:18 69:2
215:9 258:2,8	213:4,4 237:14	53:13 75:16	92:8 93:24	72:8
258:14 259:5	238:10 240:2	114:5 195:17	94:3,7 95:8	sites 43:16
sentences 50:9	245:22 246:5	222:13	96:16 98:12	siting 39:8 63:4
separate 43:17	247:8	showing 32:9	104:16,20,24	69:9 70:17
43:18 128:4	sets 23:12	54:18 61:17	105:5,9,20	78:4,7 104:13
173:23	141:17 156:21	92:4 115:19	110:5,8,13,14	141:11 148:23
separately 44:1	157:16,23	195:21 206:11	111:14,16,20	149:9 197:22
separating	220:4,16,16	230:7	112:5,11	198:22 199:5
203:7	241:23	shown 55:6 83:1	133:14	sitting 32:21
September	setting 53:23	124:7	sinkholes 49:21	104:22
145:20	116:23 241:24	shows 54:24	54:3,10,12,13	situation 134:8
sequestered	267:14	sick 29:6,8	55:13,15 57:21	143:15 185:10
239:7	settled 229:9,10	side 87:7 222:21	57:23 58:1,4,5	185:17,24
seriously 22:11	settlement	sight 43:13	58:10,13 59:11	189:7 193:13
29:3	229:13,17	sighting 72:11	59:14,18 60:1	210:4,10
serve 178:6	settlements	signed 7:21 9:10	60:2,10 61:15	213:12
208:20	230:21	45:12,14	61:22 62:7,8	situations
served 17:24	setup 185:5	146:23 228:23	62:14,20 70:3	150:16 187:3
service 196:1	seven 171:5	significance	74:16,20 92:12	190:12
223:13,14	174:13,15	184:10	92:12 93:14,18	six 57:11 123:9
236:14 238:12	175:3 176:10	significant 16:6	93:21 94:4,6	123:15 140:5
238:18	220:3 268:12	43:9 45:2	94:15,18 95:9	148:19 210:19
services 20:21	269:11	150:1 164:12	95:12,17,24	211:18,19,21
220:3	shaded 88:1	226:8 238:10	96:2,3,6,8,12	221:17
set 7:10 153:7	129:10	238:17 261:16	96:14,15,15,23	size 12:2 13:1,18
158:8 197:24	shale 74:4,7,8,9	significantly	97:2,4,6,10,12	37:19 38:8
207:7 220:7	shallow 13:3	55:19	97:15 98:6,6	111:13 119:15
221:9 224:1	96:14 97:17	silage 13:21	98:10 105:17	121:20 136:17
228:16 256:6	shallowly 252:9	15:17 25:22	108:12,12,16	143:12 234:8
257:12 258:5	shape 95:3	29:20,20	109:19 110:11	234:13
267:15	shaped 80:7	silo 95:3	110:14,19	sized 202:14
setback 111:10	share 34:23	silt 87:14	111:1,4,22,23	sizes 12:1
148:23 149:9	shed 253:8	similar 95:3	133:17 134:5	skip 48:19
149:14,16,20	Sheehan 4:10	116:9 118:8	196:12,16	skipping 172:18
				1

. 5 44.

				1490 303
slight 232:14	130:21 131:1	82:21 90:20	160:11 161:9	1 242-20 21
slightly 129:17	133:2 134:17	103:11 126:24	209:3 262:10	242:20,21 244:6,7 245:22
slim 230:13	135:8 136:21	128:5 133:6	specialization	244:0,7 243:22
slow 52:1 56:14	151:2,10 239:7	166:3 180:23	241:6	248:10
small 16:7 18:13	251:24 252:3	185:3 193:9	species 127:23	stacks 149:22
27:22 51:20	261:2,23 262:1	196:4 204:13	128:12	
78:2,14,20	262:2	205:10 231:21	1	150:3,12,18
109:8 119:15	soiled 83:15	237:18 240:12	specific 70:18 88:23 146:18	225:20,20
131:19 136:14	soils 18:20 51:17	242:16,17	171:8 194:10	241:14 246:23
155:7,10	57:11 58:11	246:16 251:11	208:2 239:15	247:1,5 249:15 249:16
smaller 120:6	59:17,17 62:12	256:8 264:5	239:17	
122:8	75:5,9,20	sort 31:22 82:14	ľ	Stacy 4:17 7:16 147:15
smallest 122:15	76:12 78:18	110:2 116:15	specifically 33:18 54:23	
smearing 31:11	82:14 86:22	122:24 144:4	66:4 72:2	staff 10:2
snippet 27:20	87:13,15,16	207:18 225:6	142:23 171:11	stage 191:3
snow 51:11	97:15 99:16	239:2,9		218:18 263:24
83:16 141:9	100:13 102:24	sorts 165:2	189:6 267:2	stakeholder
151:14 181:6,9	100.13 102.24	208:7 225:18	specifications	148:1
181:12 182:24	118:24 119:6		136:17	standard 25:10
	122:9 130:14	230:7 243:6 sound 35:10	specifics 221:1	152:22 156:24
254:2,21,21,22 254:24	130:14 131:20		specified 224:17	211:24 253:9
snowmobile	130:14 131:20	sounds 126:6	speckled 43:5	standards 17:1
254:23	1	216:20	speed 48:22	17:5 19:22
!	135:21,24 251:20	source 18:18	spent 217:3	39:15,17 71:14
snowy 15:18 social 192:6		51:2 166.15	spill 28:13	141:13 142:7
1	solely 10:3 58:9 solid 12:22	sources 127:8	spills 13:6	152:12,15
Socially 27:5 Society 134:17		145:21 150:13	split 241:5	153:2 158:6,8
soil 18:22 22:21	solubility 52:12 91:11	175:22 178:21 179:14	spoke 192:10	158:14 200:23
25:20 34:2	soluble 51:12	south 1:15 2:18	spoon 80:7,21	202:20 203:16
51:12 57:4,12	52:20 59:9	80:17	sprawl 34:10	203:20,24
57:13 58:18,19	solution 52:12	southern 80:13	spread 15:16 41:16	204:4 205:20
59:13,23 73:23	67:24	l		205:23,23
74:3 75:3,11	solution-enlar	84:19 86:21,23 87:12 88:9	spreading 78:14 78:17	206:9,22,23
75:23 76:5,9	49:21 51:9	southwestern		207:13 241:23
76:10 77:8	52:5 59:9,20	84:19 87:3	spring 37:9,15 221:15	257:2
94:17 96:13	61:19 92:4	88:10 94:3		standpoint
97:8 99:18,19	94:12	108:10,14	Springfield 2:13 2:19 7:6	137:8 262:6,8 start 8:22 90:1
102:16,18	solved 249:2	116:8 127:16	240:16	138:18 139:11
103:4 108:18	somebody	130:15	springs 52:8	139:19 228:10
111:13 112:3	111:23 122:1	soybeans 17:21	54:3 70:4	236:7
114:9 115:8	167:13 181:3	space 34:13	78:19 127:19	started 155:6
118:7,11,12,18	181:14 184:3	184:13	square 55:13,14	233:13
118:22,23	185:14 188:22	span 173:12	55:15 93:21	i
119:1,8 122:22	189:7	span 173.12 spans 172:16	94:4,5 108:13	starting 6:15 154:8 192:21
122:24 123:1,4	someplace 189:9	speak 10:8,10,17	squelched 11:24	250:20
124:15,16,16	217:8 250:2	40:15 206:21	SS 271:2	starts 187:1
124:17,20	somewhat 81:24	207:21 225:11	St 116:8	l .
125:15,15,22	som 25:8	speaking 67:9	stack 80:10	205:6,8,15,16 state 1:13 13:10
129:4 130:7,8	sorry 67:13 76:9	120:11 126:13	241:17,18	16:5 18:4 19:7
127.7 130.7,0	SULLY 07.13 /0.7	120.11 120.13	_ , 1,1/,10	10.5 10.4 19:/
			<u> </u>	<u> </u>

	1	1	1	1
27:4 28:18	stated 34:12	staying 128:12	215:6 239:3	129:14 130:14
29:14 33:21	49:11 53:22	step 36:1 38:15	252:2 256:2	study 40:24
35:24 38:23	61:24 77:21	146:3 165:3	257:22 259:4,7	110:17 128:13
39:2 40:20	124:9 128:24	219:15	storms 213:11	262:13 266:13
41:10,17 42:21	130:4 135:15	STEPHENS	straight 95:6	266:17,19,24
43:15 47:15	145:17 150:7	2:17	130:20,23	267:6
48:8 49:8,10	197:21 209:11	steps 144:15	stranded 138:10	Sub 206:1,1,1
49:13 52:22	215:17 251:22	150:22 262:21	strategy 141:15	subject 143:3
53:11 60:23	statement 8:24	Sterling 4:12	141:17 202:9	152:14,24
63:14 65:4,7	36:21 53:3	40:9,12,14,20	202:11	162:11 182:2
69:8,14 70:11	81:22 95:11,21	44:18 45:9	stream 15:10	199:11 213:7
71:19,24 72:24	99:6 124:14	192:9	62:19 149:7	226:13 236:13
75:15 76:12	139:17,20	stewards 33:22	222:23 238:17	256:21,22
78:23 83:19	142:18 159:10	stewardship	254:3	257:1,7,15
85:1 86:12	159:12 160:6	34:6	streams 16:7	258:3,9,13
88:20 104:9	162:4 164:4	stick 123:10	39:10 43:5	subjective
106:23 118:21	192:13 206:3	sticklers 159:14	59:24 60:2	246:19
123:11 128:2	258:22	sticks 123:7	149:1,3,7,9,18	submission
129:19 131:23	statements	stinks 16:13	194:17 198:17	146:5
135:17 137:11	139:17	stock 105:11	238:11	submit 7:14
140:11,13	states 27:8 55:10	129:20	Street 1:15 2:2	43:20 175:8
142:2,3 143:16	55:11 107:19	stockpiles 44:22	2:18 3:8	submitted 8:1
143:17 145:7,8	135:12 140:4	Stone 29:12	strengthen 39:4	16:16 63:12
145.10,12	140:13,20	stop 13:8,10	stress 88:15	121:2 145:21
146:12 147:18	143:4 148:17	72:7,10	stricter 17:4	143:22 145:21
154:21 156:4	151:2,7 155:2	storage 43:19	162:20	146:17 148:11
156:17 157:9	155:19,21	141:24 146:20	strictly 135:24	239:12 250:23
158:2,15 160:2	156:8,16 159:5	149:4,5 181:5	stringent 17:1	255:14
160:12 161:3	159:6,15	183:3,4,6	31:3 161:4	Subpart 258:11
161:15 163:16	162:19,22	184:13 185:15	247:13	258:12
166:12,14,16	166:11 167:9	188:23 189:8	strip 195:6	SUBSCRIBED
167:22,22	167:10,18,20	210:18,24	208:10	271:20
171:4,18	168:8,11,19	211:1,2,3,9,17	strong 142:5	subsection
183:15 184:22	169:24 188:17	211:17,19,22	stronger 12:3	162:23,23
187:19 188:19	202:9 217:3	212:3,4,7	45:3	195:22 196:3
188:24 189:24	238:8 243:5	213:13,14	strongly 60:21	196:19 205:11
190:15 194:18	255:21 259:16	stored 215:5,7	structure 25:13	255:24 257:19
203:20,21,22	262:15,19	225:19	80:8 81:10	257:21
216:2 227:4	statewide	stories 41:12,18	185:16 211:19	Subsequent
229:2 234:4	144:20 147:17	41:19	structures 50:22	83:12
237:14 238:9	174:16 176:7	storing 210:21	149:6	substance 46:16
248:16,19,21	176:13 261:23	storm 20:12	struggle 35:20	49:2 63:24
258:8 259:16	static 58:5	149:24 152:10	student 40:21	substantiated
260:1,4,5	stating 32:5	158:10,10,12	192:12,14	231:24
264:18,19	72:22 129:15	200:13 201:10	students 29:22	substantive
265:3 271:1,7	status 152:17	201:17 202:21	studied 127:7	45:15
271:9	statute 217:24	203:6,7,13	studies 41:14	substituted
state's 16:6	stay 12:1 24:4	204:1,5 208:4	61:10 100:23	64:19
144:8,23 169:8	218:11	208:5 211:20	110:21 127:24	subsurface

121:8,9 135:7	46:9	95:5 100:21	101:9 227:18	213:24 215:10
135:9,13,21	supplements 8:5	108:8,23 109:5	sustains 34:20	216:17 217:18
136:4 237:16	supplier 42:12	109:6,23 123:5	swale 235:1,5,7	217:18 219:6,9
238:5	supplies 149:18	123:13 125:24	swallow 54:5	219:10 227:22
Subtitle 177:23	238:12	129:2 130:8	swear 8:19 46:3	230:2 233:23
successfully	supply 37:14	136:6 141:8,12	138:15	234:20,20
176:20	38:4,9 39:10	148:23 149:14	swearing 139:11	236:3 253:4,5
suffered 45:8	238:19	149:17 150:5	swine 155:13,24	255:6,9,10
suffers 35:18	support 27:6	150:20 151:17	222:16 228:20	264:12
suffice 139:18	supporting	151:20 180:14	switch 261:6,13	table 6:16 9:15
sufficient 56:17	148:8 252:17	195:4 196:11	switching	108:20
201:10,16	suppose 30:11	196:13,15,17	129:17 151:4	
sufficiently 57:1	241:12	196:21,24	260:17	take 6:10 8:20
107:2 129:1	supposed 29:21	190.21,24		10:24 22:10,17
suggest 75:4	187:20	240:2,18	sworn 7:18 46:4	22:21 35:8
150:17 151:11	sure 11:8 17:13	· '	64:10 139:13	39:23 43:4
182:4 231:3	26:16 65:1	241:18,19 242:14,20,21	139:15 154:5	78:5 85:10
258:21	70:21 85:4	· ' '	165:17 180:9	87:23 101:8,12
	114:7 116:22	243:9,14,20	191:9 192:24	113:2 122:19
suggested 99:4 138:13 202:14		244:7,8 245:20	219:8 227:24	124:5 144:16
202:15 250:3	119:7 121:22	246:13	253:3 255:8	145:10 177:10
250:14	124:15 137:5	surface-borne	271:20	189:24 194:7
1	155:12 158:18	56:22 57:9	Sycamore 24:18	195:22 199:13
suggesting 77:4	159:14 160:17	58:24 59:22	system 14:10,18	205:19 222:5
77:7,11 129:5	161:21 164:23	97:16 126:18	38:17 41:5	222:10 227:21
180:19 181:16	165:14 172:8	surface-derived	42:8 160:3,7	229:5 236:6
suggestion 101:4	181:23 185:18	56:4	165:7 193:17	240:21 258:15
249:21 250:19	186:20 187:13	surfaces 81:20	225:10	258:17 262:21
suggestions 35:5	189:10 190:9	surprising 27:13	systematic 143:9	264:10 269:22
suit 28:23	195:19 201:12	surrounding	systems 51:9	taken 1:11 29:3
187:24 188:3	201:14 208:7	22:6 43:24	70:5 160:7	229:7 269:16
230:9	208:22 211:4	survey 47:16	192:5	271:13
suitable 63:4	219:21 231:12	48:8 49:13	T	takes 89:6,8,14
Suite 2:3 3:4,13	232:16 233:14	63:14 65:5,8	T 5:4 79:19	239:10
summaries	236:5 245:18	65:18 69:24	126:10 131:14	talk 83:4 170:19
138:22 153:6	247:19 250:2	71:20 75:15	131:14 132:22	229:2 239:21
summarize	258:18	85:1 117:21	135:2 165:15	251:17
148:20	Surely 46:21	123:11 128:2	166:21 169:1	talked 128:16
summarizing	surface 29:15	137:11 261:23	169:15 170:17	130:11 181:2
195:7	31:13 39:10	261:23		talking 81:6
summary 8:23	50:18 51:10	surveyed 118:17	173:8,8,19	83:8 91:19
139:2 142:18	53:12,17 54:9	surveying 101:9	175:24 177:7	107:20 117:13
147:8 153:3	54:21 56:2,9	surveys 145:13	178:13 180:10	119:1 173:22
summer 12:16	57:2 58:19	Susan 4:5 12:8	180:11,11	181:20 190:3
superior 32:2	60:17 67:10	12:11	182:9 188:12	236:15 254:8
supplement 10:5	70:3,7 73:23	susceptibility	191:7,10,11,11	talks 112:16
46:11	75:12 76:1	93:18	193:1,2,20	tangible 119:19
supplemental	77:1 81:15	susceptible 56:3	197:13 199:1	Tanner 44:4
46:14 68:10	82:3,9,9,10	suspect 15:6	200:4 206:19	tap 60:18 114:13
supplemented	90:10 92:13	44:1 47:1	210:13 212:20	tapping 114:22
			<u> </u>	<u> </u>
WITH THE PERSON AND THE PERSON OF THE PERSON	PROPERTY BANKS AND STOLEN AND STO	CONTRACTOR	Name of the Control o	

task 10:18 44:24	ten-year 214:7	65:10,11,12	197:20 198:2	190:19 192:15
taught 44:24	tend 95:1 96:14	102:12 131:17	200:22 203:10	196:23 199:22
taxes 23:19	100:15 120:7	131:24 132:5	204:9,23	200:6 204:24
teach 192:1	133:9 186:18	154:12	207:20 209:24	210:12 216:9
tears 41:22	225:16	testimony 4:13	210:18 212:15	217:16 218:3
technical 2:9,9	tendency 186:17	4:15,16,17	212:23 218:8	223:23 227:7
6:19 141:12	tendered 113:4	7:11,13,15 8:4	219:13 223:21	228:4 233:22
142:6 152:12	205:2	8:16,18 9:11	224:2 227:19	234:19 235:19
152:14,22	tends 133:13	9:13 32:8	228:7 231:4	237:11 239:11
156:24 200:23	tens 120:4,14	45:15,19 46:8	232:1 236:8,10	251:13 252:19
203:16 204:4	term 52:9 82:12	46:14 47:13,21	237:14 238:8,9	255:12 263:2
206:9,22,23	182:12	49:2,4,10	240:11,12	263:16 265:19
207:13 257:2	terms 52:14	63:10 64:1,20	244:10 246:24	270:5
technically	64:17 78:8,14	64:20 65:2,5	250:7,10	thanks 45:24
205:24	89:15 120:11	65:14,14,17	260:15 261:20	59:8 138:1
techniques 34:2	120:12,13	66:3,18,20	263:3 265:20	154:10 192:17
61:9 141:24	126:20 164:17	67:6,8 68:10	265:23 267:16	235:22 270:9
technology	182:14,20	69:1 70:22,23	267:23 268:2,5	thaw 254:3
22:12 23:14	189:16 206:1	71:3,21,22	268:8 269:3,8	thaw 254.5 thawed 181:19
34:2 195:1	206:22 261:15	72:16,18 73:3	269:24 270:6	theme 41:21
tectonic 83:13	terrain 12:14	73:6 75:2	testing 72:17	thereto 163:4
tell 33:16 73:20	52:10 54:2	77:19,20 78:10	175:2	220:22
84:7,14 87:8	57:6,22 58:1,9	78:16 79:5	tests 29:7	they'd 168:23
93:22 99:20	60:7 61:23	80:1,4 81:18	thank 12:5,7	thick 56:16
100:6,9 107:1	62:17 63:6	83:4 84:23	18:9 21:8,10	59:17,24 96:11
108:21 109:4	91:10 92:6	85:3,8 87:24	21:17 24:6,8	114:10 130:14
114:23 117:4	95:18 96:1	89:6 90:2,2	24:14 26:9,12	130:21 222:22
123:3 159:13	108:8,22 109:3	91:8 93:13	26:20 32:18	thicker 88:5
159:18 178:24	109:10,22	95:16 97:14	33:4 36:2,8	108:18,18
224:19 231:15	111:2 126:16	106:23 107:5	40:4,8,14	thickest 80:11
237:16 241:15	213:9	107:10,23	45:10 47:9	80:12
242:18 243:24	terrains 51:14	108:6 112:15	63:9,18 64:5	thickness 57:16
244:10 249:1	terranes 53:6	113:11 119:12	65:20,22 68:12	59:13 60:24
253:11	territory 162:1	123:17 126:15	72:20 83:2	67:22 73:12
telling 41:12,17	test 18:20 151:2	128:23 129:11	85:7,20 86:4	74:24 75:5
237:6 245:13	253:17 261:3	129:18 130:4	89:3 101:23	81:11 85:5,7
tells 86:10	tested 29:8	138:2,7,17	102:11 113:22	88:2 96:9
temperature	testified 65:6	139:1 141:5	126:2,4 127:7	107:6 109:16
254:4	71:9,13,17	142:22,23	128:16,23	111:13 114:10
temporary	81:14 97:22	143:23 144:10	130:3 131:8	115:8 125:21
149:22 241:14	167:16 168:5	147:8,8,13	134:20 137:17	134:5
249:15,16	174:8 194:14	148:7,14,18	137:23 138:3	thin 58:11 59:16
ten 76:8,9 89:16	200:9,10 224:2	153:4 156:12	147:6,10 154:2	62:12 75:9
92:23 98:22	244:4 245:3	156:19 165:5,6	154:16 155:9	76:5 77:8
99:21 100:11	testify 7:18 9:9	166:6 170:22	160:2 163:9	78:18 80:13
102:16,18	9:12 243:12	172:1 176:4	166:17 168:22	86:23 97:8,15
129:4,5 205:16	266:3 267:6	180:13 181:2	169:14 173:7	109:14 125:14
ten-mile 14:22	testifying 30:2	182:13 194:13	175:15 178:10	130:14 132:7
14:24	49:12 65:4,7	194:18 195:8	182:8 185:20	thing 43:8 69:6
				Ü
A 4 THE RESIDENCE OF CO. 4 HOUSE DESCRIPTION AND RESIDENCE	SURFACE HEAD TO SERVE STATE OF THE SERVE STATE OF T			

77:24 86:24	187:7 191:2	three-dimensi	137:23 138:2	touch 28:7
110:2 116:15	192:13 193:14	115:9	155:4 174:5	touch 28:7
119:18 130:1	194:6,22 198:4	three-minute	184:1 185:12	tough 20.7
153:19 166:24	198:6 202:5,5	11:12	186:2 189:4	tourists 37:5
167:5 194:9	211:22 212:2	threshold 151:3	193:11 210:1	tours 22:18
207:19 223:9	212:12 214:14	151:12 260:16	216:12,13	town 37:7,11
231:16 251:10	214:19 216:3	thresholds	222:10,10	township 13:10
260:7	218:6,12	144:14	223:6 265:16	trace 103:22
things 32:7	222:10 224:3	Thu 4:16,21	269:6 270:3	114:20
42:24 73:16	228:24 229:24	7:17 138:8,10	timeline 189:1,5	tracers 75:17,18
82:15 137:1	231:5 232:13	138:23 142:11	timely 189:19	75:18,24 76:2
141:14 158:7	232:22 234:3	142:12,12	times 22:2 66:17	103:21,23,24
187:10 188:6	238:1 239:18	163:10 165:20	67:7 87:14	traces 123:12
190:11 211:14	241:20 242:1	166:1,4 169:18	136:24 198:14	tracing 61:10
217:24 225:13	244:15 248:8	169:22 170:11	TIMOTHY 1:11	73:24
235:11 241:21	250:10 251:8	175:19 177:10	2:4	track 57:12
251:17 254:19	252:16 253:19	177:15 178:15	tiny 115:19	232:14
think 11:1,4	254:14 256:19	179:23 180:6	122:6,6	tracking 85:20
14:19 23:7	259:19 261:18	191:6,13	title 63:11	225:2
27:8 32:18	265:18 267:10	192:16,19	114:24 172:15	trade 42:24
45:18 69:23	268:18,23	218:13,17	today 8:11 10:1	traditional 40:2
77:1 80:20	269:15	219:16 223:17	21:24 36:21	training 140:11
81:16,23 83:23	thinking 202:23	223:22 227:9	40:15 64:16,23	transcript 1:10
84:24 88:16	260:2	227:13	65:11 117:2	271:12
89:5 91:19,24	thinks 166:24	Thu's 165:6	137:23 138:2	transfer 225:12
93:7,10,11	179:16 187:23	tile 75:16 76:3	141:3 154:13	226:12
94:1 95:14	thinnest 80:23	100:23 103:24	159:18 195:9	transferees
98:17 99:13,24	third 7:8 146:22	104:1 121:9,14	203:23 215:13	146:24
102:6 105:22	205:5,14	121:17 135:10	245:4 247:11	transferred
108:9 117:7	240:12	136:4 237:16	249:24 265:24	146:22 225:17
118:14 122:10	Thomas 2:7	238:2,6	266:9 267:12	transferring
122:18,21	Thompson	tiles 56:7	268:1 269:23	224:4
124:3 125:13	251:21	till 51:1 61:3	told 29:10 38:23	transfers 227:5
127:18 128:8	thought 27:16	86:19 125:19	110:17 211:8	translate 224:18
129:12,20	27:18 67:8	125:20,22,24	Tom 6:13 21:23	transmitting
131:1 137:14	182:15	tillage 76:16,20	22:19 266:23	61:19
138:9,14,21	thousand 23:2	77:6 124:9	tomorrow	transport 51:6
139:19 141:2	thousands 52:1	tilled 124:24	267:17	207:14 224:8
149:19 158:6	52:2 88:19,19	Tim 6:3	tool 208:13,20	224:12,14
158:17,19,24	89:6	Timberland	top 139:6 151:14	225:1
161:7,14	three 8:17 11:7	42:15	174:23 261:21	Transportation
163:10,20,21	15:20 22:1	time 7:19 10:17	262:18 265:2	118:3
164:5 165:5	40:16 74:14	11:1 22:4 28:2	topic 201:1	transported
171:7 174:7,7	83:20 93:14	28:16 30:4	206:14 249:23	53:24
174:9,9 177:18	96:11 139:11	42:22 53:4	topics 148:19	travel 12:20
181:22 182:13	139:15 153:10	56:17 85:13	topography	71:23 100:16
182:22 184:8	153:17 182:20	91:3 100:15	12:14	traveling 33:16
184:16,18	217:3 218:8,13	102:3 113:14	topsoil 76:20	41:2
185:13,22,24	254:13	122:11 123:22	total 146:20	treated 44:1
'				
			Mark Lange Committee Mark	

				Tage 514
treatment 239:4	101:5 106:9	50:15	203:14 220:5	unpaid 14:23
trees 222:23	120:13 126:16	unchecked	223:8,18 232:9	unpermitted
trellised 62:18	140:6 157:16	14:11	246:1 247:5	152:8,13
tremendous	174:8 178:19	unconsolidated	understanding	200:24 201:2,8
213:9	187:14 189:12	55:2 57:7,15	84:4 99:8	201:15 203:15
tremendously	199:13 210:8	58:17 60:9	132:18 158:2	204:3 206:8
23:8	213:19,21	61:1 62:23	162:7 171:4	255:18,21
trenching 61:10	220:5 221:15	63:7 68:2 70:9	176:11 195:14	256:1,3,16
trespass 13:7	241:14 247:7	74:18 81:7	204:2 219:16	257:1,7,15,21
tributary 16:3	249:13 254:13	82:13 92:2	219:21 220:8	258:3,24 259:3
tricks 42:23	258:12 267:11	100:11 104:10	220:23 226:14	259:6
tried 141:5	two-inches	104:17,18	227:6 229:8	unprotected
212:2 248:13	254:9	107:3,11 108:1	233:2 256:9	149:5
trouble 27:21	two-thirds 18:3	126:16 128:21	understandings	unpunished
220:24	type 77:24 94:7	130:6 249:18	14:15	16:21
true 32:5 57:23	99:1 111:13	249:19	understands	unsatisfactory
157:1,4 163:8	117:18 119:5	undergoes 56:14	202:5,6 232:13	179:6
170:2 271:11	123:1 135:21	underground	underwater	untreated 136:1
trump 42:3	146:19 165:7	54:9 70:5	198:16	unusual 131:1
try 137:3 157:24	185:2,5 211:10	111:17,20	undetected	up-to-date
163:23 201:21	253:9	underlain 53:6	16:21 62:23	172:24
trying 27:18	types 146:15	53:10 58:2	undisturbed	update 140:11
30:3 88:17	155:20,21	60:5 77:8 82:2	124:17	updated 23:1
97:10 105:22	typical 54:1	90:6	undue 24:5	l -
118:20 193:18	typically 55:18	underlined	Unfortunately	47:12,13 63:20 220:9
203:22,23	56:14 62:14	54:24	56:20 58:7	upgrade 196:22
228:8 231:1	160:11 161:9	underling 132:6	unfrozen 252:3	upheld 16:19
242:3 254:15	190:14 194:22	underlining underlining	unidentified	upper 124:20
tube 95:6	206:24 207:7	54:11	98:6	upstream 15:6
turn 8:17 9:1,3	209:12,14,15	underlying 16:2	union 40:23	uptake 99:16
11:11 17:13	209:16 227:1	57:8,22 58:20	unique 19:6	upward 74:3
45:15 46:19		59:19 61:23	unit 2:9,9 6:19	upwind 86:19
63:23 79:3,16	U	62:21 74:3	70:8	urban 25:14
102:7 138:7	U 29:13,22 64:8	82:13 95:18	United 55:11	33:17 34:10
139:9 153:7	165:15 180:10	102:22 103:6	140:4 143:4	56:10
199:10 227:20	191:7,10 193:1	105:21,24	202:8 259:15	Urbana 7:8
258:6 266:7	219:6,9 253:4	106:16 126:17	units 28:5 234:9	urge 39:4
turned 71:24	255:9	130:9	234:9	urge 59:4 urgent 189:7
Turner 4:5 12:8	U.S 50:2 141:15	underprivileged	universe 234:3	190:13
12:11,11 17:8	141:16 143:6	41:14	234:10 249:6	urine 31:11
17:10	167:2 198:20	understand 15:3	University 40:22	urine 31:11 usable 88:22
turning 15:11	260:2	33:3 61:21	47:17 48:9	USDA 157:11
64:2	ubiquitous	77:18 80:1	54:15 57:18	237:19
twice 24:21	76:12	92:15 97:11,13	63:15 142:14	use 19:7 28:21
154:24 215:13	ultimately 72:14	110:16 115:11	174:14	35:16 43:18
two 23:12 28:3,6	unable 36:20	119:14,22	unmitigated	56:22 59:15
37:4 44:10,21	unattenuated	123:17 125:11	136:2	60:2 61:15
57:3,6 75:19	136:3	125:17 123:11	unnecessarily	78:24 105:4,5
82:5 87:1	unchanged	159:11 186:21	19:16 35:23	105:12,14
02.0 07.1	<i>9</i> ·	157.11 100.21	17.10 33.23	103.12,14

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
123:18 208:21	variety 48:15	55:7	43:18,20,24	29:10,11 32:16
219:18 225:16	239:14		44:22 56:11	34:14,20 35:4
useful 208:13,15	various 36:4	W	68:21 74:21,22	37:10,12,14
208:18 220:17	vary 210:10	W 36:6	76:11 78:19	38:4,9 39:2,9
USEPA 144:7	vast 229:9	Wacker 3:3	98:16,19 99:2	39:15 40:1
144:10,15,18	vector 58:14	wait 187:10	99:5,14 100:10	42:5,7 44:13
145:6,16	110:8 111:16	waiting 38:19	100:12,16,21	45:2,3 50:12
154:12,14,17	132:5	176:10	103:13,20	50:15 51:6,18
158:8,24 159:1	vegetation 239:8	walk 122:2,4	104:6,22	51:23 52:15
161:23 164:2	vegetative	walked 115:2	105:11,13	53:1 55:23
164:18,20	195:16 196:13	117:2	106:13 110:4	56:6 57:2,12
165:6,21,24	238:15,21	walking 122:1	125:8 128:6,7	58:19 61:20
166:24 167:8	verified 239:23	122:16	128:14,20	75:19 79:1
167:17 168:6,9	version 58:23	Walt 128:1	129:3 135:6,12	83:11,15 94:23
168:10,19	63:20	want 6:10 9:6,17	135:20 136:3	98:12 108:20
169:23 170:2	versus 41:19	18:9 19:8 25:4	141:24 142:1	126:22 127:4
171:3 176:23	105:17 106:3	41:24 65:1	146:20,22	128:2,12 129:2
177:1,11,22	125:19 229:3	74:10,23 85:10	149:4,5,15	130:2,8,16,19
178:16,24	vested 34:5,18	89:3,20 96:3	150:22 151:13	136:6 141:22
179:5,10,15,17	Veteran's 27:23	99:23 119:14	151:15,21	142:4,15
202:11 203:17	28:9	128:17 136:7	152:2,9,18,18	143:19 144:8
211:15 221:3	viable 19:13	139:22 142:16	162:9 183:7	145:5,6,11
240:1 241:23	vicinity 40:10	153:16 171:8	196:9,20 203:2	146:13 147:4
250:14,17,20	victim 29:2	189:13 193:17	203:8 207:6,16	147:16 149:17
250:22 251:1,6	videos 31:9	202:19 206:14	210:7,9,22	149:24 150:9
251:14,15	view 55:8 115:9	218:22 219:2	214:6,24	150:20,24
253:12	viewpoints 35:9	230:24 233:14	216:22,23	150:20,24
USGS 262:13	village 36:24	236:7 238:7	217:12,23	158:10,12
usually 59:19	38:8 40:5	253:9 254:18	224:5 225:16	163:3 172:14
120:21 122:6	village's 37:10	254:24 258:12	226:2,5,6,7,10	188:6 194:17
136:22,23	38:6	263:6 266:12	226:19,20	196:13,17,21
231:19 234:5	violation 16:13	270:5	235:2,4 236:12	196:22 198:1,9
utilization 203:1	230:12 231:14	wanted 66:10	236:21,22	201:10,17
utilize 19:5	violations 16:20	116:24 259:9	237:8 240:18	202:21 203:6,7
utilized 18:24	virtue 225:8	267:18	252:11 253:14	203:13 204:1,5
151:16	visible 49:22	wants 192:14	253:16,23	208:4,5 209:14
utilizing 23:10	109:23 265:4	243:24 268:8	255:19 260:18	211:20 213:14
	visibly 109:4	warms 152:1	260:24	215:6,20
V	vision 41:1	warned 37:13	wastes 12:18	238:11,12,17
V 47:13,14	visit 16:10 26:10	warning 31:22	13:22 56:10	238:18 239:3
63:13 64:8	visited 22:15	250:13	wastewater	241:23 246:13
valid 205:24	29:7	wash 37:22	208:6 211:12	256:2 257:22
valleys 54:5	visitors 37:10	washing 111:19	wastewaters	259:4,7 261:10
valuable 16:17	vital 39:24	wasn't 217:10	215:6	262:8 264:24
25:18,18 38:7	voice 139:24	247:22	watched 15:17	264:24 265:10
values 13:13	268:19	waste 14:4 20:9	water 12:4 13:7	Waterkeepers
59:4	voluntarily 30:6	23:9 25:17	13:14 14:11	160:18
varies 81:12	vote 30:11	30:7,10,13,24	19:4 20:12,13	waters 29:16
116:6 211:10	vulnerability	31:8,15 37:21	27:3 28:4	31:13 39:10
	,			
			OF 10	

43:3 51:11	158:22,23	white 86:13 87:8	181:1,2 182:24	219:7 227:23
83:16 141:12	193:5 221:14	87:15	183:6,24 184:6	253:2 255:7
142:2 148:23	257:14 258:11	whittled 236:6	185:7 186:15	witnessed 15:13
149:14,21	258:24	wholesome	186:19 188:16	50:9
150:5 151:17	we've 120:4	42:15	188:18 190:16	witnesses 8:17
162:10 195:4	127:14 131:22	wide 74:14	193:4,8 209:5	139:11,13,15
196:2 197:1,18	131:22,23	83:20 109:14	209:22 210:2,3	153:10,17
197:24 198:19	140:21 141:8	115:4 120:5,14	210:15,19	218:9 266:10
203:8 223:13	weather 151:24	120:16,16,22	211:3 250:7	wondering 83:5
223:14 236:14	208:24	121:24 123:8	255:5 263:5,9	137:7 250:8
240:2,18	website 191:24	136:21 137:4	wintertime	Woodford 31:19
241:18,19	Wednesday	wider 12:17	182:4	word 45:6 97:12
242:14,20,22	267:14,17	123:7	wipers 31:10	136:3 158:23
243:10,10,14	268:5 269:3,4	width 120:3	Wisconsin 37:7	182:18 250:12
243:20 244:7,8	269:13 270:1	wife 12:12 17:18	50:3,12 77:23	words 32:6
245:21 259:15	week 7:8 210:8	Wildlife 147:19	86:17 100:4	163:5 229:20
259:16 260:1,1	268:1	willful 149:8	117:19 127:17	233:9,14
260:4,5 265:2	weeks 15:21	William 24:17	140:16 154:23	253:14
waterway 208:9	178:20 217:3	Williams 2:14	155:13	work 18:19
213:22	Weibel 53:18,19	52:10 91:9,17	wise 69:6	22:19 25:10
waterways 19:3	55:3	91:23 170:10	wish 7:22 9:16	27:4 55:5
32:15 196:12	weight 105:2	170:14,15,18	268:11 269:8	87:12 118:10
196:15	welcome 6:2	173:7,20	wished 9:9	131:22,23
way 6:22 13:13	33:12 142:16	175:15 176:5	211:8	140:11 147:19
20:11 31:21	wells 30:22 31:1	177:14 182:10	wishes 8:14 11:8	148:1 170:21
37:7 54:10	39:10 50:14	185:20,23	266:3,7	171:3 172:3,6
73:24,24 78:5	60:14,16,18	188:15 218:17	wishing 9:12	172:9,13,16,20
84:4 94:21	127:20,20,20	218:19 235:24	withdraw 144:7	173:4,6,11
95:2,4 118:24	128:13 194:17	258:20 263:22	145:7	174:18,22
170:24 171:19	196:12,16	264:2,6,7	withdrawal	177:11,17
171:22 179:3	209:16	265:15 268:13	144:16 165:21	189:18 221:3
179:13,18	went 15:8 23:23	268:17	withdrawing	239:20 245:19
188:7 189:22	86:20 118:21	Williamsville	170:8	worked 18:15
225:1 230:7	130:20,22,23	31:6	withdrew	40:22 118:19
239:18 241:21 242:6 253:20	155:15,16	willing 25:7	164:19 165:9	137:10 140:3
254:18 258:5	190:14 223:4 weren't 71:21	willingness 48:21 102:5	166:9 167:17	141:14 154:17
262:5	156:16		167:19 168:6	worker 15:22
ways 163:21	west 2:2 3:8	windblown 87:14	168:10 169:23	workers 35:20
168:18 183:13	222:21	window 211:13	Withheld 30:15 witness 46:4	working 67:5
we'll 46:18,19	western 80:14	window 211:13 windows 31:11		160:5 165:24
139:19 142:10	84:20 87:3	winds 31:8	47:22 49:11 64:9 65:12	176:12 217:14
161:18 264:3	88:9 174:13	windshield		219:23 239:24
268:14	wet 122:9,9	31:10	68:8,11 71:17 113:5,6 153:15	works 95:2,4 192:14 258:18
we're 21:24 22:1	wet 122.9,9 wetness 212:5	windy 31:5	153:16 154:4	
27:17,21 36:15	wettest 211:18	Winslow 37:1,4	165:16 166:8	world 41:4 51:3 90:13
45:17 83:8	211:20,21	38:5,8 40:5	180:8 186:20	90:13 worm 58:16
117:23 124:8	whatsoever	winter 151:21	191:8 192:23	130:5
138:6 141:3	72:18 252:16	180:17,18,21	200:2 205:3	wouldn't 76:17
	, 2.10 202.10	100.17,10,21	200.2 203.3	wouldn t /U.1/
RELATION 11-1	I		100-211	

				
90:24 94:15,18	115:23 117:8	68:7 82:16	124:7 125:23	249:17,19
99:24 123:21	117:17 119:4	87:24 89:2	155:15 199:17	266:21
133:16 135:23	121:12 127:14	129:11 148:21	15-minute	200 :21 200 1:15 30:20
215:2,8	129:22 131:1	205:7 228:18	199:14	89:1 196:21
wound 266:11	133:7 136:4	1,800 23:5	15,000 81:1	234:6,7,16
wrapped 265:18	137:10 155:14	1/10 252:2	150 234:6,7,16	248:22 260:19
write 70:1 242:6	156:6 162:5	1:15 101:12,18	248:22	261:5
writing 35:9	164:16 189:21	10 5:7,7 261:20	150,000 30:22	200-foot 149:13
148:7	213:8 222:15	263:3 265:2	153 4:17	200-1000 149.13 2001 53:20
written 31:21	226:24 236:5	10-30-2012	154 4:18	2001 33.20 2002 224:7
38:22 67:7	259:13	85:18 112:24	15th 7:1 267:22	2002 224.7 2003 177:24
224:2 244:14	year 14:20 17:23	10,000 234:12	16 7:7 117:24	211:16 220:10
258:10,14	23:3,4,19	234:17 248:20	1600 3:4	2004 250:22
wrong 123:10	110:21 136:24	10/16 79:6	165 4:18,21	2004 230.22 2005 40:5
136:2 195:8	172:2,17,21	10:00 1:16	16th 7:11 90:3	2006 59:5
219:22 243:11	173:5 212:5,6	100 2:2 22:4	17 4:5,6 40:23	154:17
247:21	221:15 231:23	33:23 47:19	17th 148:11	2007 66:21
wrote 23:21	234:5,6 248:22	48:14 51:1	251:8,14	2007 00.21 2008 14:20
31:19 240:17	264:24	55:12,14,15	18 173:16 175:1	36:24 40:5
	year-end 23:19	87:20 88:24	180 4:19,21	143:4 144:6
X	year-to-year	93:21 94:4	183:4 212:10	177:24 204:9
X 4:1 5:4 79:19	110:20	108:11 110:4	1902 3:13	204:22
126:10 131:14	years 11:16	195:5,15	191 4:19,21	2009 54:16
132:22 135:2	14:24 22:4	196:10 237:20	1912 34:1	66:24 148:2
166:21 169:1	24:22 27:11	238:13	19276 2:12	2010 15:1 55:6,9
169:15 170:17	33:23 40:6,23	100-foot 240:2	193 4:19,21	2010 13.1 33.0,9 2011 172:17
173:8,19	52:2 89:7,13	100-year 214:16	1974 154:17	251:8
175:24 177:7	89:16,16 140:3	1021 2:12	1978 237:11	2012 1:16 7:1,2
178:13 180:11	140:5,6 142:19	104.426 9:20	1979 251:22	7:5,7,9 47:14
182:9 188:12	155:14 159:11	11 4:3,4 5:8,8	1989 11:18	57:19 148:12
191:11 193:2	184:8 262:14	205:7	61:12	172:17 173:5
193:20 197:13		11-500 2:3	1991 52:13,22	266:23 267:3
199:1 200:4	Z	12 4:4,5 172:2	61:24	271:21
206:19 210:13	Zalewski 2:8	173:16 175:1	1997 55:4 66:20	205 2:18
212:20 213:24	6:17	250:11	79:4 251:24	20th 145:20
215:10 216:17	zero 13:18 15:8	120 210:23	1999 220:11	21 4:6,7 7:5
217:18 219:10	25:10 50:24	211:1	1st 6:24 210:24	212-F 162:23
230:2 233:23	250:16,20,21	120-day 211:13	267:3	217 2:13,19 3:14
234:20 236:3	zone 57:14	1200 222:16		218 4:19
253:5 255:10	58:18,19 59:13	122.42 206:1	2	219 4:22
264:12	96:13 99:18	13 172:21	2 114:7 116:5,6	22 5:7 85:13,16
T 7	130:7,8,21	138 4:14	117:10,11	85:24 86:6
<u>Y</u>	213:18 262:19	140 4:15 24:20	149:11 177:14	88:12
Y 227:22 255:6	264:15	142 4:15,16	177:21 204:23	228 4:22,22
yada 187:21,21		147 4:16,17	205:7 228:20	22nd 266:22
187:21	0	14th 267:15	20 55:15 76:9	23 5:8 7:9
yard 60:11	0.02 251:20	269:4	98:22 107:3,11	112:20,22
123:7,10	1	15 75:10 103:23	107:24 130:21	113:3,19,22
yeah 81:9 100:3	1 53:12 54:19	123:14,16	142:19 221:21	114:1
105:14 115:21	1 33.12 34.19	,		
Commence of Contraction Contra				

24 4.7 9 47.12	295 (940 2.0	500 220 12	5041.067	
24 4:7,8 47:13 2459 2:18	385-6840 3:9	500 238:13	504 1:8 6:7	8
	39(b) 163:1	257:20	47:24 61:15	8 205:7 206:1
24th 46:9,15 63:21	4	501 1:8 6:7	63:12	228:19 260:15
25 11:16 40:6	4 144:10 150:21	47:24 61:15	505 257:15,18	80 23:4,20,22
50:18 55:13	204:11,14	63:12 150:3	510 69:17	24:22
59:17 62:12,24	205:7 228:18	171:16 236:20	217:21 544-8491 2:19	800 245:14
81:15,20 87:17	4:00 199:14	243:6 248:18 260:7	544-8491 2:19 547 261:23	81,000 28:4
98:4,8 99:7	40 4:11,12	501.230 237:9	59 262:1	814-6983 2:4
199:14	40-mile 23:23	501.4 257.6	39 202.1	815 3:9
253 4:20,22	40-mile-an-ho	501.402 214:5	6	818 3:5
255 4:20,23	31:8	501.405 255:18	64:3 124:7	835 21:24
26 4:8,9	40,000 44:9	501.405(a)	125:23 152:6	9
265 4:23,24	403(c)(3) 145:5	256:10,13	205:7 228:19	
27(b) 266:14	404(b)(3) 243:7	501.505 171:1	60 70:9 224:8,15	
270 4:24	42-pound 44:19	502 1:8 6:7	254:5	
	45 4:12	47:24 61:15	600 245:20	
3	47 4:13	63:12 260:7	60050 3:8	
3 114:21 116:16	4825 3:5	502.102 255:22	60601 2:3 3:4	
149:22 155:16	4th 1:15	257:24	61820 3:13	
180:13 205:7	5	502.500 255:24	62705-2459 2:19	
210:17 223:21	5 27:13 140:16	256:7,10	62794-9276 2:13	
228:10	144:11 151:13	257:21	63 4:13	
30 27:3 31:8	154:20 155:2	502.500(a)	64 4:14	
46:22 94:22	156:8,16,20	256:13 258:4	645 258:2	
195:6 221:21	167:3 171:17	258:10	67 224:4	
222:2 237:15 238:5	171:21 172:10	502.505 255:22	7	
300 151:3	172:15,19	258:1	7 160:3 170:22	
260:18	173:2 176:12	502.510(b)	171:24 205:7	
305(b) 264:23	177:11 179:17	255:22 258:1,4	228:21	
30th 1:16	205:7 220:19	258:10	70 151:8 224:8	
312 2:4	222:6 223:7	502.610(k) 255:23	224:15	
31st 267:17	229:1 234:22	502.615 255:23	700 2:17	
32 4:9	240:11 251:15	258:2	70435 204:16	
320,000 15:16	5,000 225:24	502.620 107:15	205:12	1
33 4:10	234:9	249:23 250:1	750-foot 236:13	
34 140:3	50 30:22 55:2	502.620(h)	246:7	
3431 3:8	60:6,9 61:1	129:1	751 241:19	
344-2371 3:14	63:6 68:1,2	502.620(h)(j)	242:21 243:14	
35 1:7 3:3 6:7	73:8 74:17	56:24	244:8 246:12	
47:23 53:10	75:5,7 88:5,13	502.620(j)	246:15 247:11	
61:14 63:11	92:2,10,19	106:24	77/10.24 69:18	
81:16 82:3,7	104:10,17,18	502.630 210:22	77/20 217:21	
82:17,17,23	104:22 105:24	251:16	773 3:5	
195:15	108:2 128:20	502.630(d)	775 246:9	
35-foot 196:13	129:7 133:2,11 133:24 134:4	263:8,13	782-5544 2:13	
35,000 44:9	134:10,11	502.645 195:21	7B 251:13,13 7th 268:5 269:5	
36 4:10,11	225:23 234:8	255:23	269:13 270:1	
	225.25 257.0	<u> </u>	207.13 2/0.1	